

7 December 2023

Attention:
Greater Wellington Regional Council
100 Cuba Street
Te Aro
Wellington 6011

Wellington City Council Submission on Proposed Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan (NRPC1).

Overall, Wellington City Council (WCC) is generally supportive of NRPC1 but considers that changes are required to recognise that the freshwater improvement outcomes intended are realistically achievable and that freshwater regulation does not unduly impact other important resource management issues like urban development.

The need for freshwater improvements is well known and WCC is committed to meaningfully contributing to this as both a regulator and infrastructure owner. However, it must be recognised that improvements will be challenging to achieve, requiring significant investment and over a long period of time. This is at a time when many Territorial Authorities in the region, including WCC, have funding constraints that limit our opportunity to make swift changes.

WCC look forward to constructively contributing to the NRPC1 process.

Yours sincerely,



Barbara McKerrowChief Executive
Wellington City Council



Tim BrownChair of Environment and Infrastructure Committee
Wellington City Council

Address for service:

Michael Duindam (District Planning Manager)
Michael.Duindam@wcc.govt.nz

Submission on Proposed Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan

Form 5

- a. This is the submission of Wellington City Council on Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (operative 2013).
- b. Our address for service is district.plan@wcc.govt.nz.
- c. We could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- d. We wish to be heard in support of this submission at a hearing and will consider making a joint case with others.

1. Introduction

WCC is generally supportive of Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan (NRPC1), particularly where the change contributes to Greater Wellington Regional Council (GW) fulfilling its obligations to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) and the Whaitua Implementation Programme. However, WCC holds concerns in relation to several NRPC1 matters, including:

- Proposing a target attribute timeframe of 2040;
- RMA s9 matters, such as earthworks and stormwater when connected to a local stormwater network;
- the management of stormwater and wastewater;
- unnecessary overlaps between regulatory functions of Regional and Territorial Authorities;
 and
- provisions which seek to prohibit unplanned greenfield development.

Submission structure

This submission is structured as follows:

- 1. Introduction containing a summary of WCC's submission
- 2. Strategic Context containing contextual information about Wellington City
- 3. General submission points addressing NRPC1 in general terms
- 4. Specific submission points addressing specific provisions as included in NRPC1

WCC wish to be heard in support of our submission at a hearing and would consider presenting a joint case at the hearing with others who make a similar submission.

WCC does not consider that it could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

2. Strategic Context

Wellington City

In general, NRPC1 is consistent with the Strategic Vision for Wellington (<u>Wellington Towards 2040</u>: <u>Smart Capital</u>) to be a climate-friendly, affordable, and welcoming eco-city to live for generations to come.

Collectively, WCC's Three Waters network (drinking water, wastewater and stormwater) includes 2,653 km of pipes, 65 reservoirs, 103 pump stations, three treatment plants. WCC manages the global

stormwater and wastewater discharge consents for the district and is thereby responsible for managing land-use and the stormwater and wastewater networks for Wellington.

3. General submission points

Infrastructure

While active steps have been taken in improving the health and well-being of the environment within the WCC district, it should be noted that WCC has practical constraints in the management of its three waters network. As the network's infrastructure ages it requires higher levels of maintenance, which is also exacerbated by earthquake damage, as well as historical pressures on water infrastructure funding and uncertainty in future legislative requirements for the management of three waters infrastructure. WCC has funding constraints that will make it difficult to achieve significant improvements to network infrastructure quickly. The proposed target attribute timeframe of 2040 is unlikely to be practicably achievable. WCC considers it more realistic to set a 2060 target; however, even this is likely to be challenging to achieve given financial constraints across local government and limited capacity within the infrastructure sector to deliver necessary upgrades to achieve the outcomes intended.

Urban Development

Wellington is projected to need an additional 30,407 dwellings over the next 30 years to satisfy urban growth demands. This will require significant infrastructure upgrades, which will be expensive and take years to undertake. A long-term approach will be needed to renew existing assets, provide for growth and ensure enhanced water quality outcomes can be delivered. It is important that both urban growth and water quality outcomes can be achieved.

Wellington City Water Quality Improvements

WCC is already engaging in multiple statutory and non-statutory processes to achieve water quality improvements, including:

- Prioritised water infrastructure upgrades;
- Development of a Green Network Plan to deliver the many ecological, social, economic, cultural and public health benefits to the central city;
- Introduced requirements for Water Sensitive Urban Design into the WCC Proposed District Plan;
- Introduced requirements for Hydraulic Neutrality into the WCC Proposed District Plan; and
- Wellington Water (on behalf of WCC) has developed a draft stormwater management strategy.

The historical design and construction of some of the city's three waters infrastructure makes water quality improvements difficult to achieve quickly. For example, the wastewater system has 75 constructed overflows. These are sewers that are designed to overflow into the city's stormwater system particularly during high rainfall events. Water quality improvements will require significant and costly changes to existing assets, which will take decades to address. This is at a time when local government has constrained resources.

WCC is concerned that NRPC1 proposes a framework which would require all brownfield development to seek consent for stormwater discharges from both District and Regional Councils, which is an unnecessary duplication.

Unplanned Greenfield Development

NRPC1 proposes to make any unplanned greenfield development a prohibited activity. This is through Rule WH.R13, which states that any stormwater discharge from unplanned greenfield development is prohibited, even if the discharge is into existing or proposed stormwater networks.

Unplanned greenfield development is any greenfield development which is not identified on Maps in NRPC1. Usefully, Map 87 identifies WCCs two planned greenfield growth areas - Lincolnshire Farm and Upper Stebbings. These growth areas are required to meet future urban growth needs of Wellington City and WCC consider that any prohibition of development in these locations should not be an outcome of NRPC1.

WCC's Spatial Plan and PDP promote urban development taking place within existing urban areas. While supportive of brownfield development, WCC is concerned about the practical implications of the proposed prohibited activity rule. Prohibited status is likely to create unintended consequences. It interferes with WCC's ability to make strategic decisions about the growth and development of Wellington City without triggering a change to both the District Plan and Regional Plan. It also makes it difficult to consider even minor changes to urban zoning - for example, repurposing underutilised open spaces or land subject to legacy zoning that could otherwise be legitimately used for housing, community facilities or education.

From a technical perspective, WCC considers that the prohibited status has not been reasonably justified. Alternatives, such as Discretionary Activity status, could achieve the strategic intent of the rule and provide flexibility to enable legitimate change of use, without the need for initiating a complicated dual plan change process. Reconsideration of this rule is recommended.

Earthworks and Impervious Surface

NRPC1 proposes a new rule framework, which seeks to manage the effects of stormwater runoff from development. WCC seeks to regulate this already through the PDP, which means that an applicant would unnecssarily need to go through two different consenting processes for the same activity. WCC suggests that stormwater runoff from development is better regulated at the District level.

4. Specific submission points

Specific submission points on NRPC1 are contained in *Appendix A*.

Appendix A – Specific Submission Points

Provision No. & Title	Type of Change	Stance	RMA Process	Reason for feedback:	Decision Sought *
	Amended New Not applicable to Whaitua N/A	Support Oppose Neutral Amend Not stated	Freshwater Part 1 Schedule 1 Both	Please provide a summary of the reasons for your feedback on each provision to help us understand your position.	changes to the provision that you would like to see and, where possible, include your suggested alternative wording. NOTE: Any deletions should be identified using strikethrough, and insertions should be
2.2 Definitions	Amended		Both		identified using bold .
Dry weather discharges	New	Neutral	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with Wellington Water definition.	Retain as notified
Earthworks	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with the WCC PDP definition of earthworks.	Retain as notified
Environmental outcomes	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the requirements for environmental outcomes.	Retain as notified
Existing wastewater discharge	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consider the definition confusing and recommend refining the definition and referencing s124 of the RMA.	•
High risk industrial or trade premise	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support in-part. WCC is supportive of the management of High Risk Industrial or trade premises and consider this appropriate to be managed by regional council. However, due to the lack of metrics associated with the subclauses, it is unclear as to what activities would be captured by the associated rule framework.	metric thresholds where regulation of activities would be triggered.

