Appendix B: Summary of submissions

Eastern Bays Shared Path - Summary of Submissions

tter er	Submitter Name	Support Oppose Neutral	Yes/No		Submission Summary	Theme No
1	1 Frank Vickers	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will protect against Climate Change effects including sea level rise, storm surges and higher intensity weather events.	Resilience
2	2 Fabian Beveridge	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve cycle safety.	Climate Change Safety
_	2 rabian beverlage	зарроге		Grane	• Notes that trees should not be removed, or if they are that new trees are planted to mitigate the effects.	Amenity
					Notes that he would spend more time in the Eastern Bays and Remutakas if the cycleway goes ahead	
3	3 Fiona Hodge	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will: • Improve transport options and safety;	Safety Climate Change
					• Improve access to East Harbour amenities;	Resilience
					• Reduce traffic congestion and emissions;	Amenity
					• Improve resilience and better protect against storm surge and other impacts of climate change;	Tourism
					• Encourage recreation, visitors and tourism in the area.	Economic benefit Alternative transport modes
4	4 Matthew Harker	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve safety and community enjoyment.	Safety
						Amenity
5	5 Peter Graham Harvey	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve cycling and walking facilities as well as pedestrian, cycling and road safety. Includes photographs showing the current narrow and unsuitable footpath in Lowry Bay.	Safety Alternative transport modes
6	6 Steve Bielby	Support	Yes	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve cycling safety in an area where traffic volumes have increased, driver behaviour is worsening and vehicles are causing noise and danger.	Safety Conditional sup
		''			• Support is conditional on a good design for climate change, fish passage, penguin and other wildlife, and community/pedestrian access.	Penguins
						Fish passage
7	7 Powerco Limited	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will protect infrastructure within the road corridor, including Powerco's natural gas infrastructure located within and adjacent to Marine Drive. Requests that prior to the commencement of works, the gas pipeline is demarcated with any works encroaching within 3m to be discussed with Powerco.	Connectivity Infrastructure
,	7 Powerco Limited	Зарроге	NO	Grant	- Supports the proposal as it will protect infrastructure within the road corndor, including rowercos natural gas infrastructure within and adjacent to warms encroaching within sin to be discussed with rowerco.	illi astructure
8	8 Bart Ludbrook	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will:	Safety
					• Improve transport safety and congestion	Climate Change
					• Improve access to East Harbour amenities • Encourage recreation, visitors and tourism in the area.	Tourism Amenity
					• Encodrage recreation, visitors and todrism in the area.	Resilience
						Connectivity
						Economic benefit
9	9 David Graham Carroll	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal due to environmental aspects (including penguin access) and improved safety. • Relieves the most urgent section is at Windy Point (between Days Bay and Rona Bay) as the nathway vanishes and walkers (cyclists are vulnerable to road traffic, followed by Lowry Bay (especially around the bus ston area). Relieves these two sections should be constructed first	Penguins Safety
					• Believes the most urgent section is at Windy Point (between Days Bay and Rona Bay) as the pathway vanishes and walkers/cyclists are vulnerable to road traffic, followed by Lowry Bay (especially around the bus stop area). Believes these two sections should be constructed first. • Additionally, wants signs implemented at both ends of Windy Point to highlight the hazardous sections.	Safety Signage
10	10 George W. Gibbs	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal in the interests of safety for all road users.	Safety
11	11 Peter Law	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as believes the current walking/cycling facilities are inadequate for mixed use, particularly with high visitor numbers to the area.	Safety
12	12 Kathleen and Jeff Hobbs	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the application to improve transport options. • Would like the current steps located by the service station in Supshine Bay to be retained rather than moved 40m north on a less visible and more dangerous corner.	Safety Conditional sup Beach access
					• Would like the current steps located by the service station in Sunshine Bay to be retained rather than moved 40m north on a less visible and more dangerous corner. • Would like the seawall to continue along the front of Sunshine Bay service station towards the south of Sunshine Bay rather than the proposed rock revetment structure.	Beach access Seawall design
					• Where practical, they would like the demolition rubble deposited into the Bay decades ago removed as it is an eyesore and hazard to beach users.	o carren accign
13	13 Norman Bruce Spedding	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will:	Resilience
					• Improve resilience to current and future emergencies, including in relation to sea level rise, which is critical as it is the only route in and out of the Bays	Economic benefit
					• Encourage more people to walk and cycle the route, which includes health and safety benefits and reduces the pressure of more vehicles on a narrow link • Enable commercial benefits fitting in with the Great Harbour Way and the Remutuka Incline to create an internationally ranked tourism attraction which will bring appropriate and sustainable commercial benefits to the region	Health and safety Tourism
					• Preserve and enhance the unique recreational asset	Amenity
						Alternative transport modes
	•	Support	Yes	Grant	• Supports the proposal and notes that local support for the project was shown in the community survey of 2014, where the Shared Path was ranked the most important issue for the Eastern Bays.	Safety
	Board (Virginia Horrocks and Belinda Moss)				• Safety concerns in the community have grown with more people cycling and walking. These modes of travel are becoming increasingly popular with people wanting to reduce their carbon footprint. All road users are at risk with the current facilities being extremely narrow in some parts. • Second in importance to the community is the need to plan for climate change and the inevitable sea-level rise.	Climate Change Resilience
	A				• With declarations of climate emergency by three Hutt City community boards as well as HCC, the Eastbourne Community Board intends to follow these declarations and encourage car sharing and active transport. An impediment to this is the lack of safe facilities for cyclists and pedestrians.	Amenity
					• This project is an essential part of planning for climate change in the region	Health
					• The proposal would be a vital element of connecting the Bays to one another as well as the wider region. • Would encourage greater levels of active transport and recreation which would have helath benefits for the whole community.	Tourism Connectivity
					• The new Wainuiomata Shared Path would be a great link to a safe cycleway around the Bays. Would create new recreational opportunities for cyclists beyond the existing Pencarrow trail. Would provide an important link in the Remutaka Cycle Trail.	Economic benefit
					• With the new electric ferry expected to be in service mid-2020 more tourists and commuters would be expected in the area, they would be more likely to walk or ride to the wharf if it were safe to do so. Would encourage more visitors and economic growth.	Alternative transport modes
				Grant	No detail given on nature of, or reasons for their submission.	
15	15 Peter Broomfield Hodge	Support	No		•	
		Support Support	No No	Grant	No detail given on nature of, or reasons for their submission.	
16	16 Alison Hodge				Supports the application as it will:	Safety
16	16 Alison Hodge	Support	No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: • Improve safety	Resilience
16	16 Alison Hodge	Support	No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events	Resilience Amenity
16	16 Alison Hodge	Support	No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: • Improve safety	Resilience
16 17	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley	Support Support	No No	Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit
16 17	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley	Support	No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety
16 17	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley	Support Support	No No	Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit
16 17	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley	Support Support	No No	Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity
16 17	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley	Support Support	No No	Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health
16 17	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley	Support Support	No No	Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit
18	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan	Support Support Support	No No	Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter
16 17 18	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan	Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit
16 17 18	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield	Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Itelap protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as would like to walk safely around the bays and currently some parts are very narrow and dangerous Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity
16 17 18	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield	Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Itela protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as would like to walk safely around the bays and currently some parts are very narrow and dangerous Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design
16 17 18	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield	Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Itelap protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as would like to walk safely around the bays and currently some parts are very narrow and dangerous Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience
16 17 18	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield	Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Itela protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as would like to walk safely around the bays and currently some parts are very narrow and dangerous Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design
18 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson	Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: • Help protect Marine Drive from storm events • Create thriving business areas • Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs • Increase recreation and tourism opportunities • Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. • Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. • Would like each access in each bay to be retained • Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. • Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. • Supports the proposal as would like to walk safely around the bays and currently some parts are very narrow and dangerous • Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. • Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. • Believes the benefits for the community and safety of the public outweigh the loss of parking. • Would like the speed limit lowered to 50km/h and consistent through the bays to improve safety in high foot traffic areas.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey	Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like to walk safely around the bays and on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. Believes the henefits for the community and aslegatory of the public outweigh the loss of parking. Would like the speed limit lowered to 50km/h and consistent through the bays to improve safety in high foot traffic areas.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey	Support Support Support Support Support	Yes No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Inproves pafety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. *Supports the proposal as would like to walk safely around the bays and currently some parts are very narrow and dangerous *Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. *Supports the proposal as the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. *Believes the benefits for the community and safety of the public outweigh the loss of parking. *Would like the speed limit lowered to 50km/h and consistent through the bays to improve safety in high foot traffic areas. *Supports the proposal a particularly for a path between Days Bay and Eastbourne where the beach is not highly used. *Supports the proposal as	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey	Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Help protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business areas Reduce congestion and vehicle operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Will discourage the use and reliance on cars. Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like beach access in each bay to be retained Would like to walk safely around the bays and on the road at bus stops. Would like the seawall to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. Believes the henefits for the community and aslegatory of the public outweigh the loss of parking. Would like the speed limit lowered to 50km/h and consistent through the bays to improve safety in high foot traffic areas.	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: In Improve safety In Improve the safety In Improve safety In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, syclists, residents, and whicles. In Improve the safety of pediestrians, sycl	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Parkinge Resilience
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks	Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No Yes	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Indicating a sit will Improve safety Indicating protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business area Reduce congestion and whicke operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bius stops. Would like the seawal to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vertices. Supports the proposal as at wall limprove the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vertices Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, and the road. Supports the proposal as it will provide the support of the public outwage the loss of parking the loss of	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Introves affety Introves Int	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve safety Indicating a sit will Improve safety Indicating protect Marine Drive from storm events Create thriving business area Reduce congestion and whicke operating costs Increase recreation and tourism opportunities Supports the proposal to improve cycle and pedestrian safety, particularly for young families and elderly residents and users. Speed limit to be appropriate for safety of residents accessing properties along Marine Drive. Would like allowances to be made where possible for greater space on the road at bius stops. Would like the seawal to reduce wave impact and shingle flows in the bay and on the road. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vertices. Supports the proposal as at wall limprove the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vertices Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. Supports the use of sea wall protection structures to protect the pathway and its users who naturally are more inclined to walk along the seaward side of the road. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, residents, and vehicles. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, and the road. Supports the proposal as it will provide the support of the public outwage the loss of parking the loss of	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety
16 17 18 19 20	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it wills: Improve safety Improves safety Im	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Health
18 18 19 20 21 22	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks 23 Gene Clendon	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve staffer to read priving business areas Increase recreasion and verbide operating costs Reduce congestion and verbide operating costs Increase recreasion and tourism opportunities Increase recreasion and the recreasion and the recreasion and the recreasion and the pass and current and the	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Health Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes
18 18 19 20 21 22	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks 23 Gene Clendon	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve salidy Indication and received companion and vertice operating costs Increase frenewal pushieses areas Increases frenewal pushieses areas Increased frenewal frenewal pushieses areas Increased frenewal	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Health Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety
18 18 19 20 21 22	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks 23 Gene Clendon	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve staffer to read priving business areas Increase recreasion and verbide operating costs Reduce congestion and verbide operating costs Increase recreasion and tourism opportunities Increase recreasion and the recreasion and the recreasion and the recreasion and the pass and current and the	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Health Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Connectivity
18 18 19 20 21 22 23	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks 23 Gene Clendon	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve salidy Indication and received companion and vertice operating costs Increase frenewal pushieses areas Increases frenewal pushieses areas Increased frenewal frenewal pushieses areas Increased frenewal	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Health Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety
18 18 19 20 21 22 23	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks 23 Gene Clendon	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No	Grant	Supports the application as a will: Improve safety	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Health Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Connectivity Alternative transport modes Safety Parking
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	16 Alison Hodge 17 Dean Riley 18 Lorraine Girvan 19 Briar Bloomfield 20 Mike Henderson 21 Tom Lynskey 22 Ingrid Horrocks 23 Gene Clendon	Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No No No	Grant	Supports the application as it will: Improve selfory Improve the road, Improve selfory Improve selfory Improve the road, Improve selfory Impro	Resilience Amenity Tourism Economic benefit Safety Amenity Beach access Seawall design Health Speed limit Bus shelter Safety Safety Amenity Seawall design Resilience Parking Speed limit Beach access Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Climate Change Resilience Safety Amenity Health Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Connectivity Alternative transport modes Safety

		o Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will connect the Bays, as well as providing a connection to Lower Hutt and Petone.	Safety	
			• It will allow for people to lessen pollution.	Tourism	
			• Currently using the pathway for walking/cycling is not safe - has had 3 near misses. Notes that Lowry Bay is a particularly dangerous area for cycling/walking, with its extremely narrow paths bordered by high walls.	Parking	
			• The proposal will also facilitate a reduction in emissions, as well as being an essential first step in protecting the Eastern Bays Area and the homes therein from Sea Level Rise.	Climate Change	
			• The proposal will enable more visitors to use the beaches and recreational facilities, and to travel by bike - as the current lack of safe pathway means that everyone drives to the Bays, and there is insufficient parking • The shared pathway would also contribute to the community growing healthy and fit shildren, as they will be able to actively commute to school.	Health Connectivity	
			• The shared pathway would also contribute to the community growing healthy and fit children, as they will be able to actively commute to school. • Notes that all areas need to be seamlessly connected in a way which will allow cyclists to travel safely and with ease.	Economic benefit	
			Notes that all aleas need to be seathlessly connected in a way which will allow cyclists to travel safely and with ease.	Alternative transport modes	
John Meo	Support No	o Grant	• Supports the proposal stating that it is long overdue and remains urgent on the ground of safety of residents who have no choice in using the road and visitors who wish to use the road to access recreational facilities in the area.	Safety	
John Wes		Grane	• The current road is extremely dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists. He has witnessed children forced to jump off the road onto rocks to avoid being struck by vehicles, and cyclists regularly leaving the road or dismounting their bikes due to the narrowness of the road.	Climate Change	
			• Councillors have a responsibility to protect citizens and the inadequate facilities are failing that obligation. It is only a matter of time before pedestrians or cyclists are killed, remedial action is urgent.	Health	
			• Current facilities deter people from walking and cycling the route and encourage greater car use, this is counter-productive to efforts to reduce emissions and adopt healthier lifestyles.	Connectivity	
			• The Eastern Bays has wonderful natural, forest, coastal and recreational assets but people are discouraged from accessing these with the absence of a safe shared path.	Alternative transport modes	
Simon Hoyle	Support No	o Grant	• supports the proposal as it will encourage more families to walk and bike close to the sea, leave their cars and provide a healthier and safer environment.	Safety	
			• The shared path will also benefit locals and visitors.	Tourism	
			• It will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians, especially between Windy Point and Days Bay (and around all the Bays to Seaview).	Health	
				Alternative transport modes	
Helen Lukes and Paul Haines	s Support Ye	es Grant	• Supports the proposal as existing route is very hazardous for pedestrians, cyclists, dog walkers, prams, wheelchairs, and runners.	Safety	
			• Large vehicles on the narrow road create dangerous situations, these include cars towing boats, buses, delivery trucks.	Speed limit	
			• Particularly busy during school exit times, with cruise ship visitors and over the weekends		
			White and red reflective poles along stretches of the route cause additional danger narrowing the already inadequate width.		
			• The 70km/h speed limit is too high.		
Z Energy, BP Oil NZ Limited,	, Support Ye		• Supports proposal in principle as it will provide better access, transport and recreation options for the general public. It will provide safer walking and cycling opportunities and a link to the existing shared path.	Infrastructure	Conditional s
Mobil Oil NZ Limited		(condition		Safety	
			• The submitter requires access at all times to the oil wharf and associated pipelines for ongoing inspections associated with ship deliveries, pipe maintenance and upgrades, and emergency responses.	Penguins	
			• There is a potential safety issue arising from conflict between vehicles turning into Point Howard and cyclists using the new pathway behind the headland due to visibility. The submitter would like confirmation that the location and design of the pathway in this location has been safety audited.	Coastal avifauna	
			• Requests that a condition be imposed on the consent requiring appropriate signage and infrastructure is provided to warn road users and pedestrians/cyclists using the shared path. Suggested methods of achieving this include a different surface texture near the intersection, give way signs and humps/barriers.		
			• Consent has been granted in 2018 for an upgrade of the existing 350NB industry wharfline with works commencing June 2020. It was acknowledged that co-ordination between major construction projects at Point Howard is needed due to space restrictions for construction vehicles and temporary structures.		
			• Draft condition 63 (contained in consent application) is supported as it required that agreement with CentrePort is obtained prior to construction work within the road reserve or port owned land at Point Howard in order to ensure access arrangements are maintained in accordance with CentrePort's proposed upgrade		
			works. A separate condition that requires consultation with NZSOL and Z Energy is also sought. • It's unclear as to whether the proposed 1m elevation of the grown area (porth of Boint Howard) to enhance hird breeding areas and penguin posting sites form part of the application for resource consent.		
			• It's unclear as to whether the proposed 1m elevation of the groyne area (north of Point Howard) to enhance bird breeding areas and penguin nesting sites form part of the application for resource consent.		
			• Noted that there is an assumption that these alterations can occur as a permitted activity though assessment against district and regional plan rules has not been provided. Believes these works are at least a restricted discretionary activity as requires earthworks in the Special Recreation Zone.		
			• It is sought that construction occurring on the groyne above pipelines takes into account the risk of damage which could result in loss of fuel to the environment and loss of regional fuel supply. • Support was expressed for construction in this area provided there is a condition that requires access is obtained from the marine area rather than across the pipes (by bridging or cranes).		
			• Support was expressed for construction in this area provided there is a condition that requires access is obtained from the marine area rather than across the pipes (by bridging or cranes). • Placement of penguin boxes on the groyne is supported by the oil companies however notes that there are planning mitigation works (undertaken by NZ Oil Services for a different project) on this groyne and elsewhere in the Eastern Bays as a form of mitigation and that there is a need to consider and co-ordinate mitigation	an l	
			from the two projects regarding the placement of penguin boxes.	""	
			mont the two projects regarding the placement of penguin boxes.		
Carole Hobbs	Support No	o Grant	Expressed conditional support for the proposal. The following points were raised:	Connectivity	Conditional s
			• Requests that the steps to the beach in Sunshine Bay remain in their existing state rather than being moved to an alternate location that the submitter believes is dangerous.	Resilience	
			• Notes that the current steps to the beach at Sunshine Bay are used by many, including kayakers and fishermen.	Health	
			Believes that the current stairs at Sunshine Bay facilitate use of the beach because there is car parking nearby and that this is useful as an alternative when Days Bay is busy.	Tourism	
			• Also believes that a seawall is needed and that it shouldn't be made of stones for fear of additional debris being washed onto the road/properties during extreme weather events.	Seawall design	
Brett Holland	Support No	o Grant	Supports the proposal for the following reasons:	Safety	
			• Safety of cyclists, pedestrians and motorists in the Eastern Bays	Tourism	
			• Environmental protection of the shoreline of the Eastern Bays	Health	
			Tourism and economic development of the Lower Hutt Region		
			• Promotion of the health and welfare of the citizens of the Lower Hutt Region (through exercise)		
Don Long	Conditional No	o Grant	• Supports the proposal though would like it noted that the headland is named Russo Point rather than Windy Point.	Signage	
	Support		• Does not want Bartolo Russo dishonoured with any signage using the incorrect name Windy Point as it is of great significance to the Italian community.	Heritage	
			Would like the Russo Point fishing fleet memorialised with appropriate naming and signage along the Path.		