					T
				i.e. it is unclear what 'bulk storage' could be considered as.	
Hydrological control	New	Oppose	Part 1	Consider the proposed definition describes	Hydrological control: means
			Schedule 1	discharges, as set out under s15 of the RMA, and	the management of a range of
				does not demonstrate how hydrological controls	stormwater flows and
				manage stormwater runoff.	volumes, and the frequency
					and timing of those flows and
					volumes , from a site or sites
					into rivers, lakes, wetlands,
					springs, riparian margins, and
					other receiving environments
					through on-site management
					in a way that replicates natural
					processe s for the purpose of
					reducing bank erosion,
					slumping, or scour, to protect
					freshwater ecosystem health
					and well-being.'
Impervious surfaces	New	Oppose	Part 1	Definition is too complex and difficult to implement.	
			Schedule 1	The use of impermeable surfaces (permeability) is	
				also a matter of consideration for District Plans as	
				set out in 80E of the RMA and 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM.	
Redevelopment	New	Oppose	Part 1	The proposed definition is unreasonable and is not	
			Schedule 1	taking into consideration the environmental	
				pressure of the urban environment. Completely	
				onerous and overlaps with the functions of	
				territorial authorities and the consideration for	
				stormwater management as set out in 80E of the	
				RMA and 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM. Does not promote	
	A1 -	6	D. J. 4	integrated management.	Data in a satisfied
Stormwater catchment or sub-	-New	Support	Part 1	Supports the use of stormwater catchments.	Retain as notified.
catchment			Schedule 1		

Stormwater management	New	Support	Part 1	Support stormwater management strategies and Retain as notified.
strategy			Schedule 1	considers them the most appropriate tool for the
				management of stormwater contaminants for local
				authority or state highway stormwater networks.
Stormwater network	Amended	Support	Part 1	Considers it appropriate to consider stormwater Retain as notified.
			Schedule 1	treatment systems that serve more than one
				property appropriate.
Stormwater treatment	New	Amend	Part 1	Support the definition in principle, however, Amend so it only applies to
system			Schedule 1	consider the definition allows the application to be discharge outside a local
				too broad and overlapping with territorial authority authority or state highway
				provisions. stormwater network.
Unplanned greenfield	New	Oppose	Part 1	WCC is generally supportive of GWRC intention of Amend definition to take into
development			Schedule 1	intensification to enable housing supply. However, account smaller sites within
				WCC has reservations regarding the associated the existing urban boundary or
				prohibitive provision framework and whether it is delete definition
				the most appropriate to achieve the objectives and
				policies of the NPS-FM 2020.
				WCC is concerned the policy will not just affect large
				scale development but also hinder the rezoning of
				land that has inappropriate 'legacy' zoning. WCC
				has sites in predominately residential
				neighbourhoods that are still 'legacy' open space
				zones that are no longer fit for purpose and will be
				addressed in future plan changes. The proposed
				framework would be unreasonable considering
				those sites could be converted to housing,
				community facilities, education facilities etc. and
				not expand the current urban boundary. Noting
				that s3.5(4) NPS-FM only sets direction for District
				Plans to manage urban development, not regional
				plans) without two plan changes. It does not
				promote integrated management.

		1	1		_
				WCC considers that GWRC have not demonstrated in the associated s32 report that using the prohibited activity status is the most appropriate option to achieve the objective of the plan as case law requires. Case law also states the prohibited activity class should not be used to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until such time as a plan change is lodged to allow undertaking the activity in question. Therefore, WCC considers a Discretionary activity status more appropriate.	
Wastewater network catchment or sub-catchment	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with Wellington Water definition.	Retain as notified.
Wet weather overflows	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with Wellington Water definition.	Retain as notified
6.16 Supporting improved water quality outcomes.	New		Part 1 Schedule 1		
Method M43: Supporting the health of urban waterbodies.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Considers it appropriate to develop framework with Territorial Authorities given that the District Plan manages urban activities.	
Method M45: Funding of wastewater and stormwater network upgrades	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support funding opportunities for stormwater network upgrades.	Retain as notified
8.1 Objectives	New		Both		

Objective WH.O1: The health	New	Support	Part 1	Support the goals set out in the objective and Retain as notified
of all freshwater bodies and			Schedule 1	consider the 2100 timeframe appropriate.
the coastal marine area within				
Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara				
is progressively improved and				
is wai ora by 2100.				
Objective WH.O2: The health	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040
and wellbeing of Te				will be difficult to achieve and does not take intoto 2060.
Whanganui-a-Tara's				consideration the current environmental
groundwater, rivers and				constraints of Wellington City as well as the major
natural wetlands and their				financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of
margins are on a trajectory of				2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning
measurable improvement				framework and more consistent with the long-term
towards wai ora.				plan and strategic financing of upgrades and
				expansions to the three waters network.
Objective WH.O3: The health	New		Part 1	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040
and wellbeing of coastal water	•		Schedule 1	will be difficult to achieve and does not take into to 2060.
quality, ecosystems and				consideration the current environmental
habitats in Te Whanganui-a-				constraints of Wellington City as well as the major
Tara is maintained or				financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of
improved to achieve the				2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning
coastal water objectives set				framework and more consistent with the long-term
out in Table 8.1.				plan and strategic financing of upgrades and
				expansions to the three waters network.
Table 8.1 Coastal water	New	Amend	Part 1	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040
objectives.			Schedule 1	will be difficult to achieve and does not take into to 2060.
				consideration the current environmental
				constraints of Wellington City as well as the major
				financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of
				2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning
				framework and more consistent with the long-term
				plan and strategic financing of upgrades and
				expansions to the three waters network.

Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their		Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the goal that nationally threatened Retain as notified. freshwater species are increased.
threat classification status. Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040 will be difficult to achieve and does not take into to 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040 will be difficult to achieve and does not take into to 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River	New	Support	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040 will be difficult to achieve and does not take into to 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of

are suitable for primary contact.				2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	
Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	New	Neutral	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will be difficult to achieve and does not take into consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	to 2060.
Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	New	Support	Freshwater	Support the goal of maintaining and improving where appropriate.	Retain as notified.
Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will be difficult to achieve and does not take into consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	to 2060.
8.2 Policies	New		Both		
Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consider the policy is reasonable to achieve the improvements to ecosystem health progressively.	Retain as notified.

Policy WH.P2 Management of	New	Amend	Part 1	Opposed in part. WCC is generally supportive of Target attribute states and
activities to achieve target			Schedule 1	GWRC intention of intensification to enable housing coastal water objectives will
attribute states and coastal				supply. However, WCC has reservations regarding be achieved by regulating
water objectives.				the associated prohibitive provision framework and discharges and land use
				whether it is the most appropriate to achieve theactivities in the Plan, and non-
				objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020. regulatory methods, including
				Freshwater Action Plans, by:
				Considers that have not demonstrated in the (a) prohibiting unplanned
				associated s32 report that using the prohibited greenfield development and
				activity status is the most appropriate option to
				achieve the objective of the plan as case lawdevelopments minimising the
				requires. Case law also states the prohibited activity contaminants and requiring
				class should not be used to defer an evaluation of afinancial contributions as to
				particular activity until such time as a plan change is offset adverse effects from
				lodged to allow undertaking the activity in question. residual stormwater
				Therefore considers a Discretionary activity status contaminants, and
				more appropriate. (b) encouraging
				Additionally, while WCC agrees that GWRC has aredevelopment activities
				role in managing water quality, to promotewithin existing urban areas to
				integrated management the most appropriate toolreduce the existing urban
				to manage urban development is the District Plan ascontaminant load, and
				set out in s3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020. (c) imposing hydrological
				controls on urban
				Therefore, it is recommended, if development is development and stormwater
				connected to local authority stormwater networks, discharges to rivers
				GWRC sets out the reduction requirements in the (d) requiring a reduction in
				s15 global stormwater discharge consent via the contaminant loads from urban
				stormwater management strategy and Territorialwastewater and stormwater
				Authorities then implement the regulatory aspectsnetworks, through
				of the stormwater management strategy through stormwater management
				land use consents in the District Plan. strategies and
	1	1	_1	<u> </u>

Policy WH.P3: Freshwater Action Plans role in the health and wellbeing of waterways.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Support in part. Consider it appropriate for Amend as follow: Freshwater Action Plans to be developed Policy WH.P3: Freshwater cooperatively with Mana Whenua and territorial Action Plans role in the health authorities to give effect to 3.5(3) of the NPS-FM and wellbeing of waterways 2020. The Wellington Regional Council shall, in partnership with mana whenua and local territorial authorities, to prepare and deliver
				Freshwater Action Plans in accordance with Schedule 27 (Freshwater Action Plan)
Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040 will be difficult to achieve and does not take into to 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and Amend timeframe from 2040 will be difficult to achieve and does not take into to 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
Policy WH.P5: Localised adverse effects of point source discharge.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose in part. Considers the use of 'avoid or Amend as follow: minimised' to be conflicting and unworkable. More The localised adverse effects of point source discharges to

			appropriate for the effects to be minimised as all	freshwater and coastal water
				beyond the zone of
			effects carried be avoided.	reasonable mixing are avoided
				or minimised, including by
				avoiding reducing:
No	A 100 0 10 d	Dowt 1	Oppose in most Considers the use of (oveld) to be	<u> </u>
new	Amena		1 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	
		Schedule 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			cumulative adverse effects.	discharges, excluding
				stormwater network and
				wastewater discharges, to water are avoided minimised
				and:
Now	Support	Part 1	Support the management of discharges to	
ivew	Support		1	Retain as notined.
		Scriedule 1	groundwater.	
Now	Amond	Dart 1	Support in part the management of for copper and	Amond policy to clarify GWPC
ivew	Amena			· · · · · ·
		Schedule 1	1	zinc contamination.
			being managed by District Flans.	
Now	Amend	Part 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a	Policy WH.P10: Managing
INCW	Amena			
		Scricadic 1		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
			•	_
			·	management strategy shall be
			mprove resource management outcomes.	managed by:
			For development connected to a local authority	,
			1	
				1
	New New New	New Support New Amend	New Support Part 1 Schedule 1 New Amend Part 1 Schedule 1	Schedule 1 unworkable and difficult to enforce, particularly for cumulative adverse effects. New Support Part 1 Support the management of discharges to groundwater. New Amend Part 1 Support in part the management of for copper and zinc contamination however I note this is currently being managed by District Plans. New Amend Part 1 WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a

Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31
which states:
7. describes actions to maintain or re-
establish natural flow regimes, including
the use of hydrological controls to avoid
adverse effects of stormwater quantity
(flows and volumes) and maintain, to the
extent practicable, natural stream flows,
and
unu
8. identifies locations and opportunities for
the retention or detention of stormwater
flows or volumes,
jiows or volumes,
In Wellington City development discharges are
already consented and managed via a global
stormwater discharge consent. This consent
requires a stormwater management strategy
(currently drafted) which has requirements to lower
contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that
requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4)
of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using
, 9
regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.
In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land
use requirements for on-site stormwater
management which includes both water quality and
· · ·
water quantity management such as hydraulic
neutrality, managing building materials,
permeability and water sensitive urban design. This
framework can integrate the concept of

		1	_		
				hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate	
				and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City.	
				Therefore, instead of imposing an additional	
				standalone rule framework in the regional plan,	
				which also manages impervious services and on-site	
				stormwater management (note this includes a wide	
				range of methods including devices and landform	
				which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP	
				should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating	
				consenting requirements.	
				Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level	
				management of the discharge consents to achieve	
				target attributes as well as stormwater not	
				connected to a local authority stormwater	
				network.	
Policy WH.P11: Discharges of	New	Support	Part 1	Support the management of high risk industrial or	Retain as notified.
contaminants in stormwater			Schedule 1	trade premises.	
from high risk industrial or					
trade premises.					
Policy WH.P13: Managing	New	Support	Part 1	Support the management of stormwater discharges	Retain as notified.
stormwater network			Schedule 1	from local authority and state highway network to	
discharges through a				ensure an integrated management approach to	
Stormwater Management				stormwater discharges from urban development.	
Strategy.					
Policy WH.P14: Stormwater	New	Oppose	Part 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a	Delete Policy as notified.
discharges from new and			Schedule 1	responsibility for water quality and ecosystem	
redeveloped impervious				health. WCC is concerned that the proposed policy	
surfaces.				framework does not promote integrated	
				management, rather significant consenting overlap,	

without any evidence this framework would improve resource management outcomes.

For development connected to a local authority stormwater network that discharges into receiving water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and requires an associated Stormwater Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states:

7. describes actions to maintain or reestablish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and

8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes,

In Wellington City development discharges are already consented and managed via a global stormwater discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater management strategy (currently drafted) which has requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.

Policy WH.P15: Stormwater contaminant offsetting for	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City. Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements. Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network. Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the	Delete Policy as notified.
new greenfield development.			Serieudic 1	process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management.	

It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development
contributions under the LGA, or both, if they do not
charge for the same thing under both. However, it
is not clear whether it is appropriate for two
separate councils to charge for the same thing.
There is a high risk of duplication, which does not
promote integrated management.

Policy WH.P16: Stormwater	New	Amend	Part 1	WCC is generally supportive of GWRC intention of Amend policy to allow for
discharges from new			Schedule 1	intensification to enable housing supply. However, Discretionary activity status OR
unplanned greenfield				WCC has reservations regarding the associated delete policy.
development.				prohibitive provision framework and whether it is the
				most appropriate to achieve the objectives and policies
				of the NPS-FM 2020.
				WCC is concerned the policy will not just affect large
				scale development but also hinder the rezoning of land
				that has inappropriate 'legacy' zoning. WCC has sites in
				in predominately residential neighbourhoods that are
				still 'legacy' open space zones that are no longer fit for
				purpose and will be addressed in future plan changes.
				The proposed framework would be unreasonable
				considering those sites could be converted to housing,
				community facilities, education facilities etc. and not
				expand the current urban boundary. Noting that
				s3.5(4) NPS-FM only sets direction for District Plans to
				manage urban development, not regional plans)
				without two plan changes. It does not promote
				integrated management.