David Moginie	Support No	o Grant	• Supports the proposal as it would significantly increase the safety of pedestrians from the existing high-risk situation. Would be enjoyed by a range of people in the community (elderly, young families).	Safety	
			Would provide improved beach access and enourage locals to enjoy the natural beauty of the area.	,	
				Amenity	
			• Current pathway in Lowry Bay is a major safety issue for pedestrians and needs to be prioritised over other sections in the Shared Path project.	Amenity Resilience	
			• Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA.	Amenity Resilience Parking	
			 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access	
			 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. 	Amenity Resilience Parking	
			 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access	
			 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access	
			 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access	
			 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes	
	Support N		 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds	
	Support Ne Support Ye		 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design	
			 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety	
Morgan Andrew	Support Ye	es Grant	 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage	
Morgan Andrew		es Grant	 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience	
Morgan Andrew	Support Ye	es Grant	 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change	
Morgan Andrew	Support Ye	es Grant	 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Eldierly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for safe walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety	
Morgan Andrew	Support Ye	es Grant	 Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. 	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks	Support Ye	es Grant o Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly to be particularly to be particularly to peraticularly users. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for safe walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Would be a regional resource, an extension of the Great Harbour Way and will encourage cyclists off the road where they are a hazard to themselves and o	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks	Support Ye	es Grant o Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Eldefly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and sonsiderate consultation process. Would be a regional resource, an extension of the Great Harbour Way and will encourage cyclists off the road where they are a hazard to themselves and other traffic.	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks	Support Ye	es Grant o Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly to be particularly to be particularly to peraticularly users. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for safe walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Would be a regional resource, an extension of the Great Harbour Way and will encourage cyclists off the road where they are a hazard to themselves and o	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café	Support Yes Support No.	o Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Eldierly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal lat to would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for safe walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Supports the proposal as it will increase safety for families and tourists to travel around Eastbourne on foot or bike. Supports the proposal would also bring more people to Eastbourne to enjoy the scenery and cafes, and would benefit the local community.	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café	Support Ye	o Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable for cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Suggests the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for safe walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Supports the proposal as it will increase safety for families and tourists to travel around Eastbourne on foot or bike. Believes the proposal a	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café	Support Yes Support No.	o Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Basys with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for safe valking and cycling path. As a cyclis, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Supports the proposal as the ill increase safely for families and	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café	Support Yes Support No.	o Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included ophotographs to pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal is the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. Proposal is in line with community support for safe walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Would be a regional resource, an extension of the Great Harbour Way and will encourage cyclists off the oad where they a e hazard to themselves and other traffic. Supports the proposal as it will increase safety for families and tourists to travel around Eastbourne on foot or bike. Selieves the proposal would also bring more people to E	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café	Support Yes Support No.	o Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Basys with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Given the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowry Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. The current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for safe valking and cycling path. As a cyclis, he often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Supports the proposal as the ill increase safely for families and	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland	Support Yes Support No Support No Support No	es Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the laxey with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civien the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowy Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civient the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowy Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Civient the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowy Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Civient the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowy? Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Civient the proposal but would like the boatsheds on Lowy? Bay waterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Civient to proposal but the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. Civient the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. Civient the proposal following an exhaustive and considerate consultation process. Civient to add presented of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal Is in line with community suppo	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland	Support No.	es Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm 900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. Ther ords a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are clustered with other street further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Cision the above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowny Bay to be particularly urgent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal as the seawall will assist in eliminating debris on the road. Supports the proposal but would be the boatsded further into the sea. Supports the proposal following an enhanctive and considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long term solution. Froposal is in line with community support for sife walking and cycling path. As a cyclis, the often hears of near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Supports the proposal would also bring more people to Eastbourne to enjoy the scenery and cafe, and would benefit the local community. Supports the proposal would also bring more people to Eastbourne to enjoy the scenery and cafe, and would benefit the local community. Supports the proposal would produce the very best scenic safeway in NZ. Valid also increase number of vistors, and have	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland	Support Yes Support No Support No Support No	es Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750m + 900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by N2TA. There and is a key access point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not if for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cultreed with debits which creates the router. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. For event he above factors the submitter considers remedial works at Lowry Bay to be particularly ugent. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current pathway. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current pathway. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current pathway. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current pathway. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current pathway. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current pathway. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current pathway. Finded prolitographs of prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outning hazardous nature of the current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Finded prolitographs of pedestrians using the current walkway is narrow and dangerous. Finded prolitograp	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell	Support No. Support No. Support No. Support No. Support No. Support No.	es Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mn-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road as a key access point for search of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following storm events the pathway and road are cluttered with debris which creases train clustered with clusters and the second proposal as the cash debris which creases train clustered with clusters and the proposal as the seawall will asked to relative the path second with the proposal ask proposal but would like the boatstance of the crease training the proposal ask proposal but would like the boatstance of the considerate consultation process. Supports the proposal ask proposal as	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements act out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Basy with on alternative access. Elderly people, children and day, walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, other leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following some wents the pathway and road are cultred with debries which creates further obstacles for users. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people of the proposal side beautiful the beautiful the proposal side with the proposal will be the beathers on Lowyr Bay variety front or remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. The current wallways in a road or considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for set walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often here are near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Supports the proposal as the proposal as being a Lowyr Bay resident and an active biker for over 5 years feels like eve	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill	Support No. Support No. Support No. Support No. Support No. Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. Ther rad is a lew a yeaces point for each of the Bays with no alternative access. Elderly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following form events the pathway and road and cultered with debids which creates further obstacles for users. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking ts unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centreline further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking ts unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centreline further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking ts unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centreline further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking ts unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centreline further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking ts unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centreline further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people of side to propose all of two side of the propose of the side of	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-900mm is significantly less than minimum requirements act out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Basy with on alternative access. Elderly people, children and day, walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, other leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following some wents the pathway and road are cultred with debries which creates further obstacles for users. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to cross the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Civerently people of the proposal side beautiful the beautiful the proposal side with the proposal will be the beathers on Lowyr Bay variety front or remain as they add to the history and character of the area. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. The current wallways in a road or considerate consultation process. The application contributes to added resilience of the Eastern Bays providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in line with community support for set walking and cycling path. As a cyclist, he often here are near-misses and other dangers to people using the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. Supports the proposal as the proposal as being a Lowyr Bay resident and an active biker for over 5 years feels like eve	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Tourism	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway writers between \$750mm 900mm is significantly lies than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is a key access point for each of the Bays within a older roads excess. Eitherly people, children and dog variesr regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to year and the path within a not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to wait on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following taxon events the pathway and road are cultifacted with deriv which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street parking is unavailable forcing traffic to roots the centre-line further endangering pedestrians. Close the base factors the submitted considers remained lawors at Lowy flay by the particularly vigent. Included photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outlining hazardous nature of the current pathway. Supports the proposal law the proposal law and diagerous. Suggests the seawall will solid the extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal law and diagerous. Suggests the seawall should be extended further into the sea. Supports the proposal law and adjusted the seather laws providing for sea-level rise adaptation and other climate change impacts such as storm surges. Notes that this only adds resilience rather than being a complete long-term solution. Proposal is in in event community support to rate yealway and corriging rule. Supports the proposal as well in invent community upport to rate wilding and occing park as a cyclis. It does not where they are a hazard to themselves and other traffic. Supports the proposal as well in invent to community upport to rate wilding and occing park has a cyclis. It does not where they are a hazard to themselves and other traffic. Supports the proposal as well will increase safety for fimilies and tourists to trave around asstationed on the proposal void all all bothing more people to bust	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Courism	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED Lowry Bay Residents	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 250mm 400mm is significantly lies than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. The road is set aye access point for each off the Bays without no alternative access of the Community of the pathway and road are clustered with delivis which creates further obstacles for users. Elderly people, children and dags walkers regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people eneding to walk on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Following team even the peachway and road are clustered with delivis which creates further obstacles for users. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when street purking is unavailable forcing traffic to roots the centre line further endangering pedestrians. Covered the above factors the submitted involves the road when street purking is unavailable forcing traffic. Included photographs of predoctivans using the current basis with a continuous control of the control of the proposal in the current pathway. Supports the proposal of set decreased and diagrous. The current valvelay is an oral and diagrous. Supports the proposal following an exhaustve and considerate consultation process. Supports the proposal following an exhaustve and considerate consultation process. Proposal is in line with community support for safe walking and cyling path. As a cylint, the often hears of exer-mises and other clamate changes impacts such as storm surges, Notes that this only adds realized realized or the stearn Peap providing for soal-level in exerum and Eastbourne on foot or tible. Supports the proposal as being a contension of the Grain factors between the path while cycling. Supports the proposal as being a contension of the Grain factors between the exerum of Eastbourne on foot or tible. Believes the proposal as being a control for safe walking and cyling path. As a cylint is real exerument of the proposal as being a control for safe walking and cycling path. Supports the proposal as being a Lony B	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety	
Derek Hall Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED Lowry Bay Residents Association	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm x50mm x50mm x50mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by X77. The road is set warces point for each of the Boys with no enter the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to people needing to yeak on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Ederly people, children and doe valiens regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to yeak on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when stee parking is unavailable foring traffic to roots the centre pathway. Inducted photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. Inducted photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. Inducted photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. Induction of pedestrians using the current facilities included outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. In our proposal is in the current valueway is narrow and dangerous. Supports the proposal is officially and the sea. Supports the proposal is officially and the sea. Supports the proposal is officially and the sea. Supports the proposal is in line with cummunity support for sale walking and cycling path. As a cyclis, the official pathway and considerate consultation process. *Supports the proposal is in livell increase safety for families and turvis to travel around fast-bourner on the road where they are a hazard to therefore the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. *Supports the proposal is in Vall increase safety for families and turvis to travel around fast-bourner was not defined. *Supports the	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Connectivity	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED Lowry Bay Residents	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-950mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. *The read is a key access point for each of the Bays with male internative access. *Ellerly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not If it for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across unevers surfaces due to tree roads etc. **Currently people often part on the beach side of the road where steep pathway is unavailable forcing craft for cores the certified in further endangering pediatriams. **Currently people often part on the beach side of the road where steep pathway is unavailable forcing craft for cores the certified in further endangering pediatriams. **Supports the proposal but vould like the bootsheds on Lowy, Bay wasterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. **Supports the proposal but vould like the bootsheds on Lowy, Bay wasterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. **Supports the proposal but researched further into the sco. **Suggests the records further into the sco. **Supports the proposal but researched further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the researched further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the search of carried further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the search of the proposal as the p	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Connectivity Resilience	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED Lowry Bay Residents	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm x50mm x50mm x50mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by X77. The road is set warces point for each of the Boys with no enter the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to people needing to yeak on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Ederly people, children and doe valiens regularly use the path which is not fit for purpose, often leading to people needing to yeak on the road, or across uneven surfaces due to tree roots etc. Currently people often park on the beach side of the road when stee parking is unavailable foring traffic to roots the centre pathway. Inducted photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. Inducted photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. Inducted photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside photographs of pedestrians using the current facilities included outside outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. Induction of pedestrians using the current facilities included outsimp faziration value of the current pathway. In our proposal is in the current valueway is narrow and dangerous. Supports the proposal is officially and the sea. Supports the proposal is officially and the sea. Supports the proposal is officially and the sea. Supports the proposal is in line with cummunity support for sale walking and cycling path. As a cyclis, the official pathway and considerate consultation process. *Supports the proposal is in livell increase safety for families and turvis to travel around fast-bourner on the road where they are a hazard to therefore the road. Windy Point is particularly hazardous. He has been involved in several incidents himself while cycling. *Supports the proposal is in Vall increase safety for families and turvis to travel around fast-bourner was not defined. *Supports the	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Connectivity Resilience Climate Change	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED Lowry Bay Residents	Support No.	es Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-950mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. *The read is a key access point for each of the Bays with male internative access. *Ellerly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not If it for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across unevers surfaces due to tree roads etc. **Currently people often part on the beach side of the road where steep pathway is unavailable forcing craft for cores the certified in further endangering pediatriams. **Currently people often part on the beach side of the road where steep pathway is unavailable forcing craft for cores the certified in further endangering pediatriams. **Supports the proposal but vould like the bootsheds on Lowy, Bay wasterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. **Supports the proposal but vould like the bootsheds on Lowy, Bay wasterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. **Supports the proposal but researched further into the sco. **Suggests the records further into the sco. **Supports the proposal but researched further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the researched further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the search of carried further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the search of the proposal as the p	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Connectivity Resilience Climate Change Loss of beach	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED Lowry Bay Residents Association	Support No. Support No.	Grant Grant	Comment pathway varies between 750mm 950mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by N21A. There and is a key access point for each of the Regis with no leterature access. Ellien'y ecopie, children and does white required you with the six which create further obtained for order. Following to me went this analyses and road are cultiment with forcises further obtained for order. Following to me went this analyses and road are cultiment with forcises further obtained for order. For which provide actives the submitted considers required involved actives the submitted considers remedial works at lower than 10 to	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Connectivity Resilience Climate Change Loss of beach Alternative transport modes	
Morgan Andrew John Horrocks Sea Salt Café Miles Maitland Maureen Maitland Margaret Campbell Anne Horrill Days Bay Pavilion LED Lowry Bay Residents	Support No.	Grant Grant	Current pathway varies between 750mm-950mm is significantly less than minimum requirements set out by NZTA. *The read is a key access point for each of the Bays with male internative access. *Ellerly people, children and dog walkers regularly use the path which is not If it for purpose, often leading to people needing to walk on the road, or across unevers surfaces due to tree roads etc. **Currently people often part on the beach side of the road where steep pathway is unavailable forcing craft for cores the certified in further endangering pediatriams. **Currently people often part on the beach side of the road where steep pathway is unavailable forcing craft for cores the certified in further endangering pediatriams. **Supports the proposal but vould like the bootsheds on Lowy, Bay wasterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. **Supports the proposal but vould like the bootsheds on Lowy, Bay wasterfront to remain as they add to the history and character of the area. **Supports the proposal but researched further into the sco. **Suggests the records further into the sco. **Supports the proposal but researched further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the researched further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the search of carried further into the sco. **Supports the proposal and proposal as the search of the proposal as the p	Amenity Resilience Parking Beach Access Alternative transport modes Boatsheds Seawall design Safety Heritage Resilience Climate Change Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Tourism Economic benefit Safety Safety Tourism Amenity Funding Economic benefit Safety Safety Safety Safety Safety Connectivity Resilience Climate Change Loss of beach	

		Yes	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety of cyclists, pedestrians and people on scooters. It was emphasised that the current safety hazard has existed for a long time and that this particular solution is needed.	Safety	
				• Notes that the shared path will provide a means of transport if the road is closed to motorised vehicles.	Resilience	
				Acknowledges that the shared path will improve connectivity with the Great Harbour Way for cyclists and pedestrians.	Tourism	
				• Believes tourism will be encouraged through the amenity value associated with the shared path.	Amenity	
47 Hilamy Kaanan	Support	No	Grant	 Believes that the shared path will facilitate a modal shift towards active transport modes and that this will reduce traffic congestion. Believes north and south bound Lowry Bay bus shelters located on Marine Drive be moved from their present position to the Kaikoura Path lay-by adjacent beach side. 	Alternative transport modes Safety	
47 Hilary Keenan	Support	NO	Grant	Believes new bus shelters will ensure safety and protection from vehicles and will be less exposed to tidal surges.	Bus shelters	
				• Emphasised improved safety for children in particular.	bus shereers	
				Noted there is currently no shelter at the existing south-bound bus stop.		
				Believes relocation of the north-bound bus stop will mitigate danger for bus passengers intending to cross the Cheviot Road/Marine Drive intersection.		
48 Tom Murphy	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety	
				• Notes that improved safety will make active transport modes a more viable choice for pedestrians, cyclists and school children when they travel to locations within and outside the local area.	Connectivity	
				• Emphasised that the shared path will form a 'vital link' between the bays, Eastbourne Village, Petone, the Hutt Valley and Central Wellington.	Climate Change	
				 Believes this will prepare the local community for climate change and extreme weather events. Thinks that the proposal will improve regional connectivity with reference to the Great Harbour Way and Remutaka Cycle Trail. 	Resilience Alternative transport modes	
49 Jodene Onslow-Osborne	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as the improved safety will encourage more people to use active transport modes.	Safety	
49 Jodene Onslow-Osborne	Зарроге		Grant	• Notes the importance of providing accommodation for penguins who nest in the area.	Penguins	
				• Believes that connectivity with the Great Harbour Way and Remutaka Cycle Trail will increase accessibility to Eastbourne for tourists.	Tourism	
					Connectivity	
					Alternative transport modes	
50 Debby McColl	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for walkers, runners cyclists, and vehicle users.	Safety	
				Notes that it will improve connectivity with the Wellington Harbour and Remutaka cycle trails.	Connectivity	
				• Emphasises the importance of strengthening the seawall in relation to climate change and sea level rise.	Climate Change	
E1 Kula Christansan	Cummort	No	Cront	No detail given on nature of an reasons for their submission	Seawall design	
1 Kyle Christensen 2 Elaine Richardson		No No	Grant Grant	No detail given on nature of, or reasons for their submission. • Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists, motorists and bus drivers.	Safety	
JZ Liaine Menardson	Зиррогс	INO	Grant	• Believes that native birds and other wildlife will need to be protected before and after the project.	Penguins	
				• Notes that resistance to Sea Level Rise will be a positive benefit.	Coastal avifauna	
					Climate Change	
					Resilience	
53 Jo Cullhane	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal but suggests that it should be illegal for cyclists to use the road once the shared path is completed.		Conditional su
				• Emphasises the importance of retaining a bus shelter at Mahina Bay.	Amenity	
				• Only talked about safety in relation to the position of the Mahina Bay bus stop and the implications of relocating it.	Ecological	
				• Would like to have the Mahina Bay Beach replenished as part of the works.	Bus shelter	
				Would like the power lines relocated beneath the ground and the lamp posts to be moved away from the seaward side of the road reserve.	Infrastructure	
					Beach renourishment	
54 Saul Duff	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety	
54 Saul Dull	Support	NO	Grant	• Emphasised that the shared path was a necessity.	Climate Change	
				• Noted the psychological impact that his fear of hitting pedestrians when cycling on Marine Drive has on him.	Health	
				• Believes heightened safety for pedestrians and cyclists through the shared pathway will lead to a more evenly distributed modal split.	Alternative transport modes	
				Mentioned that people will drive less and carbon emissions will decrease.	·	
55 Elizabeth Moginie	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Amenity	
				Believes the shared path will provide leisure opportunities and increase tourism and appreciation of the harbour.	Safety	
				Mentioned that it will improve connectivity between walkways.	Tourism	
				• Includes photos of current path width and proximity to traffic	Connectivity	
- C		.			Economic benefit	
Moya and Kevin McCarten	Support	No	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for pedestrians, vehicle users and cyclists. Notes that biking isn't a viable transport mode in light of the safety risks associated with use of existing infrastructure. 	Safety Connectivity	
				• Believes that this will lead to increased uptake of cycling and that this will have positive health effects on the community.	Health	
				• Notes that impacts on the beach at Lowry Bay are a "small price to pay" for the improved safety through the shared path.	Tourism	
				• Believes the shared path will draw more visitors to Eastbourne.	Climate Change	
				• Believes the shared path will alleviate risks associated with storm surges and Sea Level Rise.	Resilience	
					Alternative transport modes	
57 David Reid	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety	
				• Believes the shared path should also mitigate effects associated with strong wind conditions and subsequent safety implications for users of the path.	Amenity	
				• Believes a well constructed path would enhance the visual appearance and stability of the shoreline.	Penguins	
				• Suggests installation of ducts in the seawall for penguins.	Resilience	
				• Notes the submission process is "unnecessary bureaucracy" that presents a barrier to "sensible urban development".	Seawall design	
Jocelyn Christian Turnbull	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will protect the road whilst being a "wonderful asset" for the wider region.	Resilience	
50 Panalona Anna Grigg	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians	Amenity Safety	
59 Penelope Anne Grigg	Support	No	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that safety issues detract from the viability of cycling as a transport option around the bays. 	Climate Change	
				• Believes the shared path will enable a more 'eco-friendly' lifestyle and provide fitness opportunities.	Health	
				• Describes that connectivity to Pencarrow Lighthouse will improve as a result of the shared path.	Connectivity	
					Alternative transport modes	
	Support	No	Grant	Believes negative impacts associated with construction are outweighed by benefits of the path and supports the proposal as such. No specific points were raised.		