				WCC Considers that GWRC have not demonstrated in the associated s32 report that using the prohibited activity status is the most appropriate option to achieve the objective of the plan as case law requires. Case law also states the prohibited activity class should not be used to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until such time as a plan change is lodged to allow undertaking the activity in question. Therefore, WCC considers a Discretionary activity status more appropriate.
Policy WH.P17: General wastewater policy to achieve target attribute states and coastal objectives.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the management of wastewater to maintain or Retain as notified provided the improve the baseline water quality state for Escherichia targeted attribute timeframe is coli provided the targeted attribute timeframe is amended as proposed. amended as proposed.
Policy WH.P18: Progressing works to meet Escherichia coli target attribute states.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Support the management of wastewater to maintain or Retain as notified provided the improve the baseline water quality state for Escherichia targeted attribute timeframe is coli. amended as proposed.
Policy WH.P19: Managing wastewater network catchment discharges.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support in-part the use of wastewater network catchment discharges. However, consider the requirement for wet weather overflow events to meet frequency and/or volume of wet or exceed containment standard of no more than 2 per weather overflow events to year, to be unachievable and does not take into consideration the existing infrastructure constraints. Therefore, consider it is much more appropriate to determine a reasonable number of overflow events to occur on a catchment basis through Wastewater calculated at a catchment or Network Catchment Improvement Strategy rather than a blanket number. Support in-part the use of wastewater network and WH.P19 as follow: (a) progressively reducing the(a)

	1				1
Policy WH.P20: Managing existing wastewater treatment plant discharges.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the management of existing wastewater treatment plant discharges.	Retain as notified.
8.2.4 Rural land use and	New		Both		
earthworks					
Policy WH.P29: Management of earthworks.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Supports as the policy is consistent with Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan (PDP).	Retain as notified.
Policy WH.P30: Discharge standard for earthworks.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Supports as the policy is consistent with Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan (PDP).	Retain as notified.
Policy WH.P31: Winter shut down of earthworks.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support, consistent with existing best practise.	Retain as notified.
8.2.5 Water allocation	New		Freshwater		
8.3.1 Discharges of contaminants	New		Part 1 Schedule 1		
Rule WH.R1: Point source discharges of specific contaminants – prohibited activity.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose in part as there are major concerns about the enforceability of this rule, particularly the prohibited activity status. GWRC have not demonstrated in the associated s32 report that using the prohibited activity status is the most appropriate option to achieve the objective of the plan, as case law requires	
8.3.2 Stormwater	New		Both		
Rule WH.R2: Stormwater to land – permitted activity.	New	Amend	Freshwater	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	land – permitted activity The discharge of stormwater onto or into land, including

an existing individual property to surface water or coastal Schedule 1 WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal		_	•	T		
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from New Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Schedule 1 Schedule 1 WH.R5 and to support integrated management and toan existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consentingto surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local the discharge of stormwater from an existing individual property should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local the discharge of stormwater from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater.						(b) that does not discharge
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Rule water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Schedule 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from existing individual property from the evidence of the property for water – permitted activity. Schedule 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property from water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local authority stormwater retwork. In the discharge of stormwater from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to the discharge from, or to the discharge from, or to the stormwater – network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from New Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Schedule 1 WH.R3 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rule water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. From an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater metwork stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the						stormwater network <u>that</u>
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from nexisting individual property to surface water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from WH.R5 and to support integrated management and tolan existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consentingto surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rule water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater of the local authority stormwater.						written permission has been
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from Schedule 1 WH.R3 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rule water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rule water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater network. Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from existing individual property into surface water or coastal water, and the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal value property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water,						obtained from the owner of the
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from WH.R5 and to support integrated management and top an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rulewater – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from WH.R5 and to support integrated management and top an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rulewater – permitted activity should apply to stormwater network. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from wH.R5 and to support integrated management and top an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authority stormwater network. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from wH.R5 and to support integrated management and top an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. Good For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from wH.R5 and to support integrated management and top an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. Good For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from the unternity stormwater from the unternity stormwater network. WH.R5 and to support integrated management and top an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. Good Good						local authority stormwater
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from WH.R5 and to support integrated management and toan existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consentingto surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rulewater – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater.						network,
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from WH.R5 and to support integrated management and toan existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consentingto surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rulewater – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater.						
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. New Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in Schedule 1 WH.R5 and to support integrated management and tolan existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rulewater – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						is a permitted activity provided
Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from an existing individual property to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in WH.R3: Stormwater from WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. Amend Part 1 Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in WH.R3: Stormwater from WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting surface water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. From an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						the following conditions are
Schedule 1 WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rulewater – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. If or man existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water or coastal water. If or man existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. If or man existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. If or man existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. If or man existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. If or man existing individual property remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water. If or man existing individual property the property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, If or man existing individual property the property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, If or man existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, If or man existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, If or man existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, If or man existing individual property into water, or onto or into land water, If or man existing individual property int						met
remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal water – permitted activity. remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coastal requirements from territorial authorities this rule water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. The discharge of stormwater from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater.	Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from	New	Amend	Part 1	Support in part. For the same reasons as set out in	Rule WH.R3: Stormwater from
requirements from territorial authorities this rule water – permitted activity should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater	an existing individual property			Schedule 1	WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to	an existing individual property
should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwater authority stormwater network. from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater	to surface water or coastal				remove the proposed overlapping consenting	to surface water or coastal
authority stormwater network. from an existing individual property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater	water – permitted activity.				requirements from territorial authorities this rule	water – permitted activity
property into water, or onto or into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater					should apply to stormwater that is discharged to loca	The discharge of stormwater
into land where it may enter a surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater					authority stormwater network.	from an existing individual
surface water body or coastal water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						property into water, or onto or
water, (a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						into land where it may enter a
(a) that is not from a high risk industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						surface water body or coastal
industrial or trade premise, or (b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						water,
(b) that is not from a port, airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						(a) that is not from a high risk
airport or state highway, or (c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						industrial or trade premise, or
(c) that does not discharge from, or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						(b) that is not from a port,
or to, a local authority stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						airport or state highway, or
stormwater network that written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						(c) that does not discharge from,
written permission has been obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						or to, a local authority
obtained from the owner of the local authority stormwater						stormwater network <u>that</u>
local authority stormwater						written permission has been
						obtained from the owner of the
network,						local authority stormwater
						network,

				is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met:
Rule WH.R4: Stormwater from an existing high risk industrial or trade premise – permitted activity.	New	Supportive	Part 1 Schedule 1	Generally supportive of GW being responsible for the discharge from high-risk industrial site.
Rule WH.R5: Stormwater from new and redeveloped impervious surfaces — permitted activity.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a Delete rule in its entirety OR responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health. amend to limit the applicability However, WCC are concerned that the proposed policy of the rule to development that framework does not promote integrated management, is not connected to local rather significant consenting overlap, without any authority stormwater evidence this framework would improve resource networks. management outcomes. For development connected to a local authority stormwater network that discharges into receiving water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and requires an associated Stormwater Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states: 7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and 8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes,

In Wellington City development discharges are already consented and managed via a global stormwater discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater management strategy (currently drafted) which has requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.

In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City.

Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements.

Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.

Ие Не	ke Ki	Pōne	ke
-------	-------	------	----

Rule WH.R6: Stormwater from	New	Oppose	Part 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a Delete rule in its entirety OR
new greenfield impervious			Schedule 1	responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health. amend to limit the applicability
surfaces – controlled activity.				However, WCC are concerned that the proposed policy of the rule to development that
,				framework does not promote integrated management, is not connected to local
				rather significant consenting overlap, without any authority stormwater
				evidence this framework would improve resourcenetworks.
				management outcomes.
				For development connected to a local authority
				stormwater network that discharges into receiving
				water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater
				discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and
				requires an associated Stormwater Management
				Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states:
				7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish
				natural flow regimes, including the use of
				hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects
				of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes)
				and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural
				stream flows, and
				8. identifies locations and opportunities for the
				retention or detention of stormwater flows or
				volumes,
				In Wellington City development discharges are already
				consented and managed via a global stormwater
				discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater
				management strategy (currently drafted) which has
				requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is
				meeting that requirement and the requirement set out
				ineering that requirement and the requirement set out

				in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.
				In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City.
				Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements.
				Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.
Rule WH.R7: Stormwater from new and redeveloped impervious surfaces of existing urbanised areas – controlled activity.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a Delete rule in its entirety OR responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health, amend to limit the applicability However, WCC are concerned that the proposed policy of the rule to development that framework does not promote integrated management, is not connected to local rather significant consenting overlap, without any authority stormwater networks.

evidence this framework would improve resource
management outcomes.
For development connected to a local authority
stormwater network that discharges into receiving
water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater
discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and
requires an associated Stormwater Management
Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states:
7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish
natural flow regimes, including the use of
hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects
of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes)
and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural
stream flows, and
8. identifies locations and opportunities for the
retention or detention of stormwater flows or
volumes,
In Wellington City development discharges are already
consented and managed via a global stormwater
discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater
management strategy (currently drafted) which has
requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is
meeting that requirement and the requirement set out
in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by
using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.
using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.
In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use
requirements for on-site stormwater management
which includes both water quality and water quantity

				management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City. Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements. Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.
Rule WH.R9: Stormwater from a local authority or state highway network–restricted discretionary activity.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the management of Local Authority or StateRetain as notified. Highway network through a restricted discretionary activity status.
Rule WH.R10: Stormwater from new state highways— discretionary activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete requirement for financia a development. Interferes and confuses the process for contributions. Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management.

		It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. There is a high risk of duplication, which does not promote integrated management.
Rule WH.R11: Stormwater from new and redeveloped impervious surfaces – discretionary activity.		WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a Delete rule in its entirety OR responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health. amend to limit the applicability However, WCC are concerned that the proposed policy of the rule to development that framework does not promote integrated management, is not connected to local rather significant consenting overlap, without anyauthority stormwater evidence this framework would improve resource networks. management outcomes. For development connected to a local authority stormwater network that discharges into receiving water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and requires an associated Stormwater Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states: 7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and 8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes,

In Wellington City development discharges are already consented and managed via a global stormwater discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater management strategy (currently drafted) which has requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.

In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City.

Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements.

Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.

Rule WH.R12: All other	New	Support	Part 1	Support 'All other stormwater discharge' rule.	Retain as notified.
stormwater discharges – non-		''	Schedule 1		
complying activity.					
Rule WH.R13: Stormwater from	New	Amend	Part 1	WCC is generally supportive of GWRC intention of	Amend rule to Discretionary
new unplanned greenfield			Schedule 1	intensification to enable housing supply. However,	activity status OR delete rule.
development – prohibited				WCC has reservations regarding the associated	
activity.				prohibitive provision framework and whether it is the	
				most appropriate to achieve the objectives and policies	
				of the NPS-FM 2020.	
				WCC is concerned the policy will not just affect large	
				scale development but also hinder the rezoning of land	
				that has inappropriate 'legacy' zoning. WCC has sites in	
				in predominately residential neighbourhoods that are	
				still 'legacy' open space zones that are no longer fit for	
				purpose and will be addressed in future plan changes.	
				The proposed framework would be unreasonable	
				considering those sites could be converted to housing,	
				community facilities, education facilities etc. and not	
				expand the current urban boundary. Noting that	
				s3.5(4) NPS-FM only sets direction for District Plans to	
				manage urban development, not regional plans)	
				without two plan changes. It does not promote	
				integrated management.	
				WCC Considers that GWRC have not demonstrated in	
				the associated s32 report that using the prohibited	
				activity status is the most appropriate option to achieve	
				the objective of the plan as case law requires. Case law	
				also states the prohibited activity class should not be	
				used to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until	
				such time as a plan change is lodged to allow	
				undertaking the activity in question. Therefore,	

				considers a Discretionary activity status more appropriate.
8.3.3 Wastewater	New		Part 1 Schedule 1	
Rule WH.R14: Wastewater network catchment discharges – restricted discretionary activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support in part. Considers the rule to be difficult to Amend to clarify rule and give understand, recommend amending the rule for clarity effect to the proposed and succinctness. amendment to the definition of 'existing wastewater discharge'
Rule WH.R15: Existing wastewater discharges from a treatment plant – discretionary activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support in part. Considers the rule to be difficult to Amend to clarify rule and give understand. Recommend amending the rule for clarity effect to the proposed and succinctness. amendment to the definition of 'existing wastewater discharge'
Rule WH.R16: All other discharges of wastewater – non-complying activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose in-part. Consider that new wastewater Amend activity status from discharge from treatment plant being non-complying non-complying to to be onerous and does not consider that new Discretionary. treatment plants are often required to prevent both wet and dry weather overflow events to relieve the pressures on the existing wastewater network. Restrictive framework increases infrastructure management costs and impedes the staged upgrades of wastewater infrastructure which contributes to improved water quality outcomes.
8.3.5 Earthworks	New		Both	
Rule WH.R23: Earthworks – permitted activity.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Support in-part but considers subclause (g) to be next to impossible to meet as you cannot guarantee that no permitted activity sediment will leave the site or enter a waterbody, Earthworks is a permitted therefore is unreasonable to impose for all activity, provided the following development.
				Consider that the control of sediment is already (b) the earthworks are to appropriately managed by subclause (h) which sets implement an action in the farm

				requirements for erosion and sediment control measures shall be used to prevent a discharge of sediment. Noting that there is no scale associated with erosion and sediment control measures, meaning minor earthworks would unreasonably be captured by this rule, noting the PDP rule for earthworks does no require measures under 250m2. Additionally, there seems to be an incorrect use of 'And' at between (b) and (c) that should be an 'Or'. The implication of the 'And' otherwise it would require all activities that is not for erosion risk treatment plan for the farm, or to action in the farm environment plan for the farm would require a resource consent which is unreasonable.	fand or (c) the area of earthworks does not exceed 3,000m2 per property in any consecutive 12-tmonth period, and fe(g) there is no discharge of Isediment from earthworks rand/or flocculant into a surface rwater body, the coastal marine sarea, or onto land that may enter a surface water body or the coastal marine area, including via a stormwater network, and (h) erosion and sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment where a preferential flow path connects with a surface water body or the coastal marine area, including via a stormwater network.
Rule WH.R24: Earthworks – restricted discretionary activity.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with Wellington City Council's PDP.	Retain as notified provided that the proposed amendments to WH.R23 is accepted.
Rule WH.R25: Earthworks – non-complying activity.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with Wellington City Council's PDP.	Retain as notified.