•		No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will raise the general level of fitness for local residents and have positive health benefits as a result.	Health	
	Support			• Also emphasised that the curent roadway is unsafe for cyclists and restricts them from choosing to use it.	Safety	
	Support			Noted that the shared path will raise property values and that subsequent higher rates could fund the construction.	Funding	
	Support				141.	The second secon
60 Bjorn Johns 61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere		No	Grant	■ NIDDOLTC TO DECODE 25 IT WILLIAMSTONG CATOLY FOR DEDOCTE AND MODILITY MADICIA USAGE	Alternative transport modes	
-		No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists and mobility vehicle users. • Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris	Safety	
61 John Selwyn		No	Grant	Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris.	Safety Resilience	
61 John Selwyn		No	Grant	 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. 	Safety	
61 John Selwyn		No	Grant	Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris.	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna	
61 John Selwyn		No	Grant	 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity	
61 John Selwyn		No	Grant	 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins	
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach	
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support		Grant	 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal:	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be referenced in the report (HCC in 2009 by J Dahm). 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Notes that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be referenced in the report (HCC in 2009 by J Dahm). Notes that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occuring beneath the wall.	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi It Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi It Bay for molluses, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be referenced in the report (HCC in 2009 by J Dahm). Notes that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occurring beneath the wall. Emphasised importance of beach access and that this should not be reduced as a result of the shared path. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere 63 John Arnold Butt	Support	Yes	Grant	 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okin' til Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Notes that penguin and other bird habitat should be referenced in the report (HCC in 2009 by Dahm). Notes that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occuring beneath the wall. Emphasised importance of beach access and that this should not be reduced as a result of the shared path. Noted that the current road is unfriendly to pedestrians, and that it discourages tourists from visiting Eastbourne Village. 	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere	Support			 Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal prognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal precognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Sugports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Sugports the proposal as the submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Supports the proposal as it will be geach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed that there was a missing paper that should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be referenced in the report (HCC in 2009 by J Dahm). Noted that there was a missing paper that should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occurring beneath the wall. Emphasised importance of beach access and that this should not be reduced as a result of the shared path. <l< td=""><td>Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment</td><td>Conditional su</td></l<>	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment	Conditional su
61 John Selwyn 62 Derek Stannard Wilshere 63 John Arnold Butt	Support	Yes	Grant	Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognises the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal, The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Supports that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be referenced in the report (HCC in 2009 by J Dahm). Notes that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occuring beneath the wall. Emphasised importance of beach access and that this should not be reduced as a result of the shared path. Noted that the current road is unfriendly to pedestrians, and that it discourages tourists from visiting Eastbourne Village. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment Safety Connectivity	Conditional su
John Selwyn Derek Stannard Wilshere John Arnold Butt	Support	Yes	Grant	Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Supports the thing the beast in the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occuring beneath the wall. Emphasised importance of beach access and that this should do to be reduced as a result of the shared path. Noted that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that this should and the reduced as a result of the shared pat	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment Safety Connectivity Resilience	Conditional su
John Selwyn Derek Stannard Wilshere John Arnold Butt	Support	Yes	Grant	 Believes It will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal recognises the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Sugports the Okiwi the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi the Sape should be nonsidered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Imphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi til Bay for moliuscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occuring beneath the wall. Imphasised importance of beach access and that this should as a result of the shared path. Noted that the current road is unfriendly to pedestrians, and that it discourages tourists from visiting Eastbourne Village. Supports the proposal as it will improve the road have detracted people from using active transpo	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment Safety Connectivity Resilience Amenity	Conditional su
John Selwyn Derek Stannard Wilshere John Arnold Butt	Support	Yes	Grant	Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Supports the thing the beast in the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluscs, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that there was a missing paper that should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occuring beneath the wall. Emphasised importance of beach access and that this should do to be reduced as a result of the shared path. Noted that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that this should and the reduced as a result of the shared pat	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment Safety Connectivity Resilience	Conditional su
John Selwyn Derek Stannard Wilshere John Arnold Butt	Support	Yes	Grant	Believes it will allow for improved resilience in relation to storm surges and debris. Mentioned the current NZTA policy for shared paths has sufficiently aided the formation of the proposed shared path. Is satisfied that the proposal will recognise the unique marine and coastal environment, including the value and needs of seabirds. Notes that the proposal recognises the impending risks of climate change. Believes the shared path will improve connectivity between the bays and to other parts of the region. Believes the proposal protects the "cherished values" of various beaches in Eastbourne. Noted that progress has been "painfully slow". Supports the proposal. The submission didn't contain reasoning for support. Support was highly conditional and stated a number of recommendations and areas of critique in relation to the proposal: Suggests that the the design should have a 200mm height separation from the road for safety purposes. It was also noted that raising the height 200mm would be useful in relation to Sea Level Rise. Believes the Okiwi Iti Bay Beach should be considered for re-nourishment and planting as part of the proposed works. Noticed the steps opposite 731 Marine Drive aren't included for replacement in the proposed works and should be. Emphasised importance of testing the beach sand at Okiwi Iti Bay for molluses, noting that this hasn't been done. Noted that preguin and other bird habitat should be referenced in the report (HCC in 2009 by J Dahm). Notes that penguin and other bird habitat should be protected and that a barrier should exist to prevent penguins from crossing the road. Expressed concern about erosion occurring beneath the wall. Emphasised importance of beneath access and that this should not be reduced as a result of the shared path. Noted that the current road is unfriendly to pedestrians, and that it discourages tourists from visiting Eastbourne Village. Supports the proposal at it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and will make it easier to commute and	Safety Resilience Connectivity Coastal avifauna Penguins Loss of beach Climate Change Resilience Safety Penguins Coastal avifauna Beach Access Tourism Seawall design Beach renourishment Safety Connectivity Resilience Amenity	Conditional su

66 Michael Sheridan	Oppose	No			Cafaty
			Decline	Does not support the proposal as:	Safety
				• No "Low Cost Option" has been proposed.	Climate Change
				• NZTA rules have not been complied with particularly with regard to speed (refer Section 8, 8.2; (Sect 8.1(2)(a)(i)). It is suggested that the 70 km/h does not fulfil these obligations as described.	Infrastructure
				• There is an increasing use of electric bikes. Modern electric bikes now offer speeds ranging from 25 km/h to 45 km/h.	Speed limit
				• Estimate of future use of cycleway is very poorly detailed. See VictoriaWalks.org.au which highlights carrying capacity of the paths at which problems arise; accidents occurring with pedestrians and other users; speeds of bikes along the paths.	Seawall design
				• No attempt has been made to estimate the existing sea wall asset life.	Signage
				The following "low cost and simple to implement" recommendations were made:	
				• A "non-exclusive marked lane" for use by cyclists and motorists. This assists in motorists recognising the presence of cyclists. Example quoted from Loch Lomond where non-exclusive lanes are in place.	
				• A separate pedestrian pathway would be constructed to improve safety and experience for both pedestrians and cyclists.	
				More signage to warn motorists of cyclists should be implemented. Especially at blind bends.	
				• Speed reduction to match NZTA rules reduces risk of serious injury to cyclists.	
				Road edge conditions could be improved for cyclists.	
				Sea wall construction should be built to match its asset life cycle and timed to match sea level rise predictions.	
67 Robyn Attewell	Support	: No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issues for pedestrians and cyclists using the road.	Safety
				• Notes that this will encourage members of the community to exercise more.	Health
68 Jessica Mills	Support	: No	Grant	• Believes the proposal has addressed all of the potential issues that could arise but did not stipulate specific reasons for support.	
69 Michelle O'Shannessy	Support	: No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists, pedestrians and vehicles.	Safety
				Believes that cyclists using the road contribute to traffic congestion during peak hours.	Resilience
				• Noted that the existing walkway around York Bay is busy with pedestrians in summer, and that this attracts cyclists to use the road and expose themselves to danger.	Tourism
				Believes the seawall will aid resilience with reference to storms and debris.	Connectivity
					Connectivity
70 David Mass	C		Consist	• It was also noted that the current road can become inundated during storms and that this can attract motorists to use the wrong side of the road to avoid sea water and spray.	C-f-t.
70 David Moss	Support	Yes	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety
				• Emphasised that the portion of road between Windy Point and Point Howard is particularly unsafe for cyclists due to the high speed limit of 70 km/hr.	Connectivity
				Believes increased connectivity between Eastbourne and other regional cycleways will increase bike usage and reduce car dependance as a result.	Speed limit
				• Notes that recreational bike riders use the Burden's Gate - Pencarrow Lighthouse trail but unfortunately because of existing facilities in eastern bays that almost all of those riders have travelled to the path by car	
	[• Suggested that the shared path would be more effective in reducing car dependance if a cycleway from Point Howard to Petone was constructed.	
71 Belinda Moss	Support	Yes	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will alleviate the issue of safety for pedestrians and cyclists.	Safety
	2 27 - 12 - 10			• Believes current safety issues force people to use vehicles to commute and travel to school despite an initial preference towards biking and walking.	Connectivity
				• Emphasised the safety issue in relation to the pedestrian pathway on Marine Drive which was described as too narrow with overhanging vegetation and proximity to vehicles making things very dangerous.	Alternative transport modes
					Accinative transport modes
				• Regularly rides to Pencarrow Lighthouse but drives to Eastbourne to get there. Mentioned that connectivity will improve for cyclists once the Eastern Bays are connected to the rest of Wellington's cycle infrastructure.	
70 0				Noted Lowry Bay as having a particularly severe circumstance in relation to safety of pedestrians and cyclists and the need to use vehicles to travel short distances	
72 Susan Haines	Support	: No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety
				• Noted that the north end of Lowry Bay should be prioritised in the proposal for construction before other areas should development be staged.	Climate Change
				• Noted that the shared pathway and seawall will protect the road from impacts of climate change.	Health
				• Expects that the shared pathway would improve the health and wellbeing of people in the community.	Amenity
73 Janet Hay	Support	: No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians but believes that "interim solutions" are required in order to improve safety prior to completion of the work. Believes interim outcomes would provide time for the formation of a quality long-term outcome to address climate change and subsequent Se	·
,				Level Rise. The following recommendations were listed as potential interim solutions:	Resilience
				• Creating 2m wide boardwalks that will allow for continuity of the pathway in areas when it becomes too narrow for cyclists and pedestrians to use it safely.	Climate Change
				• Proposed boardwalk structures should have a 10-15 year life span and should be constructed at the beginning of the 35 year shared pathway project.	Bus shelters
				• Areas that were emphasised for implementation of boardwalks as an interim solution were Lowry Bay, Days Bay, Mahina Bay and the area between Days Bay and Windy Point.	Seawall design
				• It was emphasised that the areas around bus stops are made safer for pedestrians in the interim. Suggestions were made to widen pathways around bus stops through use of boardwalks.	
				• It was suggested that Days Bay be included in the proposal as access along the seaward pathway is not continuous. Numerous issues associated with the safety of pedestrians and cyclists were noted in Days Bay with photos.	
74 Jessica Nickelsen	Support	: No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety
				• Noted that the volume of traffic combined with the narrow pedestrian pathway has caused safety issues for pedestrians and cyclists.	Resilience
				• It was noted that safety issues detract from the viability of using active transport modes.	Climate Change
				• It was noted that increased uptake in active transport modes would reduce traffic congestion.	Amenity
				Believes that the shared path will increase the extent residents and tourists will be able to use the shore-side for recreation and that this will have social benefits.	Tourism
				Believes that the sea wall will improve resilience to king tides and storm surges and that this will reduce traffic congeston during these times when the road would otherwise be inundated.	Alternative transport modes
				• Notes Sea Level Rise as a threat and acknowledges the proposed shared path and sea wall as a solution.	
75 Jennifer Packer	Support	: No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for pedestrians.	Safety
75 Jennifer Packer	Support	: No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for pedestrians. • It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians.	Safety
75 Jennifer Packer	Support	: No	Grant	• It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians.	Safety
				• It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. • Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents.	
75 Jennifer Packer76 Michael Rumble	Support		Grant Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. 	Safety Penguins
				 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. 	
				 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. 	
				 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. 	
				 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. 	
		Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. 	Penguins
76 Michael Rumble	Oppose	Yes		 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. 	Penguins
76 Michael Rumble	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unyidable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. 	Penguins Safety Resilience
76 Michael Rumble	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. 	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change
76 Michael Rumble	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes the seawall will improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. 	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism
76 Michael Rumble	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. 	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew	Oppose	Yes	Grant	It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne.	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit
76 Michael Rumble	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. 	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew	Oppose	Yes	Grant	It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne.	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. Believes that the shared path will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. 	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes the seawall will improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that increased uptake of active transport modes will have positive health effects. 	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew	Oppose	Yes	Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve asfety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and base son Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improve a resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the receased uptake of active transport modes will have positive health effects. Notes that reduced webside use will help reduce emissions. 	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes the seawall will improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. Believes that the shared path will increase visiton numbers to Eastbourne. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that the shared path will promove walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will promove walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path whill promove emissions. Believes that this will improve resilience of abov	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that thaving more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that the shared path will increase uptain will increase uptain will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Believes that the shared path will improve validing and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that this will improve transport modes will have positive health effects. Notes that reduced vehicle use will help reduce emissions. Believes that this will improve resilience of above and below-ground infrastructure with respect to climate change and extreme wea	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety, concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Hotigation I and 1 in 2015 should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that the sound livil improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that it improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will promove safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Selieves that the shared path will promove safety for cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians of the road in a civil defence emergency. Selieves that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will promove safety for cyclists and ped	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included or do works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuit in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the fortpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the fortpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians. Pelieves that improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that it improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that this will improve accept for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that this will improve accept for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that this will improve accept for cyclists and pedestrians.<!--</td--><td>Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity</td>	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety, concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Hotigation I and 1 in 2015 should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that the sound livil improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that it improved resilience will ensure the continuous operation of the road in a civil defence emergency. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will promove safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Selieves that the shared path will promove safety for cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, cyclists and pedestrians of the road in a civil defence emergency. Selieves that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will increase visitor numbers to Eastbourne. Selieves that the shared path will promove safety for cyclists and ped	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	 It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included or do works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Believes that two of the three nesting areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuit in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft penguin Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the fortpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the fortpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians. Pelieves that improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that it improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will promote walking and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that this will improve accept for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that this will improve accept for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that this will improve accept for cyclists and pedestrians.<!--</td--><td>Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity</td>	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	 I was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Delieves that two of the three nesting a reas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilli a 2013) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Suggested that having more havens and adding nest boxes into the seawall would detract penguins from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft fleeping in Mitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrians exposed to oncoming traffic. Notes safety issues for motorists who have issues avoiding pedestrians, cyclists, other vehicles and buses on Marine Parade as a narrow road. Believes that improved resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. Believes that the shared path will increase visitor number to Eastbourne. Believes that the shared path will prove safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that the shared path will prove safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that the shared path will prove safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Believes that the shared path will promote validing and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the roraced uptake of active transport modes will have positive health will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. Believes that the shared path will prove safety for cyclists	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant		Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	** twa noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. ** Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, wegetation trimming and accidents. ** Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, wegetation trimming and accidents. ** Enphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should limit the safety of the penguins and	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant		Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage
76 Michael Rumble77 David and Alison Carew78 Sam Rudge	Oppose Support	Yes	Grant Grant Grant	** twa noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. ** Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, wegetation trimming and accidents. ** Other factors that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included road works, wegetation trimming and accidents. ** Enphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. ** Suggested that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should limit the safety of the penguins and	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited	Oppose Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	Notes rations dealer than the reteme introduction of double decker buses has heightneed safety concerns for pedestrians. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Relieves that wo of the three negative implications for penguin habitat. Relieves that wo of the three negative implications for penguin habitat. Relieves that two of the three negative implications for penguin habitat. Region in the habitat of the penguin habitat of the penguin habitat of the penguin from crossing the road to reach their traditional nest site. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process. Recommends that a draft Renguin Miligation Plan is added into the hearing process of the transport mention of the read in a divid defence mergenor. Reco	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Grant	Notes noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrians. Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. A propose the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for penguins. Emphasised that the Penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the type of haven required for the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be a model for the penguin from crossing the read to result in the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an analysis of the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an analysis of the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an analysis of the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an analysis of the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an analysis of the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be an analysis of the penguin Haven (rebuilt in 2015) should be penguin Haven (rebui	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	• I was noted that the recent hitroduction of double decke buses has heightened safety concerns we motel, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. • Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. • Recent having areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. • Emphasised that the Penguin haven (rebuil in 2015) should be an model for the type of haven required for penguins. • Recommends that a draft Penguin hitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. • Recommends that a draft Penguin hitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. • Recommends that a draft Penguin hitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. • Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrains, explosed to oncoming traffic. • Notes safety kesus for motorists who have issues availabling pedestrains, cyclist, other vehicles and buse on Marine Parade as a narrow road. • Believes that proposal as it will improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. • Believes that proposal as it will improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. • Believes that migroved resilience will insure the continuous operation of the road in a divid defence emergency. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding with subject of the road for extreme weather events. • Believes that the shared path will promote evalidation evaluating and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distribute	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrius. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns for penguin habitat. Other factors was not been been proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Eleviews that to of the three netions and adding each bown into the same and adding each bown into the	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	• I was noted that the recent hitroduction of double decke buses has heightened safety concerns we motel, these included road works, vegetation trimming and accidents. • Opposes the proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. • Recent having areas provided as part of the project are unsuitable sites. • Emphasised that the Penguin haven (rebuil in 2015) should be an model for the type of haven required for penguins. • Recommends that a draft Penguin hitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. • Recommends that a draft Penguin hitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. • Recommends that a draft Penguin hitigation Plan is added into the hearing process. • Notes that the footpath is not wide enough which leaves cyclists and pedestrains, explosed to oncoming traffic. • Notes safety kesus for motorists who have issues availabling pedestrains, cyclist, other vehicles and buse on Marine Parade as a narrow road. • Believes that proposal as it will improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. • Believes that proposal as it will improve resilience of the road for extreme weather events and Sea Level Rise associated with climate change. • Believes that migroved resilience will insure the continuous operation of the road in a divid defence emergency. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distributed modal split. • Believes that the shared path will promote evaliding with subject of the road for extreme weather events. • Believes that the shared path will promote evalidation evaluating and cycling and that this will contribute to a more evenly distribute	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	It was noted that the recent introduction of double decker buses has heightened safety concerns for pedestrius. Other factors that have influenced safety concerns for penguin habitat. Other factors was not been been proposal purely because of the negative implications for penguin habitat. Eleviews that to of the three netions and adding each bown into the same and adding each bown into the	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	It was noted that the recent stroduction of double decker bases has highlehend a safely concerns for pediastrians. Observed that have influenced self-yelf-concerns for yelf-yelf-concerns for yelf-yelf-yelf-concerns for yelf-yelf-yelf-yelf-yelf-yelf-yelf-yelf-	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	If the factor that we infeated safety concerns were noted, these included road voise, segetation triming and accidents. On phoses the proposal priery because of the registive implications for preguin habitat. Relieves that the of the three instigues agree provided as part of the prograph resultable sites. For phoses the proposal priery because of the registive implications for preguin habitat. For phoses of the three resignes are provided as part of the prograph resultable sites. For phoses of the three Proposal priery because of the registive implications for preguin stream the proposal priery in the program in the progra	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	1 two shores that the recent introduction of coulse decker buse has heightened safety concerns for pedestrans. Observed that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included rost of the present are unstablished works, vegetation triming and accidents. Observed that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included rost of the present are unstablished. Observed that have influenced safety concerns were noted destructions. The present are unstablished safety the Present in Response International Present International Pr	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	It was noted that the recent introduction of double eacter buses has helpitheed safety concerns for pedicatrians. Obtractation, the time fellinemed subject women, were more than the recent introduction of double eacter from graph in building. Obtractation, the time fellinemed subject women, were more than the recent in the program in building. Obtractation in the recent in the program in building. Obtractation in the recent in the program in building. Obtractation in the recent in the program in the program in building. Obtractation in the program in the p	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	1 two shores that the recent introduction of coulse decker buse has heightened safety concerns for pedestrans. Observed that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included rost of the present are unstablished works, vegetation triming and accidents. Observed that have influenced safety concerns were noted, these included rost of the present are unstablished. Observed that have influenced safety concerns were noted destructions. The present are unstablished safety the Present in Response International Present International Pr	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	It was noted that the recent introduction of double eacter buses has helpitheed safety concerns for pedicatrians. Obtractation, the time fellinemed subject women, were more than the recent introduction of double eacter from graph in building. Obtractation, the time fellinemed subject women, were more than the recent in the program in building. Obtractation in the recent in the program in building. Obtractation in the recent in the program in building. Obtractation in the recent in the program in the program in building. Obtractation in the program in the p	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	If you noted that the recent introduction of double desker busines has heightened selfect works, vegetation trimming and accidents.	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	It was noted that the recent introduction of souble dester buses has heightened safety concerns seen render beine included on south, separation triming and societies. O Dister lations that here influenced safety corners seen render being problems that the entitiation of safety in a state of the regarder is unablated state. O propose the proposal purely because of the regarder is unablated state or unablated state. Solicities that the height safety and safety and the safety of the safety safe	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No	Grant Grant Conditional gra	If you noted that the recent introduction of double desker busines has heightened selfect works, vegetation trimming and accidents.	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration Beach renourishment
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment Association Incorporated	Oppose Support Neutral Oppose	Yes No No Yes	Grant Grant Conditional gra	It was noted that the resent introduction of double declare base has heighteenided address and was perfudent and severe and declared and was perfudent and severe the relation of the register implication for preguin ballott. Opinion for preguin purply because of the register implication for preguin ballott. Opinion for preguin purply because of the register implication for preguin purply because of the register implication for preguin ballott. Opinion for preguin purply because of the register implication of the preguin purply because of the register implication of the preguin purply because of the register implication of the preguin purply because of the purply	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration Beach renourishment Seawall design
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment	Oppose Support Support Neutral	Yes No No Yes	Grant Grant Conditional gra	1 is an anoth data the resent introduction of double decker base has helipidated alres cores men for pedestrians. - Obber factors have inflienced as factor or required institution of the required i	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration Beach renourishment Seawall design Amenity
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment Association Incorporated	Oppose Support Neutral Oppose	Yes No No Yes	Grant Grant Conditional gra	Figure 1 recent in society case of the recent in rookschool of double excleed base. Its in Equipment and accidents.	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration Beach renourishment Seawall design Amenity Safety
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment Association Incorporated	Oppose Support Neutral Oppose	Yes No No Yes	Grant Grant Conditional gra	Reconstruction to the recent instruction of double describe that he highlighteen self-united and only only. The performance of the implantation of the performance of the implantation of the performance of the performance of the implantation of the performance of the performanc	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration Beach renourishment Seawall design Amenity Safety Health
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment Association Incorporated	Oppose Support Neutral Oppose	Yes No No Yes	Grant Grant Conditional gra	Figure 1 recent in society case of the recent in rookschool of double excleed base. Its in Equipment and accidents.	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration Beach renourishment Seawall design Amenity Safety
76 Michael Rumble 77 David and Alison Carew 78 Sam Rudge 79 Wellington Water Limited 80 East Harbour Environment Association Incorporated	Oppose Support Neutral Oppose	Yes No No Yes	Grant Grant Conditional gra	Reconstruction to the recent instruction of double describe that he highlighteen self-united and only only. The performance of the implantation of the performance of the implantation of the performance of the performance of the implantation of the performance of the performanc	Penguins Safety Resilience Climate Change Tourism Economic benefit Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Alternative transport modes Infrastructure Freshwater ecology Amenity Seawall design Signage Stormwater design Penguins Coastal avifauna Intertidal ecology Amenity Beach Access Loss of beach Resilience Parking Bus shelters Path width Consent duration Beach renourishment Seawall design Amenity Safety Health

	Support	No		• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety	
				• Believes risk assessment indicators are within acceptable levels and that the consultation process was exhaustive.	Connectivity	
				• Notes the pathway around Windy Point as particularly hazardous and emphasises this as a priority for "early remedial action".		
				• Believes the shared pathway will reduce the amount of debris washed onto the road and that this will improve driving conditions for motorists.		
3 Robert Watt	Support	No		• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety and create recreational opportunities.	Safety	
				• Notes that it would be nice to bike and walk to Days Bay and Sunshine Bay but that this isn't currently possible due to safety issues associated with existing pedestrian/cycle infrastructure.	Amenity	
					Alternative transport modes	
Nigel Oxley	Oppose	Yes		• Opposes the application for reasons associated with amenity, safety and suitability for experienced cyclists.	Safety	Conditional op
				• Disagrees with the creation of a visual barrier to the sea and notes the amenity value of having an unobstructed view of the harbour when travelling around the bays.	Amenity	
				Believes that cycling and walking aren't compatible with one-another regarding speed and subsequent safety concerns associated with the risk of collision.	Connectivity	
				Believes the cycleway and walkway should be grade-separated and that this will direct pedestrians to remain within the area allocated for walking.	Atkinson tree	
				• Noted that walkers are typically unaware of cyclists that approach from behind.	Path width	
				• Believes the shared path needs to extend throughout the entirety of Days Bay to provide a safe passage for cyclists and pedestrians.		
				• Believes there are not enough safe opportunities for cyclists to negotiate their way across the road and that the pathway is not wide enough for a section for crossing.		
				• Accepts width restrictions but also notes that the shared path could be wider at some locations, particularly the "points" of the bays.		
				• Emphasised the need for a grade separation between cycle and pedestrian paths in areas where the pathway width reduces to 2.5m.		
				• Signage to alert cyclists of "pinch areas" was suggested.		
				• Opposed to removal of the Pohutakawa tree at York Bay.		
5 John Gibb	Oppose	No		• Opposes the application in its present form as it is believed the application does not present sufficient reason to reclaim the foreshore.	Safety	
				• Believes the shared path width of 3.5m is not wide enough to accommodate the ways in which different groups of people will want to use it.	Connectivity	
				• Notes that the existing landward footpath at Windy Point combined with the 3.5m shared path will be excessive.	Intertidal ecology	
				• Notes that the path varies in width and believes that continuity of the same path width is needed. It was suggested that a smaller width of 2.5m (excluding pinch points) would allow for this.	Amenity	
				• Notes that the Days Bay section to the north of Ferry Road is not in the plan and believes that it should be.	Path width	
				• Notes that the section from Point Howard to the Seaview Marina was not in the plan and that it should be, and that the current path is too narrow and dangerous for two bikes to pass.	Signage	
				• Believes that there should be space made available for cyclists to use the road in the event of extreme weather as being near the ocean is not practical due to breaking waves and debris.		
				• Notes that minor improvements could be made to the road to make it safer for cyclists.		
				Believes that there has been a lack of 'proactive work' including remedial work at road edges, introduction of signage, and driver education.		
				• It was suggested signage be implemented at Point Howard and Windy Point with particular reference to 1.5m clearance requirements for motorists passing cyclists.		
Sheryl Selwyn	Support	No		• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and motorists.	Safety	
				Notes that the road is narrow and has inadequate margins to safely accommodate cyclists and vehicles.	Amenity	
				Believes drivers are impatient and that it is difficult to gauge safe driving behaviour.	Climate Change	
				Believes that it makes sense to encourage the use of bikes for both recreational and commuting purposes.	Health	
				• Notes that increased physical activity will result and that this will decrease stress on hospitals.	Alternative transport modes	
				• Believes that other bike path options in Lower Hutt are limited.		
7 Karn and Haley Holmes	Oppose	No		• Opposes the application as it proposes to move the roadway closer to their property.	Safety	
				• Believes people not directly affected by the road shift have influenced the proposal to move the road in this direction.	Amenity	
				• Believes increased proximity to the road will make the entrance to their property unsafe when coming and going despite assurances that the proposal will not adversely affect them.		
				• Believes safety issues associated the 70km/hr speed limit will be exacerbated by shifting the road closer.		
				• Suggests that the original proposal where the road shifts less is preferable from a safety perspective and that the shared path should extend outwards more into the seaward side.		
Antony Robert Irvine	Support	No		• Supports the application as it will improve safety for users of active transport modes which should be encouraged.	Safety	
				• Notes that adverse impacts on wildlife should be minimised, using penguins as an example.	Penguins	
9 Gianne Fiona Sealey	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the application as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety	
				Notes that the shared pathway will also help protect the road from issues associated with climate change such as erosion.	Climate Change	
				Believes it will encourage people to cycle to work and that this will have positive physical and mental health effects.	Health	
					Alternative transport modes	
Anthony Fielding Willis	Support	No		• Supports the proposal for reasons associated with resilience and perceived immediate benefits.	Safety	
				• Believes long term resilience benefits will include the protection of the Eastern Bays from Sea Level Rise, better options for routing services within the path corridor, and improved local business viability from heightened visitor traffic.	Resilience	
				• Believes immediate gains include improved safety for non-fossil fuel users of the path and reduced costs of maintaining sections currently affected by waves and debris.	Tourism	
				• Notes healthier transport options will be enabled.	Health	
					Economic benefit	
					Alternative transport modes	
Cycle Wellington	Support	No		• Supports the application as it will increase resilience and improve safety.	Safety	
				• Notes pinch points along the current road as a safety issue.	Amenity	
				• Believes a consistent shared path will enable more people to walk and bike between Eastbourne and Petone.	Connectivity	
				• Believes increased access to the Remutaka Cycle Trail and Great Harbour Way will increase the amount of visitors to the area. This will be further aided by the recreational opportunities created by the shared path.	Tourism	
					Economic benefit	
					Alternative transport modes	
Liz Lander	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will have resilience and health benefits.	Resilience	
				• Emphasised that it will enable access to Petone and Lower Hutt in the event of a natural disaster.	1114-	
				• Noted the wider community will benefit from recreational opprtunities created by the shared path and that this will have physical and mental health benefits.	Health	
			1		Penguins	
				• Noted visitors to Eastbourne will be less likely to need cars. It was emphasised this will reduce fossil fuel emissions and congestion.		
				 Noted visitors to Eastbourne will be less likely to need cars. It was emphasised this will reduce fossil fuel emissions and congestion. Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. 	Penguins	
					Penguins Climate Change	
Derek Lander	Support	No		• Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account.	Penguins Climate Change	
Derek Lander	Support	No	Grant	• Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. • Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow.	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes	
Derek Lander	Support	No	Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity	
Derek Lander	Support	No	Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety	
Derek Lander	Support	No	Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal also allows for local penguins. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism	
		No Not	Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal also allows for local penguins. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit	
			Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal also allows for local penguins. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience	
		Not	Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal also allows for local penguins. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity	
		Not	Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal also allows for local penguins. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism	
		Not	Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal also allows for local penguins. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. 	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity	
Graeme Hall	Support	Not	Grant	Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal also allows for local penguins. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Believes the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area.	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall	Support	Not	Grant Grant Grant	Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Believes the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited.	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger	Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant	Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Noted path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Emphasised safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so.	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger	Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Believes the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Emphasised safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so.	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Safety	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger	Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Believes the shared path will have entwork benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Emphasised safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists.	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger	Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal acelopment processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal als it will improve the safety since, have been shown. Supports the proposal als it will improve the ransport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assests to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Believes the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Emphasised safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists. Notes that vehicle traffic is reluctant to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the road.	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger	Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant	 Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Believes th will create an enjoyable exercise option. Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling end that this detracts them from doing so. Supports the proposal as it will improve the sending in the control of the coad. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling end that this detracts them from doing so. <l< td=""><td>Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change</td><td></td></l<>	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger	Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Emphassed that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Seleives the tit will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Seleives the it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Seleives the sea wall it required around the control of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Seleives the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Seleives the sarved path will title surrounding natural assets to a stract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments of cycling. Selieves the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue of cyclists, pedestrians and	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks	Support Support Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have ecreational benefits and attract visitors. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Requested that the proposal as a liw limprove the ransport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Selieves the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Selieves the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Selieves the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Emphasised safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists. Noted that windly Point was a particularly dangerous location for cyclists, bedestrians and motorists. Noted that Windly Point was a particularly dangerous location for cyclists. Selieves the proposal is a means of protecting the area from	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks	Support Support Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implassed that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal and proposal development processes have been slow. Note that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Notes that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Note the serve the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Note shorts the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Note shorts the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Note shorts the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Note do substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. Note that walk associated with walking and cycling and walking extreme weather events. Notes that we have proposal as it will improve a feet of walking extreme weather events. Note that which are current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Note that we have a current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Note that we have a current pedestrian and cycle infrastructure around the bays is limited. Note that we have a current pedestrian and cycle infrastruc	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks	Support Support Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implassed that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Requested that the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Requested that the proposal as it will improve the propos	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