Me Hel	æ Ki	Pone	ke
--------	------	------	----

9.1 Objectives	New		Both	
Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the goals set out in the objective and consider the 2100 timeframe appropriate.
•	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will Amend timeframe from 2040 to be difficult to achieve and does not take into 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will Amend timeframe from 2040 to be difficult to achieve and does not take into 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will Amend timeframe from 2040 to be difficult to achieve and does not take into 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and

				more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic	
				financing of upgrades and expansions to the three	
				waters network.	
Objective P.O4: The extent,	New	Support	Part 1	Support the goal that nationally threatened freshwater	Retain as notified.
condition, and connectivity of			Schedule 1	species are increased	
habitats of nationally					
threatened freshwater species					
are increased, and the long-					
term population numbers of					
these species and the area over					
which they occur are increased,					
improving their threat					
classification status.					
Objective P.O5: Groundwater	New	Neutral	Freshwater		
flows and levels, and water					
quality, are maintained.					
Objective P.O6: Water quality,	New	Neutral	Freshwater		
habitats, water quantity and					
ecological processes of rivers					
are maintained or improved.					
Table 9.2: Target attribute	New	Amend	Freshwater	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will	Amend timeframe from 2040 to
states for rivers.				be difficult to achieve and does not take into	2060.
				consideration the current environmental constraints of	
				Wellington City as well as the major financial	
				constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is	
				consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and	
				more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic	
				financing of upgrades and expansions to the three	
				waters network.	
9.2 Policies	New		Both		
9.2.1 Ecosystem health and	New		Both		
water quality					

Policy P.P1: Improvement of	New	Support	Part 1	Support and consider the policy is reasonable to Retain as notified.
aquatic ecosystem health.			Schedule 1	achieve the improvements to ecosystem health progressively.
Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	progressively. WCC is generally supportive of GWRC intention of Target attribute states and intensification to enable housing supply. However, coastal water objectives will be WCC has reservations regarding the associated achieved by regulating prohibitive provision framework and whether it is the most appropriate to achieve the objectives and policies activities in the Plan, and nonof the NPS-FM 2020. WCC is concerned the policy will not just affect large (a) prohibiting unplanned scale development but also hinder the rezoning of land greenfield development and for that has inappropriate 'legacy' zoning. WCC has sites in predominately residential neighbourhoods that are minimising the contaminants still 'legacy' open space zones that are no longer fit for and requiring financial purpose and will be addressed in future plan changes. contributions as to offset The proposed framework would be unreasonable adverse effects from residual considering those sites could be converted to housing, stormwater contaminants, and community facilities, education facilities etc. and not (b) encouraging redevelopment expand the current urban boundary. Noting that activities within existing urban s3.5(4) NPS-FM only sets direction for District Plans to areas to reduce the existing
				manage urban development, not regional plans) urban contaminant load, and without two plan changes. It does not promote (c) imposing hydrological integrated management. controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to Considers that have not demonstrated in the rivers
				associated s32 report that using the prohibited activity (d) requiring a reduction in status is the most appropriate option to achieve the contaminant loads from urban objective of the plan as case law requires. Case law also wastewater and stormwater states the prohibited activity class should not be used networks, through stormwater to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until such management strategies and time as a plan change is lodged to allow undertaking

Policy P.P3: Freshwater Action Plans role in the health and wellbeing of waterways.	New	Amend	Freshwater		Policy P.P3: Freshwater Action
				į	authorities, to prepare and deliver Freshwater Action Plans
					n accordance with Schedule 27 Freshwater Action Plan)
Policy P.P4: Contaminant load	New	Support	Part 1	Support the reduction of contaminants provided the	•
reductions.			Schedule 1	· ·	proposed amendment for Table 9.3 is accepted.

Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will Amend timeframe from 2040 to be difficult to achieve and does not take into 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consider the 2040 timeframe is unreasonable and will Amend timeframe from 2040 to be difficult to achieve and does not take into 2060. consideration the current environmental constraints of Wellington City as well as the major financial constraints. The proposed timeframe of 2060 is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.
8.2.1 Discharges to water	New		Both	
Policy P.P5: Localised adverse effects of point source discharges.	New	Select stance	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose in part. Considers the use of 'avoid or Amend as follow: minimised' to be conflicting and unworkable. More The localised adverse effects of appropriate for the effects to be minimised as all point source discharges to effects cannot be avoided. freshwater and coastal water beyond the zone of reasonable mixing are avoided or minimised, including by avoiding reducing:
Policy P.P6: Point source discharges.	New	Select stance	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose in part. Considers the use of 'avoid' to be unworkable and difficult to enforce, particularly for of point source discharges, cumulative adverse effects. excluding stormwater network and wastewater discharges, to water are avoided minimised and:

,	New	Neutral	Freshwater	
groundwater.				
Policy P.P8 Avoiding discharges	New	Select	Part 1	Support the management of discharges to Retain as notified.
of specific products and waste.		stance	Schedule 1	groundwater.
9.2.2 Stormwater	New		Part 1 Schedule 1	
Policy P.P9: General	New	Select	Part 1	Support in part the management of for copper and zinc Amend policy to clarify GWR0
stormwater policy to achieve		stance	Schedule 1	contamination however I note this is currently being role is managing copper and zine
the target attribute states and				managed by District Plans. contamination.
coastal water objectives.				
Policy P.P10: Managing adverse	New	Select	Part 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a Policy WH.P10: Managing
effects of stormwater		stance	Schedule 1	responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health. adverse effects of stormwate
discharges.				WCC is concerned that the proposed policy framework discharges All stormwate
				does not promote integrated management, rather discharges and associated land
				significant consenting overlap, without any evidence use activities that is no
				this framework would improve resource management managed by a stormwate
				outcomes. <u>management strategy</u> shall be
				managed by:
				For development connected to a local authority
				stormwater network that discharges into receiving
				water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater
				discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and
				requires an associated Stormwater Management
				Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states:
				7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish
				natural flow regimes, including the use of
				hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects
				of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes)
				and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and

8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes, In Wellington City development discharges are already consented and managed via a global stormwater discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater management strategy (currently drafted) which has requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads. In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City. Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements.

Policy P.P11: Discharges of a contaminant in stormwater	New	Select stance	Part 1 Schedule 1	Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network. Support the management of high risk industrial or Retain as notified. trade premises.
from high risk industrial or trade premises.				
•	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the management of stormwater discharges Retain as notified. from local authority and state highway network to ensure an integrated management approach to stormwater discharges from urban development.
Policy P.P13: Stormwater discharges from new and redeveloped impervious surfaces.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health. WCC is concerned that the proposed policy framework does not promote integrated management, rather significant consenting overlap, without any evidence this framework would improve resource management outcomes. For development connected to a local authority stormwater network that discharges into receiving water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and requires an associated Stormwater Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states: 7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes)

_	
	and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural
	stream flows, and
	8. identifies locations and opportunities for the
	retention or detention of stormwater flows or
	volumes,
	volumes),
	In Wellington City development discharges are already
	consented and managed via a global stormwater
	discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater
	management strategy (currently drafted) which has
	requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is
	meeting that requirement and the requirement set out
	in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by
	using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.
	In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use
	requirements for on-site stormwater management
	which includes both water quality and water quantity
	management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing
	building materials, permeability and water sensitive
	urban design. This framework can integrate the
	concept of hydrological control if in a way that is
	appropriate and consistent with the strategy of
	Wellington City.
	Thoustons instead of inspecies on additional
	Therefore, instead of imposing an additional
	standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which
	also manages impervious services and on-site

stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should

				remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements. WCC recommend that GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.
Policy P.P14: Stormwater contaminant offsetting for new greenfield development.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial
				contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management.
Policy P.P15: Stormwater discharges from new unplanned greenfield development.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC is generally supportive of GWRC intention of Amend policy to allow for intensification to enable housing supply. However, Discretionary activity status OR WCC has reservations regarding the associated delete policy. prohibitive provision framework and whether it is the most appropriate to achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020. WCC is concerned the policy will not just affect large scale development but also hinder the rezoning of land

9.2.3 Wastewater	New		Both	that has inappropriate 'legacy' zoning. WCC has sites in in predominately residential neighbourhoods that are still 'legacy' open space zones that are no longer fit for purpose and will be addressed in future plan changes. The proposed framework would be unreasonable considering those sites could be converted to housing, community facilities, education facilities etc. and not expand the current urban boundary. Noting that s3.5(4) NPS-FM only sets direction for District Plans to manage urban development, not regional plans) without two plan changes. It does not promote integrated management. WCC Considers that GWRC have not demonstrated in the associated s32 report that using the prohibited activity status is the most appropriate option to achieve the objective of the plan as case law requires. Case law also states the prohibited activity class should not be used to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until such time as a plan change is lodged to allow undertaking the activity in question. Therefore, considers a Discretionary activity status more appropriate.	
	New	Amend	Part 1	Support the management of wastewater to maintain or	Retain as notified provided the
wastewater policy to achieve	1 4 C VV	, arrena	Schedule 1	improve the baseline water quality state for Escherichial	•
target attribute states and			20.100010 1	coli provided the targeted attribute timeframe isa	_
coastal water objectives.				amended as proposed.	amenaca as proposea.
•	Now	Amend	Freshwater		Potain as notified provided the
Policy P.P17: Progressing works	ivew	Amena	riesiiwater	Support the management of wastewater to maintain or	
to meet Escherichia coli target				improve the baseline water quality state for Escherichia	9
attribute states.				coli.	amended as proposed.