4 Graeme Hall 5 Alison Furminger 6 Virginia Horrocks	Support Support Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	• Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. • Noted that the approval and proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. • Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. • Believes it full create an enjoyable exercise option. • Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Selleves the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Believes the sear wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Relieves the sear wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Relieves the sear open and the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Noted benefits associated with the valling and cycling natural assets to attract tourists. • Noted benefits associated with the valling and cycling accordance around the bays is limited. • Emphasised safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. • Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issues sociated with the road and that this has negative implications on their punctuality. • More that exhibited traffic is refunction to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the road. • Mentioned that bus drivers expressed difficulty driving on the road and that this has negative implications on their punctuality. • Noted that Windy Point was a particularly dangerous location for cyclists. • Noted that Windy Point was a particularly dangerous location for cyclists. • Supports	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks	Support Support Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implassed that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. Requested that the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Requested that the proposal as it will improve the propos	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks	Support Support Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	• Emphasised that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. • Noted that the approval and proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. • Notes that it will make walking and cycling around the harbour safer. • Believes it full create an enjoyable exercise option. • Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Selleves the sea wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Believes the sear wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Relieves the sear wall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Relieves the sear open and the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Noted benefits associated with the valling and cycling natural assets to attract tourists. • Noted benefits associated with the valling and cycling accordance around the bays is limited. • Emphasised safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. • Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issues sociated with the road and that this has negative implications on their punctuality. • More that exhibited traffic is refunction to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the road. • Mentioned that bus drivers expressed difficulty driving on the road and that this has negative implications on their punctuality. • Noted that Windy Point was a particularly dangerous location for cyclists. • Noted that Windy Point was a particularly dangerous location for cyclists. • Supports	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School	Support Support Support	Not stated	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	• Emphasized that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. • Noted that the paproval and proposal development processes have been slow. • Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. • Requised that the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. • Requised that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. • Selieves the save all is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. • Selieves the shared path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. • Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. • Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. • Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. • Delieves the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. • Delieves the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. • Emphasized safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowry Bay and notes that this detreats them from doing so. • Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists, selections and that this has negative implications on their punctuality. • Emphasized that safety issues associated with the road det	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School	Support Support Support	Not stated No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implassed that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Notes that it will make walking and cycing around the harbour safer. Recives it will creat an enjoyable exercise option. Requised that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Relieves the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Relieves the shared path will live advant an enjoyable exercise option. Supports the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Relieves the shared path will have need the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Relieves the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way, in addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclic to school from those that this detracts them from doing so. Supports the proposal as a tirvelling the safety issue for cyclic to school from toury Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists. Notes that weithing the safety issues for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists. Notes that weithing the safety issues for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists. Noted that Windy Point was a particularly dangerous location for cyclists. Need tought the safety issues for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists. Need to the safety issues for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists. Need that Windy Point was a particularly dangerous location for cycli	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
B Derek Lander Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School Peter Green	Support Support Support	Not stated No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implassed that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal all evelopment processes have been slow. * Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. * Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. * Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. * Requested that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. * Relieves the shared path will full utilise surrounding natural assets to attract toxiss. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking in the Eastern Bays is limited. * Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety is used for children who want to cycle to school from Lower Bays is limited. * Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety is used for children who want to cycle to school from Lower Bay	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Health	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School	Support Support Support	Not stated No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implassed that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and prosposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Requised that the proposal as it will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Requised that the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for children and the harbour safer. Requised that the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the proposal as it will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the savall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Believes the savall is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Believes the shared path will lutile surrounding natural assets to attract tourists. Noted substantial infrastructural implements for cycling and walking architishes between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling, and walking architishes between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling, and walking architishes between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling and walking architishes between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling and walking architishes between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling and walking architishes between Eastbourne and Point Howard. Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling and walking architishes and cycling infrastructure around the bays is limited. Noted therefore the proposal as it will improve the safety issues for children who want to cyclic as should be a proposal as it will improve the safety issue for children who want to cyclic as benefit was all the proposal as it will improve th	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Safety Resilience Health	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School	Support Support Support	Not stated No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implasted that the needs of the local perguin propulation need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Noted that the approval not proposal as It will improve the selfey issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that It will make walking and colling around the harborus safer. Requested that the proposal as It will improve transport options. Popports the proposal as It will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as It will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Relieves the safe avail is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Relieves the safe avail is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Relieves the safe avail is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Relieves the safe and path will claims euromoding natural sasets to strate tourists. Noted benefits associated with walking a circumstance of the valley of the safe path will have recovered the entire interest of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian and optic infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian and optic infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian and pote infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian to the safety issue for children who want to cycle to shorl from Lucyara and the proposal accurrent pedestrian to the safety issue for children	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Safety Resilience Health	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School Peter Green	Support Support Support Support	Not stated No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Implasted that the needs of the local perguin propulation need to be taken into account. Noted that the approval and proposal development processes have been slow. Noted that the approval not proposal as It will improve the selfey issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. Notes that It will make walking and colling around the harborus safer. Requested that the proposal as It will improve transport options. Popports the proposal as It will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Supports the proposal as It will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. Relieves the safe avail is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Relieves the safe avail is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Relieves the safe avail is required around the balance of the Eastern Bays to provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. Relieves the safe and path will claims euromoding natural sasets to strate tourists. Noted benefits associated with walking a circumstance of the valley of the safe path will have recovered the entire interest of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian and optic infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian and optic infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian and pote infrastructure around the bays is limited. Supports the proposal accurrent pedestrian to the safety issue for children who want to cycle to shorl from Lucyara and the proposal accurrent pedestrian to the safety issue for children	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School Peter Green	Support Support Support Support	Not stated No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	* Emphasized that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. * Notored that the approval and proposal development process have been allow. * Supports the proposal as I will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. * Believes it will create an enjoyable exercise option. * Beginster it will create an enjoyable exercise option. * Supports the proposal as I will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. * Beginster it is the proposal also allows for local penguins. * Supports the proposal as I will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. * Believes the search plant will utilise surrounding natural passes to attract curbots. * Noted as bustandarial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Noted benefits associated with walking and cycling. * Beginster the shared plant will utilise searched path will utilise searched path will utilise searched path will utilise searched path will be provide protection from the sea, especially during extreme weather events. * Beginster the shared plant will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way. In addition, it was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. * Emphasized safety issues for children who want to cycle to school from Lowy Bay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. * Supports the proposal as a twill improve the safety safe to cyclists, predistrains and motions. * Note that vehicle traffic is reluctant to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the road. * Note that Windy Point was a particularly dispense to the road and that this has regard weighting in the safety safe of the road and the this has regard weighting in the safety safe of the road and the process of the road walking. * Noted that Windy Point was a particularly dispense for the countering	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Health Safety Resilience Health Safety Tourism Economic benefit	
Graeme Hall Alison Furminger Virginia Horrocks San Antonio School	Support Support Support Support	Not stated No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	* Emphasized that the needs of the local penguin population need to be taken into account. * Nuced that the approal and proposal development process have been slow. * Supports the proposal as I will improve the safety issue, have recreational benefits and attract visitors. * Believes It will create an enjoyable exercise option. * Begives It will create an enjoyable exercise option. * Supports the proposal as I will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. * Believes the search path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract to units. * Supports the proposal as I will improve transport options within the Eastern Bays and to the Hutt Valley. * Believes the search path will utilise surrounding natural assets to attract to units. * Noted substantial infrastructural impediments for cycling and walking activities between Eastbourne and Point Howard. * Believes the shared path will have network benefits in terms of its integration with other walking/cycling infrastructure in the region including the Great Harbour Way, In addition, It was noted NZTA, HCC and WCC are investing more in this area. * Supports the proposal as a unit improve the safety issues for hildren who want to cycle to school from Lowry 8ay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. * Supports the proposal as I will improve the safety issues for hildren who want to cycle to school from Lowry 8ay and notes that this detracts them from doing so. * Supports the proposal as I will improve the safety issues for clinical end of the process of the road. * Notes that whick or faffice is reluctant to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the road. * Notes that whick or affice is reluctant to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the road. * Notes that whick or affice is reluctant to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the road. * Notes that whick or affice is reluctant to pass cyclists on narrow sections of the cyclists, posteristrant and or reluctant in the safety issues for cyclists, posteristrant an	Penguins Climate Change Alternative transport modes Amenity Safety Tourism Economic benefit Penguins Connectivity Resilience Health Tourism Amenity Alternative transport modes Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes Safety Climate Change Resilience Alternative transport modes	

100 Genevieve Packer	Support	No	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists. Believes current safety issues infringe on the extent surrounding natural assets can be enjoyed and appreciated. 	Safety Amenity
101 Edward Newman	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve access and safety for users of mobility scooters.	Safety
				• Mentioned that the shared path would also be beneficial for pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users.	Tourism
				Believes the shared pathway will help grow tourism in the area.	Economic benefit
02 Christine Guthrie	Support	Not stated	Grant	• Notes that the narrow pathway is dangerous due to minimal separation between pedestrians and vehicle traffic.	Safety
03 William Guthrie	Support	No	Grant	• Emphasised that the narrow pathway for pedestrians is a deterant for those walking around the bays.	Safety
				Believes the shared pathway will enable more people of all ages to walk and cycle and appreciate the harbour scenery.	Amenity
				Believes that the shared path will attract visitors to the area.	Tourism
					Economic benefit
04 Stephen Cobb	Support	No	Grant	• Notes that the route is currently perceived as too dangerous for young children.	Alternative transport modes Safety
or Stephen coss	Зарроге	110	Grane	• Believes the shared path will increase uptake of cycling in the community.	Health
					Alternative transport modes
05 Simon Lamb 06 Sarah Anne Crawford	111	No	Grant	No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission.	Cafab
oo Saran Anne Crawford	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal for reasons associated with safety, climate change, population growth, amenity and health. • Believes population growth and its association with increased car ownership rates and larger vehicles have and will continue to make the existing path more dangerous.	Safety Amenity
				• Notes the proposal will result in increased activity levels for residents and address the health problem of obesity.	Health
				• Noted that improved safety circumstances will make it acceptable and enjoyable for people to walk around and enjoy the harbour.	Climate Change
07 D	6	.	0	Notes there are no barriers to entry and that the path will be a useful asset for pedestrians with prams and young children.	Alternative transport modes
O7 Ross Hawarth	Support	No	Grant	 Notes that it is particularly unsafe to cycle on the road around Windy Point, instead opts to cycle on the pathway. Emphasises that the area around Windy Point desperately needs the shared path. 	Safety
08 Ron Pol	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety and encourage residents to take up cycling.	Safety
				• Believes the current situation is unsafe and discourages people from cycling.	Penguins
				• Suggests that the cycle path is constructed to facilitate penguin passage between the land and sea.	Climate Change
20 Rodnou Corrett	Cumment	No	Grant	• Suggests that the shared path should help mitigate climate change effects on infrastructure such as roading and piping. • Notes that the distance between pedestrians and passing traffic is small between York Pay and Fastbourne and that this is dangerous.	Cofet:
Rodney Garrett	Support	No	Grant	 Notes that the distance between pedestrians and passing traffic is small between York Bay and Eastbourne and that this is dangerous. Emphasised that there are worse safety conditions during winter time due to poor visibility. 	Safety Alternative transport modes
				• Believes a safe walkway will encourage more people to walk and cycle and use the beaches.	, atternative dansport modes
Richard and Honor Kemp	Support	No	Grant	No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission.	
14 Deskal Communication	C	NJ =	C	. Compared the grant and an it will improve and the	
11 Rachel Garrett	Support	No	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will improve safety. Notes the area between York Bay and Eastbourne as particularly unsafe for children. 	Safety Alternative transport modes
				• Notes the area between York Bay and Eastbourne as particularly disafe for Children. • Describes that it is difficult for children to get to school in Eastbourne by walking or cycling.	Atternative transport modes
12 Philippa Bouller	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for residents and visitors to the Eastern Bays.	Safety
				Mentioned that motorists frequently cross the double yellow line to create space for cyclists and current road is too narrow to accompdate both.	
12 Patricia Carginson	Support	No	Grant	Mentioned that it isn't unusual for vehicles to crash into the sea at Windy Point. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety.	Safety
13 Patricia Sarginson	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety. • Believes safety problems arise from narrow roads, increased traffic and introduction of double-decker buses.	Safety
14 Murray Gibbons	Support	No	Grant	No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission.	
15 Muritai School	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety.	Safety
				• Notes that it is currently too dangerous to use the pathway around the bays, so the majority of children are driven to school.	Resilience
				• The shared pathway would allow the school to make use of the local area in their 'education outside the classroom' programme. • It's believed the shared path will improve storm resilience. Parents of school children will be able to reach out to them in the event of a serious weather event when access to the school from other bays may otherwise be obstructed.	Health Education
				• It was noted that the shared path will benefit other school-age children, including those at high school in Lower Hutt.	Alternative transport modes
				• It was mentioned that the shared path would have health benefits for children.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 Merrin Neilson	Support	No	Grant	Noted there is little space between vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety
				• Noted power poles as an additional obstacle for pedestrians and cyclists on the existing path.	Infrastructure
17 Megan Turner	Neutral	No	Grant	• Neutral to the proposal for reasons associated with coastal habitats, Sea Level Rise and safety.	Safety
				• Believes pedestrians and cyclists are too close to vehicle traffic.	Penguins
				• Concerned about the effects of the shared path on the habitat of the Little Penguin.	Climate Change
				Believes that Sea Level Rise may render the path useless in the long-term.	Intertidal ecology Seawall design
18 Mary Howarth	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists.	Safety
				• Notes the pathway is too narrow for cyclists.	Speed limit
				Noted distractions to cyclists along the pathway can easily be fatal. Cited an incident she personally witnessed. Pallows are an east along the personal limits.	
				 Believes cars are not observing the speed limit. Believes there is an urgent need for the shared path. 	
19 Mark and Sally Velvin	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety.	Safety
				Believes the portion of Marine Parade that passes through Lowry Bay is particularly dangerous because it does not have a safety barrier to prevent children from entering the road from the beach.	Resilience
20 Marzarat T	C	N	Cuciat	Believes there is not currently a suitable seawall. Noted that during high tide sea wash over the road can make driving conditions even more dangerous. Supports the proposal as they would like the apportunity to walk sofaly around the bays.	Seawall design
20 Margaret Turner 21 Leonard McNaught	Support Support	No Yes	Grant Grant	• Supports the proposal as they would like the opportunity to walk safely around the bays. • Supports the proposal as it will make younger people more active.	Safety Health
		- 23		• Believes that current safety issues detract younger people from cycling around the bays.	Safety
				• Believes current safety circumstances around the bays for cyclists and pedestrians are "the weakest part of life in the bays".	Alternative transport modes
12 Maith and Burning To	C	N -	C==:	• Supports the improvement of healthy communications between the bays as a priority over all other concerns for residents and visitors.	
Keith and Ronelle Bolton	Support	No	Grant	 Supports the proposal due to the safety issue for pedestrians and cyclists. Noted that there are inadequate sidewalks for pedestrians in Lowry Bay in particular. 	Safety
				• Noted that there are inadequate sidewalks for pedestrians in Lowry Bay in particular. • Believe the current situation is inadequate and unsustainable.	
23 Katharine Good	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety
				 Safety concerns have restricted the submitter to cycling in the area between Rona Bay and Burdens Gate. Noted concerns of being cut off from the hospital during an extreme weather event or disaster. 	Resilience Climate Change
				• Noted concerns of being cut off from the hospital during an extreme weather event or disaster. • Mentioned that the shared path will improve resilience of the community to storm surges which will reduce the chance of road closures.	Penguins
				• Supports plans to protect the community from Sea Level Rise.	. 5.18
				• Is confident that the proposal takes into sufficient account environmental impacts of the proposed path, including creation of more resilient penguin breeding sites.	
Karen Wakelin	Support	No	Grant	 Notes that the footpath is narrow for cycling and walking. Believes the shared path is essential given current issues associated with climate change and the need to encourage physical activity. 	Safety Climate Change
				• Believes the shared path is essential given current issues associated with climate change and the need to encourage physical activity.	Health
25 Joanne Salisbury	Support	No	Grant	Believes the proposal will improve safety for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists.	Safety
				• Believes narrow sections of Marine Parade create dangerous conditions for everyone. • Relieves exclists and nodestrians often year towards the read when the existing path ands/parrows without sheeking for enseming vehicles.	Penguins
				 Believes cyclists and pedestrians often veer towards the road when the existing path ends/narrows without checking for oncoming vehicles. Believes the Little Penguin needs to be accounted for in the design which should allow for easy access to and from the ocean. 	
26 Jill Nalder	Support	No	Grant	• Believes the Little Penguin needs to be accounted for in the design which should allow for easy access to and from the ocean. • Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists.	Safety
			3.3	• Believes that the shared path will also provide a buffer from wave action, high tides, storm events and Sea Level Rise.	Resilience
					Climate Change
27 Jeanine Reid	Support	No	Grant	• Feels uncomfortable riding around the bays and needs to be hyper vigilant to the path width, standard, traffic and pedestrians given the narrow width of the pathway.	Safety
				• Believes that the safety issues limit access to the Pencarrow Bike Ride. It was also noted that safety issues make this part of the Remutaka Cycleway difficult and that this detracts people from using and seeing it as a local attraction. • Noted resilience benefits regarding extreme weather events influencing the extent emergency services can access Eastbourne.	Connectivity Resilience
				• Noted resilience benefits regarding extreme weather events influencing the extent emergency services can access Eastbourne. • Notes that people can already see the impacts of seawalls in the area and accept the visual impact knowing the benefits the seawall can create.	Amenity
28 Janice Heine	Support	No	Grant	• Noted personal health problems with arthritis influence the extent balance can be mantained whilst cycling on Marine Parade, particularly in adverse weather.	Safety
				• Noted that the road pinches outwards at Lowry, Mahina and Sunshine Bay and that these are the most dangerous sections of the road.	Health
				 Believes the shared path will provide protection from wave action and extreme weather events and that Sea Level Rise will make this more of a necessity. Suggested another way to protect the road could be to construct surf breaks or breakwaters to absorb energy from the wave movement. 	Resilience Climate Change

	Support	No	Grant	• Notes the importance of protecting the foreshore and coastline, safety, attracting visitors to the area, and the ability of people to move safely around the bays.	Safety
				Believes the shared path will improve safety conditions for local residents, particularly school children who would be able to bike to school.	Tourism
				Believes the path would draw visitors to the area supporting local companies.	Alternative transport modes
					Economic benefit
Jackie and Keith Levy	Support	No	Grant	Notes the amenity value associated with the harbour and the enjoyment received cycling alongside it on portions of the shared path that are not dangerous.	Safety
,				• Mentioned that it is great to cycle from Days Bay on the walkways into the Hutt City, across Ewen Bridge and down the southern cycle track of the Hutt River to the coast.	Amenity
				• Has previously used the facility to ride to the top of the Remutaka Incline Cycle Track	Health
1 Hilary Beadle	Support	No	Grant	Notes the speed of cars combined with the lack of space for cyclists and pedestrians is a concern but believes this can be solved with the shared path.	Safety
2 Harold Knight			Not stated	• Neutral to the proposal for reasons associated with sea spray onto the roadway.	Infrastructure
				• Believes there are currently two areas in particular that present safety issues for motorists, cyclists and pedestrians in adverse weather conditions. Lowry Bay as one example. Attached photos of areas of the bays showing encroachment of the tide.	Safety
				• Notes that waves rise higher than vehicles during even mild weather conditions and that the sea encroaches on the road.	Seawall design
				• Believes some portions of the road are shaped poorly and do not allow for drainage in the event of sea inundation especially Lowry Bay.	553.11311.355.351
				• Believes the proposed shared path is overly exposed to the ocean and will be uncomfortable for pedestrians and cyclists in the event of a moderate swell.	
				• Suggested implementation of a higher barrier to prevent the sea from splashing onto the road and provided an indicative sketch.	
3 Hamish Morison	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety
				• Believes that the risk of serious injury or death as a result of current path is high.	Tourism
				Believes that the bays should be safe for pedestrians and cyclists, particularly for visitors in the summer months.	Amenity
				• Notes that the process of constructing a shared path has been slow and that the York Bay section raised hopes and then ground to a halt.	,
				• Believes that the increasing popularity of the beaches, bush walks, galleries and cafes/restaurants in Days Bay warrants safer infrastructure for cyclists and pedestrians.	
4 Gerard Christie	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal for reasons associated with safety, health and motives to reduce emissions.	Safety
	''			Would like younger children to realise the health benefits associated with cycling but believes the road is too dangerous.	Health
				• Notes cars are needed for short distances because it isn't safe to walk around the bays.	Climate Change
				Believes the shared path will reduce car trips and emissions and that this will benefit the environment.	Alternative transport modes
5 Francesca O'connel	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists.	Safety
				• Notes that roads are too windy and narrow to accommodate cars, pedestrians and bikes and that this is unsafe.	Climate Change
				Believes the shared path will allow school children to bike to school and that they cannot currently.	Seawall design
				• Notes an improved sea wall will protect the road from debris after a storm.	Resilience
				• Believes for reasons associated with climate change that the need for a sea wall will increase in the future.	Alternative transport modes
6 Finn Connel	Support	No	Grant	Notes has nearly been hit by motorists and the current road is inadequate for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety
	1				'
7 Felicity Lovell	Support	No	Grant	Would like her children to be able to safely bike to school from Lowry Bay but believes this isn't currently possible.	Safety
,				• Believes the cycle way would allow children to safely sit on the beach at Lowry Bay.	Amenity
					Beach Access
88 Elspeth Cotsilinis	Support	No	Grant	• Notes the current path is not safe for pedestrians or cyclists.	Safety
	''			Believes buses and trucks speed around corners and have poor visibility of the road.	Connectivity
				• Notes there is no alternative access road either.	
9 Elizabeth Palmer	Support	No	Grant	Belives the proposal would make it much safer for cyclists given the lack of width on the existing path	Safety
	''			Believes cars cannot overtake cyclists without having to cross the centre line of the road.	,
				Believes there is urgent need to reduce the amount of sea water getting onto the road and that this currently creates a severe traffic hazard as cars swerve into the oncoming lane.	
				• Believes the amount of debris deposited on the road from waves is a safety hazard. Notes that this debris regular clearance by the Council.	
				• Suggested implementation of passing bays if there is enough space.	
Elizabeth Knightly	Support	No	Grant	• Notes that effects of global warming will increase.	Safety
	оприст		- Crane	• Notes that more people should be biking and using buses.	Climate Change
				The test street in the people street as a	Alternative transport modes
1 Elaine Skyes	Support	No	Grant	• States it is very dangerous to cycle or walk from Eastbourne to Days Bay.	Safety
Liame skyes	зарроге		Grane	 Notes witnessing numerous near misses and accidents involving cars/buses or people cycling/walking. 	Surcey
2 Edward Mills	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal but wanted to contribute additional comments.	Safety
Lawara iviiiis	Зарроге		Grant	• Pleased to see the alterations/positioning of the path at Point Howard retains carparks for summer/winter visitors. Also pleased with the bus stop positioning at this location along with the large out crops of rock.	Amenity
				• Believes proposed curb of 200mm is ugly, does not offer protection, and that vehicles will be launched onto the beach upon hitting it.	Bus shelters
				• Suggested use of bollards would be a better system.	Parking
				• Believes concrete waste from the existing foreshore should be removed or buried out of sight.	, and the second
43 East Harbour Kindergarten	Support	No	Grant	• East Harbour Kindergarten supports the proposal as it will improve safety for children, parents and teachers.	Safety
				• Encourages development of healthy habits and fitness but believes the current pathway is too dangerous for children and parents to use.	Health
				• Believes the lack of a usable pathway reduces community resilience to emergencies. Notes that tidal surges can close the only road accessing Eastbourne.	Resilience
				Denotes the name of a assure partition, residence to emergences the samples can stose the simple samples and assured the samples can stose the simple sample sa	
					Alternative transport modes
					Alternative transport modes
					Alternative transport modes
14 Diane Chevene (on behalf c	of Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety - notes safety as the primary concern.	
14 Diane Cheyene (on behalf o	of Support	No	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will improve safety - notes safety as the primary concern. Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. 	Alternative transport modes Safety Connectivity
, ,	of Support	No	Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road.	Safety
Days Bay Residents	of Support	No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism
Days Bay Residents	of Support	No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. 	Safety Connectivity
Days Bay Residents	of Support	No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism
Days Bay Residents	of Support	No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism
Days Bay Residents Association)			Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes
Days Bay Residents Association)				 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism
Days Bay Residents Association)				 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety
Days Bay Residents Association)				 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety
Days Bay Residents Association)				 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons	Support	No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons	Support	No		 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety
	Support	No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion
Days Bay Residents Association) 45 Diana Gibbons	Support	No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes that cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes	Support	No No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos	Support Support	No No	Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos	Support	No No	Grant Grant Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point	Support	No No	Grant Grant Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point	Support	No No	Grant Grant Grant	Relieves cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasieses that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays.	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Each renourishment
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point	Support	No No	Grant Grant Grant	 Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes Introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-blike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it in t possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. 	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association)	Support Support Support	No No No	Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasies that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with whelicke travelling in the same direction. Notes that cyclists compete for space with whelicke travelling in the same direction. Notes that cyclists compete for space with whelicke travelling in the same direction. Notes that cyclists compete for space with whelicke travelling in the same direction. Notes that cyclists own and the cyclists of the cyclists of the shared path will respect to the shared path will respect to the shared path will respect to the cyclist to cycl	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association)	Support Support Support	No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes cylidren should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasies that the area north of Windy Point Sparticularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed 1s a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes shared gas subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassie free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use -bilk to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as It will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Notes current situation means walking from York Bay to Eastbourne is unsafe.	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association)	Support Support Support	No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasies that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with wehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-blike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Notes renourishment of the Point Howard Beach is a necessity to retain Point Howard Association support and that this beach is a community asset. Car parking must also be retained near Point Howard Beach so motorists are not tempted to park on the shared path will enable them to take their grandchildren for walls.	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association)	Support Support Support	No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasies that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed 1s a frequent cyclist on the road between lowery Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Notes removishment of the Point Howard Beach so motorists are not tempted to park on the shared path during busy days. Notes a parking must also be retained near Point Howard Beach so motorists are not tempted to park on the shared path will enable them to take their grandchildren for walks. Notes that but said and art raffic is too does to pedestrians and cyclets.	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes
Days Bay Residents Association) 45 Diana Gibbons 46 David Sykes 47 Dave Devos 48 Alison Gandy (for Point	Support Support Support	No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believs children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Relievs sycitists, wheelchair users, runners, wales and those with prams need a valual link with the Bay, Petone, the butt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly diagerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path it is a safe hastel free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bix to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Hopes that it is not possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. Hopes that it is not possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Notes car parking must also be retained near Point Howard Beach is a necessity to retain Point Howard Association support and that this beach is a community asset. **Car parking must also be retained near Point Howard Beach so motorists are not tempted to park on the shared path will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Notes that bus and car traffic is too close to pedestrians and cyclists.	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association)	Support Support Support	No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safety to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes verifiest, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Storag agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclist on the road between Lowry Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hastle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will recute the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that shared path will encoule the visition of their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as believes the shared path will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes the shared path will enable them to take their grandchildren for walls. Notes that buy and car Taffic is to close to pedestrians and cyclist and believes the shared path will enable them to take their grandchildren for walls. Notes that our and car Taffic is to close to pedestrians and cyclist is an excessity to color and that this beach is a community asset. Car parking must also be retained near Point Howard Basch is an excessity to color to pedestrians	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes
Days Bay Residents Association) 45 Diana Gibbons 46 David Sykes 47 Dave Devos 48 Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association) 49 Clare Garrett	Support Support Support Support	No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safety to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchair user, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petone, the Hutta di Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introduction of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasises that the arean orth of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists on the road fage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-bike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. Note stall great the shared path will enable the confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Notes tenourishment of the Point Howard Beach is no tocks to a receive the shared path during busy days. Notes that current situation means walking from York Bay to Eastbourne is unsafe. Believes the shared path will enable ten	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change Climate Change
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association)	Support Support Support Support	No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclists, wheelchaft users, runners, wallers and those with prains need a vist limit with the Bays, Foton, the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowy Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes car pass cyclists with every title space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path by as a lar hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e-blike to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopes the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. Hopes that share the possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as is lively select the volume of vehicular traffic. Believes it will enable less confident cyclists to cycle around the bays. Notes remonstrate the proposal as an excessity for exclisits and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes the shared path will reduce the bays. Notes remonstrate ment of the residents and visitors. Hotos current situation means walking from York Bay to Eastbourne is unsafe. Believes the shared path will enable them to take their grandchildren for walks. Notes that currently drives to also expectations and cycles con do does to pedestrians and cycles. Believes begone would walk more on a lower before containing as people could w	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change
Days Bay Residents Association) Diana Gibbons David Sykes Dave Devos Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association) Clare Garrett	Support Support Support Support	No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Relieves cyclist, wheelchar users, runners, walkers, and those with prans need a vital link with the Bays, Pence, the Hust and Remustak Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introducinon of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasies that the area north of Windy Point is particularly diagnerous. Strong agreement from residence that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowy Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists complet for space with vehiclas travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hasde free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. **Would like to use e-bike to the to Petone using the road and read path. **Regular in possible of the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. **reds the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. **Regular in possible of the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. **Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve selfly for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. **Believes the barries the proposal as the will improve selfly for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. **Believes the barries the proposal as the intelligence of the proposal as the intelligence of the proposal as the proposal as the intelligence of the proposal as the pr	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change
Days Bay Residents Association) 5 Diana Gibbons 6 David Sykes 7 Dave Devos 8 Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association) 9 Clare Garrett	Support Support Support Support	No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safety to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclist, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petrons. the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introducin of double deceders buses as an additional source of diagney for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use a believe the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great beneated to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it sn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Selleves it will enable less confidence yicks to cycle a count the bays. Notes renourishment of the Point Howard Beach is an excessify to retain Point Howard Association support and that this beach is a community asset. Car parking mutal slab be retained early point the groposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and cyclists. Notes excess the shared path will enable them to take their grandchildren for walks. Notes that our and act artific its to close to pedestrians and cyc	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change
Days Bay Residents Association) 5 Diana Gibbons 6 David Sykes 7 Dave Devos 8 Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association) 9 Clare Garrett	Support Support Support Support	No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Relieves cyclist, wheelchar users, runners, walkers, and those with prans need a vital link with the Bays, Pence, the Hust and Remustak Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introducinon of double decker buses as an additional source of danger for cyclists. Emphasies that the area north of Windy Point is particularly diagnerous. Strong agreement from residence that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowy Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists complet for space with vehiclas travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hasde free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. **Would like to use e-bike to the to Petone using the road and read path. **Regular in possible of the shared path will reduce the volume of vehicular traffic. **reds the path will be of great benefit to the residents and visitors. **Regular in possible of the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission. **Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve selfly for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. **Believes the barries the proposal as the will improve selfly for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. **Believes the barries the proposal as the intelligence of the proposal as the intelligence of the proposal as the proposal as the intelligence of the proposal as the pr	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change
Days Bay Residents Association) 5 Diana Gibbons 6 David Sykes 7 Dave Devos 8 Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association) 9 Clare Garrett 0 Alister Harrison	Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Belleves children should be able to ride safely to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Belleves cyclik, wheelchair users, numers, walkers and those with prams and a vital link with the Bays, Petons, the Hust and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bays is skited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly diagnerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed. Is a frequent cyclis on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being supplect to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hasde free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use e like to ride to Petone using the new shared path. Hopse that it isn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. No detail given on lature of reasons for their submission. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Believes the little make like scanning and a proper safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Seleviews this unlike believes the Point Howard Beach is a necessity to retain Point Howard Association support and that this beach is a community asset. Car parking must also be relatined ones Point Howard Beach is an exessity to retain Point Howard Association support and that this beach is a community asset. Relieves the point will enable them to take their grandchildren for walks. Notes current situation means walking from York Bay to Eastbourne is unsafe. Believes the days would be used more for swimming as people could walk between them. Believes the bare out of the count of the circuit of the circuit of the circuit of	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change
Days Bay Residents Association) 5 Diana Gibbons 6 David Sykes 7 Dave Devos 8 Alison Gandy (for Point Howard Association) 9 Clare Garrett	Support Support Support Support Support	No No No No No	Grant Grant Grant Grant Grant	Believes children should be able to ride safety to school instead of being driven which will reduce the number of cars on the road. Believes cyclist, wheelchair users, runners, walkers and those with prams need a vital link with the Bays, Petrons. the Hutt and Remutaka Cycle Trail. Notes Days Bay is visited by many and that this is one of the reasons there has been many avoidable accidents and near misses. Notes introducin of double deceders buses as an additional source of diagney for cyclists. Emphasises that the area north of Windy Point is particularly dangerous. Strong agreement from residents that the shared pathway proceed Is a frequent cyclist on the road between Lowny Bay, Eastbourne and Petone. Notes that cyclists compete for space with vehicles travelling in the same direction. Notes cars pass cyclists with very little space. Notes being subject to road rage from motorists when using the road lane to cycle. Supports the proposal as believes the shared path is a safe hassle free way to enjoy Wellington Harbour. Would like to use a believe the volume of vehicular traffic. Feels the path will be of great beneated to the residents and visitors. Hopes that it sn't possible for the shared path to be used by cars for parking. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Strongly supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and result in less cars being used for short trips. Selleves it will enable less confidence yicks to cycle a count the bays. Notes renourishment of the Point Howard Beach is an excessify to retain Point Howard Association support and that this beach is a community asset. Car parking mutal slab be retained early point the groposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians and cyclists. Notes excess the shared path will enable them to take their grandchildren for walks. Notes that our and act artific its to close to pedestrians and cyc	Safety Connectivity Tourism Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Safety Congestion Parking Alternative transport modes Safety Amenity Beach renourishment Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change Safety Amenity Alternative transport modes Climate Change

152 Charlotte Hargreaves					
	Support	No Grant		Safety	
			 Notes that when cyclists decide to use the road that they are in danger from cars who want to overtake. Notes current pathway is disconnected and poorly constructed. 	Amenity Tourism	
			• Concerned about interaction with buses and speed of traffic.	Connectivity	
			Believes the shared path would be an asset to the bays and that it would be greatly used by visitors and locals.	Connectivity	
153 Anne Duncan	Support N	No Grant	No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission.		
154 Anne and Graham Seabroo	ok Support N	No Grant	States no reason to delay construction of the path as it is consistent with Govt. policies	Safety	
			Believes environmental effects are minimal compared to not doing anything	Resilience	
			• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety, have resilience benefits and encourage active exercise.	Climate Change	
			Notes that safety issues associated with the road and narrow path detract pedestrians from walking on it.	Tourism	
			Worries about buses and bus drivers, especially double decker buses, having to navigate the road and weave through cars, trucks and bikes.	Amenity	
			Believes that not constructing the shared path would contradict the climate crisis declared by many NZ councils and would be in conflict with Govt policies.	Policy	
			• Believes the shared path would improve resilience to extreme weather events. Noted that the commuity is reliant on access to external services during emergencies.	Alternative transport modes	
			 Notes a shared path with the appropriate engineering features would increase the resilience of the bays to climate change. Notes that the shared path as part of the Great Harbour Way will be an amazing tourist and recreational facility. 	Seawall design	
155 Andrew Cleland	Support N	No Grant	No detail given on nature of or reasons for their submission.		
156 Terry Webb		No Grant	•	Safety	
			• Notes that residents are concerned about local impacts on the foreshore, especially beaches.	Beach loss	
			Believes that benefits outweigh negative impacts.	Climate Change	
			Notes car usage will reduce and that this is currently too high to be sustainable.		
157 NZTA	Support	No Grant	• Supports the proposal as it aligns with the four strategic priorities of the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport, safety, access, environment and value for money.	Funding	
				Policy	
			• Notes that NZTA has not undertaken a wider analysis of the project's environmental impacts.	Safety	
			• Once consenting is complete the Windy Point section (Days Bay to Eastbourne) has been identified as likely to receive funding from the National Land Transport Fund.	Connectivity	
1EQ Cally Dain	Cupacit	Alot C	• At request of the HCC, funding from the urban cycleways fund was reallocated to the Beltway and the Wainuiomata Hill Shared Path walking and cycling projects (notes this differs to information provided about funding in the AEE).	Amenity	Conditional
158 Sally Bain		Not Grant	• Supports the proposal but expressed specific concerns in relation to wildlife and safety. • Concerned possible mitigation measures such as building habitat or better animal control have been excluded unpresessarily from the scope of the project.	Penguins Coastal avifauna	Conditional sup
		stated	• Concerned possible mitigation measures such as building habitat or better animal control have been excluded unnecessarily from the scope of the project. • Concerned proposed construction of habitat on the rock rip rap will cause further destruction of established nesting habitats.	Resilience	
			• Fears the shared path will only improve resilience for a finite period.	Safety	
			• Believes cars will soon be swept off the road if sufficient action is not taken.	Climate Change	
			Notes health risk for council staff who have to clear debris.	Amenity	
			Believes there has been inadequate planning for car parking along the path and wants to see commitment to no parking and dogs on leads bylaws.	Parking	
			Believes that despite public disapproval railing may be needed on the path for safety and the public needs more transparency about its design.	Rip rap islands	
			• Supports the proposal but emphasises a need for better mitigation for penguins.	Safety barrier	
			RECOMMENDATIONS:		
			Believes the best solution would be to install rock rip rap islands where the sea wall experiences the most impact during adverse weather.		
			Believes rock islands would suppress waves and gravels which currently blocks drains and pipes and will slow down loss of sand reducing need for beach nourishment.		
			• Notes such islands have been incorporated into the shared path between Ngauranga and Petone.		
			Believes implementation of this solution will create habitat.		
159 Te Aranui O Pōneke, The	Support Y	Yes Grant	• Suggested implementation of a trial rip rap rock island of 30m at Sorrento Bay.	Amonity	
Great Harbour Way Trust		Yes Grant	• Expressed full support for the proposal for reasons listed as follows: • Believes continuity of an essential link between the bays, Lower Hutt and Wellington will address a substantial amenity absence.	Amenity Resilience	
Great Harbour Way Trust			• Supports and commends HCC in its proposal to construct 4.4km of shared path between Point Howard and the northern end of Days Bay, and between the southern end of Days Bay and Eastbourne.	Connectivity	
			• Cites merit in developing the pathway in a way that is consistent with design elements of the Great Harbour Way including the linear park concept and branded signage.	Safety	
			• Notes significant health benefits of walking and cycling.	Health	
			Wants transparency with funding and the investment components of the path.	Ecological	
			• Notes amenity value in conjunction with resilience outcomes such as protecting the road, shoreline, and wildlife against impacts of climate change.	Climate Change	
			• Believes sea wall infrastructure should be adaptable for sea incursions to be moderated/rebuffed in the future.	Beach Access	
			RECOMMENDATIONS	Path width	
			Believes there should be separation between cyclists and pedestrians. Suggests that in absence of this that a path width of 5m would be suitable though spatial constraints are noted.	Seawall design	
			• Urges consideration of slower, more vulnerable groups including the elderly, disabled and children.	Funding	
			• Suggests design and signage to encourage those on bikes and scooters who want to travel faster to use the road instead.	Signage	
			• Suggests implementation of different amenities including drinking fountains, rest areas and wayfinding/distance signage where space allows. • Visual travel counters to track walking and cycling uptake.		
			• Suggests HCC should find a way to create a separated shared path on the Waione St Overbridge to aid connectivity between the bays and the rest of the network.		
			• Refers to needing to be consistent with a Landscape Architect report undertaken by Boffa Miskell rgarding the design standards and amenities of the shared path. Includes a description of all desired amenities (refer full submission for details).		