Policy P.P18: Managing	New	Amend	Part 1	Support the use of wastewater network catchment	Retain as notified.
wastewater network catchment			Schedule 1	discharges.	
discharges.					
Policy P.P19: Managing existing wastewater treatment plant discharges.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Therefore, consider it is much more appropriate to determine a reasonable number of overflow events to occur on a catchment basis through Wastewater Network Catchment Improvement Strategy rather than	(a) progressively reducing the frequency and/or volume of wet weather overflow events to meet or exceed the containment standard of no more than 2 per year through the implementation of the methodologies set out calculated at a catchment or sub-catchment scale as set out
					in a Wastewater Network Catchment Improvement Strategy prepared in accordance with Schedule 32
9.2.4 Rural Land Uses and	New		Both		
Earthworks					
Policy P.P27: Management of earthworks sites.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Supports as the policy is consistent with Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan (PDP).	Retain as notified.
Policy P.P28: Discharge standard for earthworks sites.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Supports as the policy is consistent with Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan (PDP).	Retain as notified.
Policy P.P29: Winter shut down of earthworks.	New	Select stance	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support, consistent with existing best practise.	Retain as notified.
9.3 Rules	New		Both		
9.3.1 Discharges of	New		Both		
contaminants					

Rule P.R1: Point source	New	Select	Part 1	Oppose in-part as there is major concerns about the Delete rule.
discharges of specific		stance	Schedule 1	enforceability of this rule particularly the prohibited
contaminants – prohibited				activity status. GWRC have not demonstrated in the
activity.				associated s32 report that using the prohibited activity
				status is the most appropriate option to achieve the
				objective of the plan as case law requires.
9.3.2 Stormwater	New		Both	
Rule P.R2: Stormwater to land –	New	Amend	Freshwater	Support in-part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R2: Stormwater
permitted activity.				WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to and – permitted activity
				remove the proposed overlapping consenting The discharge of stormwat
				requirements from territorial authorities this rule onto or into land, including
				should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local where contaminants may ent
				authority stormwater network. groundwater:
				(a) that is not from a high ri
				industrial or trade premise, or
				(b) that does not dischar
				from, or to, a local authori
				stormwater network <u>th</u>
				written permission has bee
				obtained from the owner of the
				local authority stormwat
				network,
				is a permitted activity provide
				the following conditions a
				met
Rule P.R3: Stormwater from an	New	Amend	Part 1	Support in-part. For the same reasons as set out in Rule WH.R3: Stormwater fro
existing individual property to			Schedule 1	WH.R5 and to support integrated management and to an existing individual proper
surface water or coastal water				remove the proposed overlapping consenting to surface water or coast
 permitted activity. 				requirements from territorial authorities this rule water – permitted activity
·				should apply to stormwater that is discharged to local The discharge of stormwat
				authority stormwater network. from an existing individu
				property into water, or onto

		T	1		
					into land where it may enter a
					surface water body or coastal
					water,
					(a) that is not from a high risk
					industrial or trade premise, or
					(b) that is not from a port,
					airport or state highway, or
					(c) that does not discharge from,
					or to, a local authority
					stormwater network that
					written permission has been
					obtained from the owner of the
					local authority stormwater
					network,
					is a permitted activity, provided
					the following conditions are
					met:
Rule P.R4: Stormwater from an	New	Support	Part 1	Generally supportive of GW being responsible for the	Retain as notified
existing high risk industrial or			Schedule 1	discharge from high-risk industrial site.	
trade premise – permitted					
activity.					
Rule P.R5: Stormwater from	New	Oppose	Part 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a	Delete rule in its entirety OR
new and redeveloped			Schedule 1	responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health.	amend to limit the applicability
impervious surfaces –				However, WCC is concerned that the proposed policy	of the rule to development that
permitted activity.				framework does not promote integrated management,	is not connected to local
				rather significant consenting overlap, without any	authority stormwater
				evidence this framework would improve resource	networks.
				management outcomes.	
				For development connected to a local authority	1
				stormwater network that discharges into receiving	
				water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater	-
				discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and	

requires an associated Stormwater Management

Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states:

7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and

8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes,

WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.

In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City.

Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide

			range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements. WCC recommend that GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.
Rule P.R6: Stormwater from new greenfield impervious surfaces – controlled activity.	New	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a Delete rule in its entirety OR responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health. amend to limit the applicability However, WCC is concerned that the proposed policy of the rule to development that framework does not promote integrated management, is not connected to local rather significant consenting overlap, without any authority stormwater evidence this framework would improve resource management outcomes. For development connected to a local authority stormwater network that discharges into receiving water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and requires an associated Stormwater Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states: 7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and

8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes, In Wellington City development discharges are already consented and managed via a global stormwater discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater management strategy (currently drafted) which has requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads. In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City. Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements.

				WCC recommend that GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.
Rule P.R7: Stormwater from new and redeveloped impervious surfaces of existing urbanised areas—controlled activity.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC are not contesting that Regional Council has a Delete rule in its entirety or responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health, amend to limit the applicabilit However, WCC is concerned that the proposed policy of the rule to development that framework does not promote integrated management, is not connected to local rather significant consenting overlap, without any authority stormwater vidence this framework would improve resource networks. For development connected to a local authority stormwater network that discharges into receiving water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and requires an associated Stormwater Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states: 7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and 8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes, In Wellington City development discharges are already
				consented and managed via a global stormwater

discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater management strategy (currently drafted) which has requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads. In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City. Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements. Recommend GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.

Rule P.R8: Stormwater from a local authority or state highway network–restricted discretionary activity.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support the management of local authority or State Retain as notified. Highway Network through a restricted discretionary activity status.
Rule P.R9: Stormwater from new state highways—discretionary activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Financial contributions. Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. The proposal potentially does not promote integrated management.
Rule P.R10: Stormwater from new and redeveloped impervious surfaces—discretionary activity.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC is not contesting that Regional Council has a Delete rule in its entirety OR responsibility for water quality and ecosystem health. Amend to limit the applicability However, WCC is concerned that the proposed policy of the rule to development that framework does not promote integrated management, is not connected to local rather significant consenting overlap, without any authority stormwater evidence this framework would improve resource networks. management outcomes. For development connected to a local authority stormwater network that discharges into receiving water bodies, this is managed by global stormwater discharge consents which is authorised by GWRC and requires an associated Stormwater Management Strategy proposed in schedule 31 which states:

7. describes actions to maintain or re-establish natural flow regimes, including the use of hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of stormwater quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows, and

8. identifies locations and opportunities for the retention or detention of stormwater flows or volumes,

In Wellington City development discharges are already consented and managed via a global stormwater discharge consent. This consent requires a stormwater management strategy (currently drafted) which has requirements to lower contaminant loads. WCC is meeting that requirement and the requirement set out in 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 and 80E of the RMA by using regulatory methods to lower contaminant loads.