160 Figns Christaller	Cupport	Oc Cross	• Supports the proposal but believes the design can be more responsive to local areas with specific reference to Verk Pay	Amenity	
160 Fiona Christeller	Support Y	Yes Grant	• Supports the proposal but believes the design can be more responsive to local areas with specific reference to York Bay. • Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for heach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared nathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the heach at York Bay.	Amenity Reach Access	
160 Fiona Christeller	Support Y	'es Grant	• Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay.	Beach Access	
160 Fiona Christeller	Support Y	res Grant	• Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses.	Beach Access Safety	
160 Fiona Christeller	Support Y	res Grant	 Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) 	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree	
160 Fiona Christeller	Support Y	res Grant	• Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses.	Beach Access Safety	
		Yes Grant Yes Decline	 Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) 	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp	
			 Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. 	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width	
			 Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. 	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins	
			 Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy	
160 Fiona Christeller 161 Department of Conservatio			• Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. • Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans.	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy	
			• Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. • Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS:	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy	
			Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: Navifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Little Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy	
			• Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tre which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx/2m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. • Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: • Avifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Little Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern.	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (ZmnZm) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: Avifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Little Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern. Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/r	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that a new ramp should be built for boats (ZmxZm) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: A vifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Little Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern. Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the NZCPS directs adverse effects on these habitats be avoided. Believes Policies 32 and 40 and Schedule 6 of the PNRP are contravened and that the proposal is contrary to relevant policies of the PNRP and NZC	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramps should be build for boats (Suzvizn) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: Notes The section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the NZCPS directs adverse effects on these habitats be avoided. Believes Policies 32 and 40 and Schedule 6 of the PNRP are contravened and that the proposal is contrary to relevant policies of the PNRP and NZCPS. Notes 5000m2 of shorebird foraging habitat will be lost and further adverse effects on avifauna will arise from dogs and pedestrians during	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			Emphasises the importance of Akkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. Notes Torona at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern. Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the NZCPS directs adverse effects on these habitats be avoided. Believes Policies 32 and 40 and Schedule 6 of the PNRP are contravened and that the proposal is contrary to relevant policies of the PNRP and NZCPS. Notes 5000m2 of shorebird foraging habitat will be lo	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			Emphasises the importance of Akinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2m/2m) Cannot understand why the path does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: Avifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Little Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern. Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the NZCP	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			• Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx2m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. • Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be a tracted as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. • Avifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Little Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern. • Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the NZCPS directs adverse effects on	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			Emphasises the importance of Aktinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Upposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be a trached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: Avifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern. Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the N	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
				Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			Emphasises the importance of Aktinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that there aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. Upposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be a trached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVIFAUNA FORAGING AREAS: Avifauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Variable Oyster Catcher). Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Red-billed Gull and the White-fronted Tern. Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule F2c of the PNRP as Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the N	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
				Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			• Imphasizes the importance of Atkinon Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that here aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps the re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx/m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NECPS 2010. • Believes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NECPS 2010. • Believes the proposal day is does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowy Bay is the only, known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that that is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP), Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass send to be a factorial adverse effect by PNRP and part that we will be accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP as decordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS directs adverse effects on these habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			• Emphasies the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that ther are arrival may proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps be re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boasts (2m/2m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. • Believes the proposal does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifurua foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowry Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP). Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on sea grass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP as Elieves measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP as Elieves measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. • Loss of AVIFAINIAN FORAGING AREAS: • AVIfauna at the site include two nationally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Tern), one regionally threatened species (Scripting Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP are contraversed and that the proposal is contrary to relevant policies of the PNRP as (Significant Habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires these be protected/restored. Policy 11 of the NZ	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
			• Imphasizes the importance of Atkinon Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that here aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps the re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx/m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NECPS 2010. • Believes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NECPS 2010. • Believes the proposal day is does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowy Bay is the only, known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that that is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP), Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass send to be a factorial adverse effect by PNRP and part that we will be accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP as decordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS directs adverse effects on these habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
161 Department of Conservatio	on Oppose Y		• Imphasizes the importance of Atkinon Tree which provides shade for beach users. Believes the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. • Notes steps to beaches have been reduced and that here aren't any proposed for York Bay. Current steps allow for beach access and safe shelter for children waiting for care givers after getting off buses. • Suggests steps the re-included and that a new ramp should be built for boats (2mx/m) • Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the northern end of Days Bay. • Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NECPS 2010. • Believes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NECPS 2010. • Believes the proposal day is does not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: • Notes that at the southern end of Lowy Bay is the only, known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that that is a threatened species (Schedule F5 in PRNP), Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical disturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP. Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass send to be a factorial adverse effect by PNRP and part that we will be accordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRP as decordance with Policy 11 of the NECPS directs adverse effects on these habitats for Indigenous Birds in the CMA. Policy P40 of the PNRP requires	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	
	on Oppose Y	Yes Decline	Emphasises the importance of Atkinson Tree which provides shade for beach users. Selivers the tree has symbolic value. Suggests the shared pathway should narrow at the tree and along the main part of the beach at York Bay. Notes steps be reincluded and that a new ramp should be built to boats (2mx/m) Cannot understand why the path does not continue to the norther need of Joys Bay. Opposes the proposal as it does not take a precautionary approach in line with NZCPS 2010. Believes the proposal ose not adequately address potential adverse effects on coastal vegetation, avifauna foraging habitat and penguin habitat. COASTAL VEGETATION: Notes that at the southern end of Lowyr Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule PS in PRNP): Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical idisturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on seagrass to the attachment of Lowyr Bay is the only known area of sea grass in the Wellington Harbour and that it is a threatened species (Schedule PS in PRNP): Believes potential effects on seagrass include sedimentation, physical idisturbance and smothering from movement of deposited material and that any effects on seagrass need to be in accordance with Polloy 11 of the NZCPS and Policy P31, P32, P40 and P41 of the PNRPP Believes measures to avoid effects on seagrass need to be attached as conditions of consent rather than Management Plans. LOSS OF AVITALIAN FORGING NAREAS: A Viafusian at the attention to notionally threatened species (Reef Heron and Caspian Term), one regionally threatened species (Variable Dyster Catcher), Nine at risk species also inhabit the site, these are the Northern Giant Petrel, Fluttering Shearwater, Black Shag, Little Black Shag, Reef-billed Gull and the White-Fornted Term. Notes the section of coast at the northern end of Days Bay to Point Howard is listed in Schedule P3 or AVCPCS. A design of the PNRP are contravered and th	Beach Access Safety Atkinson Tree Boat ramp Path width Penguins Coastal avifauna Policy Seagrass	

Ruth Gilbert	Neutral	No	Not stated	• Expressed conditional support for the proposal. If conditions are not adhered to then it was stated that the submitter is opposed. Conditions are listed as follows: REDUCED PATH WIDTH:	Amenity Penguins	Condition
				Believes the width of the shared path should be reduced to 2-2.5m for the entire length for consistency and to minimise beach loss.	Coastal avifauna	
				• Believes 2-2.5m width is sufficient for pedestrians and the current number of cyclists.	Beach Access	
				Believes there is no reason why cyclists and pedestrians have to be separated and cannot use a shared area whilst giving way to one-another.	Path width	
				• Believes there is no reason the path should vary from 3.5m to 2.5m wide.	Beach renourishment	
				Believes mitigation through "beach nourishment" will not be effective and that beaches will reduce over time and there is no commitment to ongoing beach renourishment.	Safety barrier	
				EXCLUSION OF RAILING	Loss of beach	
				Believes railing should not be used and that proposed railing over-regulates a natural and normal risk to daily life. Note a railing is a visual problem to the visual and proposed railing over-regulates a natural and normal risk to daily life.		
				 Notes railing is a visual pollutant and creates a barrier to the views of the sea and natural environment. Believes debris may get stuck in railing after a storm. 		
				ADHERANCE TO RECOMMENDATIONS IN ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS:		
				Believes recommendations in environmental reports produced by consultants need to be adhered to.		
				• Has not seen anywhere in the application that states they will be adhered to.		
				Believes recommendations of scientific experts on fish, bird and other environmental mitigations could be ignored.		
				ADDITIONAL CONCERNS:		
				• Future Sea Level Rise and extreme weather events will contribute to loss of beaches. Notes amenity value associated with living near the beach and acknowledges its value to the wider region. Concerned about the beach being diminished as a result of the shared path.		
				• Concerned about disruption of habitat for sea life and birds. Explicitly mentions Little Blue Penguin habitat and does not believe proposed nesting sites at seaview marina are realistic. • Believes the path will only reduce congestion if strategies and policies are in place to incentivise people not to use their cars. Believes less cars will make the road safe for cyclists and allow for a reduced path width exclusively for pedestrians.		
Graeme Lyon	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal for reasons set out in the summary.	Resilience	
	0.000.0		0.0	Believes that despite some damage, effects will be minor.	Beach renourishment	
				• Suggests beach enhancement if possible.		
				• If Lowry Bay Beach is widened, is prepared to assist with increasing the current single dune plant to encourage and maintain beach dunes.		
				Believes the road should be raised well above high tide level at the southern end of Lowry Bay.		
Mark Drager	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. • Supports the proposal as it will improve safety of yulperable users including children travelling to from school.	Safety	
				• Expresses concern for the safety of vulnerable users, including children travelling to/from school. • Believes the narrow width of the path in conjunction with high speed limit of 70km/hr increases risk of fatalities.	Resilience Climate Change	
				• Believes the narrow width of the path in conjunction with high speed limit of 70km/hr increases risk of fatalities. • Believes safer conditions would encourage more residents to take up cycling and walking as physical exercise.	Health	
				Notes this would provide for much needed resilience to protect road infrastructure already impacted by climate change.	Seawall design	
				• Notes that the sea splashes onto the road in both directions. Believes that this, in conjunction with debris and sand deposited on the road creates safety issues for road users.	Alternative transport modes	
				Stated support for a well designed seawall to protect the road, its users, and surrounding properties and to provide safe access during weather and emergency events.	·	
William Baisden	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Safety	
				• Emphasised the need to maximise the width of the path to allow for anticipated growth in the uptake of e-bikes and e-scooters.	Climate Change	
				• Believes current and future use benefits outweigh concerns held by locals. • Relieves enhanceral beaches in York Bay are under used, and should receive appropriate mitigation where possible but not to the detriment of safety and regional goals for safe walking (cycling).	Resilience	
				 Believes ephemeral beaches in York Bay are under used, and should receive appropriate mitigation where possible but not to the detriment of safety and regional goals for safe walking/cycling. Believes a barrier to prevent falls from height is not needed. Thinks this is aesthetically undesirable. Notes this decision should be monitored to determine whether a barrier is necessary. 	Amenity Path width	
				Believes total width should be increased to 2.85m in locations where this is recommended.	Alternative transport modes	
				• Believes assessments and mitigations are ad hoc and piecemeal. Notes rate payer funding was prioritised for this. Believes there should be an integrated coastal management plan administered by HCC, GWRC, DOC and local community organisations.	Safety barrier	
				• Commends HCC for designing to consider Sea Level Rise. Believes curved wall shape makes a considerable difference in York Bay in preventing sea incursion during high tide. Wants decision makers to consider high tide conditions rather than normal conditions.	Monitoring	
Terence Pinfold	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists.	Safety	
				Believes safety conditions on the shared path will encourage more people to take up cycling. Believes read should be pushed to used to reduce beach less. Notes that this has essured in York Pouret present.	Beach Access	
				• Believes road should be pushed towards the land to reduce beach loss. Notes that this has occured in York Bay at present. • Wants path to reduce to 2.5m wide on the south side of York Bay as there is only a small amount of beach at present and believes the shared path will further reduce the width.	Amenity Path width	
				Does not want railing constructed. Believes this is unnecessary, costly and unsightly.	Safety barrier	
					Alternative transport modes	
Richmond Esmond Atkinson	n Oppose	No	Decline	Opposes the proposal for reasons listed below:	Amenity	
				• Does not believe the path is wide enough for the use of new-generation e-bikes and e-scooters which travel quickly and pose safety risks.	Safety	
				Believes if faster users stay off the path and choose to use the road that financial and ecological costs are not justified as the path will be under utilised.	Atkinson Tree	
				• Notes safety hazard of bikes transitioning between the shared path and the road.	Speed limit	
				Believes use of beaches will be further restricted and in some instances extinguished.	Loss of beach	
				• Believes lowering the speed limit should occur. Notes that this will decrease the speed difference between modes, reduce fuel consumption and encourage drivers to use alternate transport modes.	Boat ramp	
				• Believes the wider path exposes the sea wall to higher-energy wave impacts which could result in more airborne spray. Notes deflected waves make swimming and boating less enjoyable. • Believes that HCC will almost certainly have to raise the road level via infill behind a seawall add on. Believes the wider path will require more infill and that this will allow for additional carriageway width on the landward side of the road.	Rip rap islands Beach renourishment	
				Does not want York Bay beach to diminish for recreational reasons.	Beach Teriourishment	
				• Concerned about changes to the York Bay bus stop detracting people from using it through increased exposure to adverse weather.		
				• Opposes removal of tree in York Bay.		
				• Believes the proposed ramp in York Bay is too narrow for sailing boats. Mentions current ramp is of sufficient width for this.		
				• Believes proposed beach enhancement will only have temporary benefits.		
				Believes merit in offshore rip rap islands to reduce extent of beach loss, biodiversity losses and to aid wave attenuation.		
Robert Ashe	Support	Yes	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists.	Safety	
				 Noted anxiety associated with the safety issue was common for all road users. Does not believe there is safe passage for vulnerable road users unless they use buses or drive. 	Connectivity Climate Change	
				• Does not believe there is safe passage for vulnerable road users unless they use buses or drive. • Believes the current road shoulder does not provide for those who cannot freely drive cars around the bays, including children and elderly.	Seawall design	
				• As part of oral submissions wishes to show video of child riding along current path.	Alternative transport modes	
				• Notes only route from Eastbourne to Wellington is under threat from rising sea levels and extreme weather events. Believes the seawall will help mitigate against the worst impacts of climate change.		
				Believes the proposed shared pathway will encourage sustainable travel behaviour and reduce emissions.		
				• The shared path will connect with and complete The Great Harbour Way and the Remutaka Cycle Trail. It's believed this will increase the amount of people who use these routes and have regional economic benefits.		
Royal Forest and Bird	Oppose	Yes	Decline	Opposes the proposal due to adverse effects listed below:	Intertidal ecology	
Protection Society of New				• Particularly concerned about adverse effects to seagrass and the little penguin. Notes these must be avoided under Policies 11 and 13 of the NZCPS.	Penguins	
Zealand Inc.				• Believes conditions for mitigation and remediation are uncertain and inadequate to protect indigenous biodiversity values of the coastal environment. • Policy of mitigation measures outlined in the AFE are not apparent in the conditions of consent. In particular, the conditions for the CEMP to avoid as far as practicable is uncertain with respect to Policies 11 and 12 of the NZCPS.	Coastal avifauna	
				• Believes mitigation measures outlined in the AEE are not apparent in the conditions of consent. In particular, the condition for the CEMP to avoid as far as practicable is uncertain with respect to Policies 11 and 13 of the NZCPS. • Noted a draft CEMP with sufficient details to make a decision on the adequacy of avoid/remedy/mitigate measures has not been provided.	Policy	
				• Noted a draft CEMP with sufficient details to make a decision on the adequacy of avoid/remedy/mitigate measures has not been provided. • Seeks that the application is declined unless design and conditions can sufficiently address adverse effects set out in the NZCPS and provide appropriate mitigation and remediation.		
Lawrence Ludbrook	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will improve pedestrian safety and aid connectivity.	Safety	
				• Notes that the road is narrow and that this is hazardous to pedestrians and inconvenient for vehicles.	Connectivity	
				• Believes a shared path will make it easier to access Eastbourne.	Tourism	
				Notes wider benefits for the residents of Wellington Region who will be attracted to the area. Believes Wellington needs more attractions for visitors and residents.	Amenity	
				• Believes the shared path, in conjunction with the path to Butterfly Creek, will enhance the area's attraction.	Climate Change	
Pohyn Elynn	Cuppert	No	Grant	Believes the shared path will facilitate uptake of environmentally friendly transport modes. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.	Cofot.	
Robyn Flynn	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians. • Noted that it will encourage more people to walk and cycle.	Safety Resilience	
				• Noted that it will help protect the road from the sea and prevent closures in adverse weather conditions.	Alternative transport modes	
Carol Lough	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal overall but raised a series of points relating to aspects of the proposal to which the submitter is opposed.	Amenity	
				• Believes the 2.5m path will enroach excessively on the York Bay Beach.	Beach Access	
				• Believes beach nourishment work will not be effective. It's believed coastal currents will erode new gravel. It was also noted that gravel is not of the same texture as existing sand, and that this detracts from the amenity value of the York Bay beach.	Atkinson Tree	
				Opposed to removal of the Pohutakawa Tree in York Bay because it has a practical purpose (shading) and amenity value (attractiveness).	Path width	
				• Opposes size reductions in the York Bay boat ramp. Notes this is an important community asset and services the elderly/disabled.	Beach renourishment	
				• Suggests a path width of 1.5m on the landward side of the Pohutakawa alongside York Bay Beach (south of the existing bus stop) to avoid adverse effects.	Loss of beach	
Morgan Sissons	Oppose	No	Decline	In favour of a shared path but opposes the proposal in its current form for the following reasons:	Amenity	
INIOI Bail 31330113	Oppose	INU	Decille	• Believes feedback to council representatives from York Bay residents in 2018 has not been included in the latest proposal.	Beach Access	
				Believes the Aitkinson Pohutakawa Tree should be retained for its amenity value (attractiveness), and functional value (shading).	Health	
				Believes there should be a 2.5m - 3m path instead of a 3.5m one. Notes that this is important for retaining beaches for recreational use. Believes the perceived need to accommodate cyclists and pedestrians has lead to a proposed path width where beach size is compromised.	Safety	
				• Believes retention of a useable sized beach at York Bay will have health and wellbeing benefits.	Atkinson Tree	
_				Notes the wider path will allow for cyclists to travel fast, putting pedestrians at risk.	Path width	
		1		• Notes there should be more steps accessing the York Bay Beach and the location of proposed access by the bus stop is not ideal.	Bus shelter	
				 Notes recent reports from Auckland that shared paths result in increased speed for cyclists and more risk to pedestrians. Wants HCC to learn from experience. Noted the new replacement bus shelter exposes its users to adverse weather more than the existing one and that the new stop should enroach on the shared path rather than the beach. 	Boat ramp Loss of beach	

	Oppose	Yes	Decline	SAME AS #174	Amenity	
				In favour of a shared path but opposes the proposal in its current form for the following reasons:	Beach Access	
				 Believes feedback to council representatives from York Bay residents in 2018 has not been included in the latest proposal. Believes the Aitkinson Pohutakawa Tree should be retained for its amenity value (attractiveness), and functional value (shading). 	Health Atkinson Tree	
				• Believes there should be a 2.5m - 3m path instead of a 3.5m one. Notes that this is important for retaining beaches for recreational use. Believes the perceived need to accommodate cyclists and pedestrians has lead to a proposed path width where beach size is compromised.	Path width	
				• Believes retention of a useable sized beach at York Bay will have health and wellbeing benefits.	Bus shelter	
				• Notes the wider path will allow for cyclists to travel fast, putting pedestrians at risk.	Boat ramp	
				• Notes there should be more steps accessing the York Bay Beach and the location of proposed access by the bus stop is not ideal.	Safety	
				• Notes recent reports from Auckland that shared paths result in increased speed for cyclists and more risk to pedestrians. Wants HCC to learn from experience.		
				• Noted the new replacement bus shelter exposes its users to adverse weather more than the existing one and that the new stop should enroach on the shared path rather than the beach.		
				Believes the width of the proposed boat ramp at York Bay is insufficient, noting that the existing size of the boat ramp (1.7m) is barely sufficient. However, supports proposed parallel orientation of the ramp.		
76 Jonathan Marwick	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal for reasons associated with safety of pedestrians and cyclists and emission reductions.	Safety	
				 Noted carbon dioxide emissions will reduce from increased uptake of walking and cycling. Noted emission reductions will benefit the environment and the economy in the long run. 	Climate Change Coastal avifauna	
				Noted emission reductions will benefit the environment and the economy in the long run. Believes adverse effects of the shared path on avifauna should be mitigated as much as possible.	Penguins	
77 Judith Lawrence	Neutral	No	Not stated	Neutral to the proposal for reasons associated with beach size, monitoring period and speed limits.	Penguins	
77 Judicii Edwi eiide	. reaciai		. Tot stated	Believes the shared path will diminish the size of Point Howard Beach.	Coastal avifauna	
				• Expressed concern for wellbeing of resident wildlife including oyster catchers and penguins.	Safety	
				Believes proposed mitigating actions are solely to offset impacts of the shared path and that they should further enhance the environment instead.	Amenity	
				• Believes the proposal does not comply with NZCPS Policy 10, Policy 11 and RMA Section 5(1), Section 2(d) and Section 6(a).	Speed limit	
				Believes monitoring should last longer than two years.	Policy	
				• Notes safety issues of having a shared space for cyclists and pedestrians and that these transport modes are not compatible.	Monitoring	
				• Seeks a reduction in the speed limit to 30kph at Point Howard.	Intertidal ecology	
				• Seeks enroachment on the road at Point Howard to accommodate the shared pathway instead of the beach. • Suggested a larger manifering period whereby a review is undertaken after two years to determine whether centingues this will aid the development of additional nathways and adaptive infrastructure.	Loss of beach	
78 Teresa May Walton	Support	Yes	Grant	• Suggested a longer monitoring period whereby a review is undertaken after two years to determine whether continuous monitoring is needed. Believes this will aid the development of additional pathways and adaptive infrastructure. • Expressed full support for the proposal as it will improve safety for road users.	Safety	
. 5 Telesa iviay vvaltori	Support	1.03	Sidile	Believes the road poses unacceptable health and safety risks for users and is dangerous.	Health	
				• Personally involved in a cyclist accident in 2012.		
				Noted having to stop suddenly on bike which resulted in serious injury.		
				• Believes upgrading the existing pathway should be prioritised by the council.		
79 Geoffery Rashbrooke	Oppose	Yes	Decline	Opposes the proposal due to percieved lack of environmental sensitivity and internal contradictions that need resolution.	Connectivity	
				Notes buses carry large amounts of commuters and have attracted people to the area for decades.	Resilience	
				• Believes the original proposed pedestrian oriented walkway did not impinge on the existing bus system. Believes that use of this walkway by cyclists and mobility vehicles is feasible provided pedestrian priority was understood by users.	Amenity	
				• Notes that having cyclists passing close to the bus stops at 20+kph will negatively effect people waiting for buses. • Does not believe the cycle land should extend in front of bus stops. Notes that cyclists won't go around buses (stops on the road due to bigh speed limit.)	Beach Access	
				• Does not believe the cycle lane should extend in front of bus stops. Notes that cyclists won't go around buses/stops on the road due to high speed limit. • Believes speed restrictions for cyclists should be imposed in front of bus stops.	Bus shelters Speed limit	
				 Believes speed restrictions for cyclists should be imposed in front of bus stops. Suggests judder bars before each stop to encourage lower speeds. 	Speed limit Policy	
				• Does not support the design and feels the path has has been over-engineered by a road engineer to account for commuter cyclists which was not the original intent of the proposal	Seawall design	
				• Believes relocating Mahina and York Bay bus stops will discourage people from using the bus, and encourage increased vehicle use contrary to Policy 57 of the RPS. Notes that existing bus stops are located near logical crossing points.	Beach renourishment	
				• Believes the speed limit on the road should be reduced to 50kph before the shared path gains consent.	Safety	
				• Believes the proposal will not reduce debris and sea incursion on the road.		
				• Believes beach replenishment will need to be continuous as increased scouring will occur (uses recent York Bay seawall as an example).		
				Believes gravel material for beach replenishment will be transported to the top of the sand in Mahina Bay and reduce enjoyment of recreational users.		
				• Reduced steps to the ocean have led to the conclusion that beach access will decrease. It's believed a narrow path would allow for heightened beach access.		
				Believes enhanced sea walls are needed but thinks proposed ones will not prevent road closure during adverse weather and high tide. The second of		
				• Believes selection of concrete as the primary material is excessive and that the subsequent cost of construction is unreasonable.		
80 Hugh Walcott	Support	No	Grant	 Does not believe the proposed design is attractive and would prefer a wooden walkway. Supports the proposal as it will improve safety for cyclists. 	Safety	
oo riugii walcott	Зиррогс	INO	Grant	Believes larger vehicles and increased traffic have exacerbated safety issues.	Path width	
				• Notes that son uses the road to bike, fears for his safety.		
				• Endorses the shared path's 3.5m width for safe passage of cyclists and pedestrians.		
				• Believes the project needs to be prioritised.		
81 Kate Wilson	Support	Yes	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety.	Safety	Late submission
				• Notes the path through Lowry Bay is particularly dangerous for cyclists.	Connectivity	
				Believes connectivity with the regional cycle network will have benefits for tourism and transport. Noted there would be many economic benefits.	Tourism	
02.144	6			• Emphasises that the shared path has to be 'done well' for these benefits to be realised.	Economic benefit	
82 Warren Owen	Support	No	Grant	 Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health, safety and Sea Level Rise. Notes the growing problem of obesity and the necessity of fostering running, walking and cycling. 	Safety Health	Late submission
				Believes a healthy ecosystem could emerge in and around the sea wall if well planned.	Intertidal ecology	
				• Notes Sea Level Rise as a threat and cites the proposed sea wall as a solution.	Resilience	
					Alternative transport modes	
83 Virginia Saunderson	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will have safety, health and environmental benefits.	Safety	Late submission
				Believes it will encourage people not to drive and increase their physical activity.	Health	
				Believes pedestrians and cyclists are vulnerable to collisions with vehicle traffic. Notes that the existing path is too narrow and requires people to cross the road where there is no path.	Alternative transport modes	
				Believes children will gain more independence from being able to move freely and safely around the bays.	Connectivity	
	Support	No	Grant	• Supports the proposal as it will improve safety and enable cycling as a viable mode of transport.	Safety	Late submission
84 Andrea Jensen				In Dear wet the Lord grant of Milliands Delink		
84 Andrea Jensen				Does not feel safe around Windy Point. Supports the granded as it will proble healthing lifestyles.	Health	
84 Andrea Jensen				• Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles.	Alternative transport modes	
	Sunnort	Урс	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. 	Alternative transport modes	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe	Support	Yes	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. 	Alternative transport modes Health	Late submission
	Support	Yes	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. 	Alternative transport modes	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe	Support	Yes	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe	Support	Yes	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe	Support	Yes	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety	
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport				 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health	
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport				 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity	
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport				 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity	
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport				Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration	
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport				 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity	
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport				Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration	
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport				Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes people will not take up cycling and walking more without the provision of appropriate infrastructure.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes the quality of recreational activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes people will not take up cycling and walking more without the provision of appropriate infrastructure. Suggests a longer consent period as it is believed above mentioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network	Support	Yes	Grant	 Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cate for population growth without congestion. Believes that this will cate for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes people will not take up cycling and walking more without the provision of appropriate infrastructure. Suggests a longer consent period as it is believed above mentioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will create a continuous cycle and pedestrian path around the harbour. 	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes for cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes into prosons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes the quality of recreational activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes the quality of recreational activity will more above mentioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will create a continuous cycle and pedestrian path arround the harbour. Believes this will make cycling between the Eastern Bays and Wellington more appealing. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrian path arr	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percleved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes the proposal as it will crease and walking more without the provision of appropriate infrastructure. Suggests a longer consent period as it is believed above mentioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that they enjoy cycling but find the road too dangerous. Believes the shared path would encourage more people to exercise, given the safer environment.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will enable healtheir lifestyles. Narrow footpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport indes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fare of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes play will not take up cycling and walking more without the provision of appropriate infrastructure. Suggests a longer consent period as it is believed above mentioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will increase. Supports the proposal as it will increase and pedestrian path around the harbour. Believes this will make cycling between the Eastern Bays and Wellington more appealing. Notes that they enjoy cycling but find the road too dangerous. Hopps: five proposal as it will increase a continuous cycle and pedestrian path around the harbour. Believes this will make cycling but find the road too dangerous.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes fear of cycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity. Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percleved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes the proposal as it will crease and walking more without the provision of appropriate infrastructure. Suggests a longer consent period as it is believed above mentioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians. Notes that they enjoy cycling but find the road too dangerous. Believes the shared path would encourage more people to exercise, given the safer environment.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England 88 Phyllis Heather Mossman	Support Support Support	Yes No No	Grant Grant Grant	Supports the proposal sit will enable healther lifestyles. Narrow fotpath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Follower that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport inords. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes from causes other than the actual risk of injury. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes in this will cate for population growth without congestion. Believes that this will cate for population growth without congestion. Believes that this will cate for population growth without congestion. Believes the quality of recreational activity will increase. Noted the health issue of obesity, Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes people will not take up cycling and walking more without the provision of a parporpiate infrastructure. Suggests a longer consent period as it is believed above mentioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal air will create a continuous cycle and pedestrian path arround the harbour. Believes this will make cycling between the Eastern Bays and Wellington more appealing. Supports the proposal air will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians path arround the harbour. Believes the shared path would encourage more people to exercise, given the safer environment. Hopes it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians.	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England	Support	Yes	Grant	Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow for Lotts have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport isn't popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes for crycling arises from causes other than the actual risk of finity. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, safety, amenity and wellbeing. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congaged and that this will cater to population growth without congaged and that this will have health benefits. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congaged and that this will have health benefits. Believes the quality of recreasional activity will be encouraged and that this will have perceived as a support of the proposal and the proposed shared path regarding its connectivity with the Great Harbour Way. Believes the endwork is percleved to be needed, it's understood this will occur through the proposed shared path required above mentioned benefits cannot be realized without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will create a continuous cycle and pedestrian path around the harbour. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrians. Supports t	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes Climate Change	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England 88 Phyllis Heather Mossman	Support Support Support	Yes No No	Grant Grant Grant	Supports the proposal as it will enable healthier lifestyles. Narrow footbath means cyclists have to didge pedestrains, does, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Relieves high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport modes. Relieves people must be encouncid in areas where active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Relieves people must be encouncing to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Relieves foot or city arises from causes other than the actual risk of injuny. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with connectivity, selectly, amenity and wellbeing. Relieves that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Relieves the quality of recreasional activity will be encouraged and that this will acter for population growth without congestion. Relieves the quality of recreasional activity will microse. Relieves the problem of the there will be precised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will increate a continuous cycle and pedestrain path around the harbour. Suggests a longer connest precise about memtioned benefits cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Supports the proposal as it will improve the safety issue for cyclists and pedestrain path around the harbour. Relieves this will make cycling and walking more without the provision of appropriate infrastructure. Relieves the shared path would encourage more people to owner, given the safer environment. Relieves the shared path would encourage more people to owner,	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England 88 Phyllis Heather Mossman 89 Ann Elizabeth Bell	Support Support Oppose	Yes No No	Grant Grant Decline	Supports the proposal as it will enable healther lifestyles. Narrow foropath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport inndes. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Subjects that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Subjects that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes that this will cater for population growth without company that the standard of the subject of the population growth without company that the subject is considered to the needed, it's understood this contractive. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Noted the health issue of obesity, Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this contractive to the need to go understood as it's believed above mentioned beenfets cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Suggests a longer connect period at it's believed above mentioned beenfets	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes Climate Change Discharges	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England 88 Phyllis Heather Mossman	Support Support Oppose	Yes No No	Grant Grant Grant	Supports the proposal as it will enable healther lifestyles. Narrow football have been going as it will enable healther lifestyles. Salewes high levels of cheeking are more pronounced in areas where active transport int'l popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes (so leave transport modes)	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes Climate Change Discharges Safety Safety	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England 88 Phyllis Heather Mossman 89 Ann Elizabeth Bell	Support Support Oppose	Yes No No	Grant Grant Decline	Supports the proposal as it will enable healther lifestyles. Narrow foropath means cyclists have to dodge pedestrians, dogs, driveways and bins. Supports the proposal for reasons associated with health and safety. Believes high levels of obesity are more pronounced in areas where active transport inndes. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Cultural change was emphasised. Believes people must be encouraged to use active transport modes beyond the construction of supporting infrastructure. Subjects that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Subjects that this will cater for population growth without congestion. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Believes that this will cater for population growth without company that the standard of the subject of the population growth without company that the subject is considered to the needed, it's understood this contractive. Believes increased physical activity will be encouraged and that this will have health benefits. Noted the health issue of obesity, Believes HCC needs to get people exercising as such. A complete network is percieved to be needed, it's understood this contractive to the need to go understood as it's believed above mentioned beenfets cannot be realised without sufficient planning. Suggests a longer connect period at it's believed above mentioned beenfets	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes Climate Change Discharges	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England 88 Phyllis Heather Mossman 89 Ann Elizabeth Bell	Support Support Oppose	Yes No No	Grant Grant Decline	Supports the proposal as it will enable healther lifestyles. Narrow football have been going as it will enable healther lifestyles. Salewes high levels of cheeking are more pronounced in areas where active transport int'l popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes (so leave transport modes)	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes Climate Change Discharges Safety Funding	Late submission
85 Doctors for Active Safe Transport 86 Hutt Cycle Network 87 Jonathan England 88 Phyllis Heather Mossman 89 Ann Elizabeth Bell	Support Support Oppose	Yes No No	Grant Grant Decline	Supports the proposal as it will enable healther lifestyles. Narrow football have been going as it will enable healther lifestyles. Salewes high levels of cheeking are more pronounced in areas where active transport int'l popular. Notes that the shared path will address the need for more people to rely on active transport modes (so leave transport modes)	Alternative transport modes Health Safety Alternative transport modes Safety Health Amenity Connectivity Consent duration Alternative transport modes Connectivity Safety Health Tourism Economic benefit Climate Change Alternative transport modes Climate Change Discharges Safety Funding Intertidal ecology	Late submission Late submission Late submission

91 Ian and Paddy Orsborn	Support	No	Grant	• Notes that pedestrian access has always been problematic and increased traffic makes walking dangerous.	Safety
				• Have been following development of the path for years and want improvements to be completed ASAP.	Beach access
				Believe seawall would be hugely beneficial for families and visitors as it would reduce vehicle access to the beach which has been a problem for some time.	Alternative transport modes
Petone Community Board	Support	Yes	Grant	• Note that there is a major need for a safe shared walking and cycling path because the current path is too constrained and dangerous.	Safety
				• Path would be part of Great Harbour Way and likely to increase tourism to Petone.	Tourism
				• Path will encourage cycling and reduce number of vehicles on the roads.	Economic benefit
				• Particularly supportive of the use of curved seawalls to reduce wave overtopping.	Resilience
				Want to see protection of sensitive coastal environment including protection of little blue penguins.	Penguins
				• See the path as contributing to the resilience of the Eastbourne Community and buying time to work on long term management of climate change effects.	Health
					Climate Change
					Seawall design
					Sea van design
193 Timothy Grubb	Support	No	Grant	• In favour of the shared path.	Safety
135 miletily crass	зарро: с		Grane	Notes feels trapped in York Bay because walking around the bay feels dangerous.	Alternative transport modes
				• Notes drivers are aggressive and there is little space to walk.	Accordance danspore modes
				• Notes that the mitigation strategies are sufficient.	
194 Avril Boswell	Support	No	Grant	Notes that the mitigation strategies are sufficient. Notes existing footpath is extremely narrow.	Safety
TOH WALLI DOZMELL	Support	INU	Grant		Salety
10E Dob Dadasali	Cross and	Vec	Crant	Notes aggressive nature of drivers and buses towards cyclists using the existing path. Describes the proposed shared path as critical infrastructure to provide resilience and cafety for walkers and cyclists.	Cofoty
195 Rob Badcock	Support	Yes	Grant	• Describes the proposed shared path as critical infrastructure to provide resilience and safety for walkers and cyclists.	Safety
				• Believes the seawall being built will protect the road from strong tides and waves.	Connectivity
				• Notes the path will provide economic benefit and linkages to the Great Harbour Way and will encourage tourism.	Economic benefit
				• Notes that lack of safe infrastructure has hinded the only way of reducing congestion through Petone foreshore.	Alternative transport modes
				• Believes the shared path will encourage a modal shift and help reduce congestion.	Resilience
					Climate Change
					Tourism
196 Peter Healy	Support	Yes	Grant	• Strongly in favour of the path.	Loss of beach
				• Would like no harm to come to the beach or Pohutakawa at York Bay.	Atkinson Tree
197 David Bamford	Support	No	Grant	Supports the proposal in full.	Safety
				• Notes huge advantages to the Wellington Region with regard to safety, health, recreation, tourism (linking eastern bays to Remutaka Cycleway).	Health
					Economic benefit
					Tourism
					Connectivity
198 Joanne and Jack Doherty	Support		Grant	Support shared pathway	Beach access
198 Joanne and Jack Donerty	Зиррогс		Grant	• Our main request is that the area on the corner between Sunshine Bay and Mahina Bay is retained because many people access this little corner beach for leisure, picnics, the rocky shore, diving and it is one of the few areas where vehicles that service the road can park, or turn around	Loss of beach
				• Request the retention of access to the beach and parking at the point between Sunshine and Mahina Bays	Speed limit
				• Support a 50km/h speed limit around the bays	Safety
				• Path must ensure safety for walkers	
100 Brance See	C		C t	- Companie a safe alread settle fau avaliste and scalless from Donders Cate to Date to	Cafabia
199 Bronwen Dracup	Support		Grant	• Supports a safe shared path for cyclists and walkers from Burdens Gate to Petone.	Safety
				• Very keen on the idea of parts of the structure helping to turn the power of the waves thus reducing erosion and helping with resilience.	Resilience
				• Hopes that in the interests of safety that Days Bay will be considered at a later stage in the not too distant future.	Alternative transport modes
				• Notes current patchy provision necessitated getting off my bike frequently and unexpectedly on a trip to Petone.	
				• Fully supports path and hopes it will be completed speedily	
200 11 2011					
200 Harvey Calder	Support		Grant	Re-used submission on the Hutt City Council Annual Plan 2016-2017.	Safety
				Belives action is needed to improve the cycle and pedestrian connection between Eastbourne and Days Bay	Resilience
				• Believes this will improve the safety of the community and tourists	Connectivity
				• Belives that this will enhance connectivity between the bays	Penguins
				• Wants to see more people using active transport to get around the bays	Seawall design
				• Notes the path needs to allow for little blue penguin nesting	
				• Requests a breakwall or reef be added to the design	
				• Notes current path is dangerous, narrow, incomplete and needs expansion to support its high levels of use	
				 Notes the path needs to allow for little blue penguin nesting Requests a breakwall or reef be added to the design 	Seawaii desigii