In the Proposed District Plan (PDP) requiring s9 land use requirements for on-site stormwater management which includes both water quality and water quantity management such as hydraulic neutrality, managing building materials, permeability and water sensitive urban design. This framework can integrate the concept of hydrological control if in a way that is appropriate and consistent with the strategy of Wellington City.

Therefore, instead of imposing an additional standalone rule framework in the regional plan, which also manages impervious services and on-site

				stormwater management (note this includes a wide range of methods including devices and landform which is also require by the WCC PDP), the NRP should remain silent on this to avoid duplicating consenting requirements. WCC recommend that GWRC focuses on higher-level management of the discharge consents to achieve target attributes as well as stormwater not connected to a local authority stormwater network.	
Rule P.R11: All other stormwater discharges – noncomplying activity.	New	Select stance	Part 1 Schedule 1	Support 'All other stormwater discharge' rule.	Retain as notified.
Rule P.R12 – Stormwater discharges from new unplanned greenfield development – prohibited activity.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	WCC is generally supportive of GWRC intention of intensification to enable housing supply. However, WCC has reservations regarding the associated prohibitive provision framework and whether it is the most appropriate to achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020. WCC is concerned the policy will not just affect large scale development but also hinder the rezoning of land that has inappropriate 'legacy' zoning. WCC has sites in in predominately residential neighbourhoods that are still 'legacy' open space zones that are no longer fit for purpose and will be addressed in future plan changes. The proposed framework would be unreasonable considering those sites could be converted to housing, community facilities, education facilities etc. and not expand the current urban boundary. Noting that s3.5(4) NPS-FM only sets direction for District Plans to manage urban development, not regional plans)	Discretionary or delete the rule.

				without two plan changes. It does not promote integrated management. Considers that have not demonstrated in the associated s32 report that using the prohibited activity status is the most appropriate option to achieve the objective of the plan as case law requires. Case law also states the prohibited activity class should not be used to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until such time as a plan change is lodged to allow undertaking the activity in question. Therefore, considers a Discretionary activity status more appropriate.	
9.3.3 Wastewater	New		Part 1 Schedule 1		
Rule P.R13: Wastewater network catchment discharges to water – restricted discretionary activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	·	•
Rule P.R14: Existing wastewater discharges from a treatment plant to coastal and freshwater – discretionary activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1		,
Rule P.R15: All other discharges of wastewater – non-complying activity.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose in-part. Consider that new wastewater discharge from treatment plant being non-complying to be overly onerous and does not consider that new treatment plants are often required to prevent both wet and dry weather overflow events to relieve the pressures on the existing wastewater network. Overly restrictive framework increases infrastructure management costs and impedes the staged upgrades	non-complying to

				of wastewater infrastructure which contributes to I
			5	improved water quality outcomes.
9.3.5 Earthworks	New		Both	
Rule P.R22: Earthworks – permitted activity.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Support in-part but considers subclause (g) to be next to impossible to meet as you cannot guarantee that no sediment will leave the site or enter a waterbody, Earthworks is a permitted therefore is unreasonable to impose for all activity, provided the following development. WCC considers that the control of sediment is already appropriately managed by subclause (h) which sets implement an action in the farm requirements for erosion and sediment control ensures shall be used to prevent a discharge of sediment. Noting that there is no scale associated with erosion and sediment control measures, meaning minor earthworks would unreasonably be captured by this rule, noting the PDP rule for earthworks does not require measures under 250m2. Additionally, there seems to be an incorrect use of 'And' at between (b) and (c) that should be an 'Or'. The implication of the 'And' otherwise it would require all activities that is not for erosion risk treatment plan for the farm, or to action in the farm environment plan for the farm, or to action in the farm environment plan for the farm would require a resource consent which is a control measures and the farm area, or onto land that may unreasonable. Support interest a water body or the coastal marine area, including via a stormwater network, and (h) erosion and sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a discharge of sediment control measures shall be used for earthworks over 250m2 to prevent a

					where a preferential flow path connects with a surface water body or the coastal marine area, including via a stormwater network.
Rule P.R23: Earthworks – restricted discretionary activity.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with Wellington City Council's PDP.	Retain as notified provided that the proposed amendments to P.R22 is accepted.
Rule P.R24: Earthworks – non-complying activity.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1	Consistent with Wellington City Council's PDP.	Retain as notified.
Schedules	Amended/New		Both		
A Freshwater Action Plans	New	Support	Freshwater	Support Freshwater Action Plans provided they are developed with Territorial Authorities.	Retain as notified.
A1 Purpose	New	Support	Freshwater	Support Freshwater Action Plans provided they are developed with Territorial Authorities.	Retain as notified.
A2 Freshwater Action Plans required in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	New	Support	Freshwater	Support Freshwater Action Plans provided they are developed with Territorial Authorities.	Retain as notified.
A3 Freshwater Action Plans required in Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	New	Support	Freshwater	Support Freshwater Action Plans provided they are developed with Territorial Authorities.	Retain as notified.
B Freshwater Action Plan requirements.	New	Amend	Freshwater	Support in-part. Consider it appropriate for Freshwater Action Plans to be developed cooperatively with Mana Whenua and territorial authorities to give effect to 3.5(3) of the NPS-FM 2020.	Be prepared in partnership with
B1. Principles.	New	Support	Freshwater	Support the principles of Freshwater action plan provided it is developed in partnership with Territoria Authorities.	

B2. General Content.	New	Support	Freshwater	Support the general content of Freshwater action plan Retain as notified. provided it is developed in partnership with Territorial Authorities.
B3 Necessary actions.	New	Support	Freshwater	Support the necessary action of Freshwater action plan Retain as notified. provided it is developed in partnership with Territorial Authorities.
C. Freshwater Action Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	New	Support	Freshwater	Support Freshwater action plan in Whaitua TeRetain as notified. Whanganui-a-Tara provided it is developed in partnership with Territorial Authorities.
D Freshwater Action Plans in Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	New	Support	Freshwater	Support Freshwater action plan in Te Awarua-o-Porirua Retain as notified. Whaitua provided it is developed in partnership with Territorial Authorities.
Schedule 28: Stormwater Contaminant Treatment.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Generally supportive provided that the associated rules Retain as notified provided that are amended to exclude development connected to the associated rules are the local authority stormwater network. amended to exclude development connected to the local authority stormwater network.
Table 1: Target load Reductions for Copper and Zinc	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Generally supportive provided that the associated rules Retain as notified provided that are amended to exclude development connected to the associated rules are the local authority stormwater network. amended to exclude development connected to the local authority stormwater network.
Table 2: Additional Devices and Specified Load Reductions for Copper and Zinc	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Generally supportive provided that the associated rules Retain as notified provided that are amended to exclude development connected to the associated rules are the local authority stormwater network. amended to exclude development connected to the local authority stormwater network.
Schedule 29: Stormwater Impact Assessments.	New	Amend	Part 1 Schedule 1	Generally supportive provided that the associated rules Retain as notified provided that are amended to exclude development connected to the associated rules are the local authority stormwater network. amended to exclude

				development connected to the
				local authority stormwate
				network.
Schedule 30: Financial	New	Oppose	Part 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete schedule 30.
Contributions.			Schedule 1	a development. Interferes and confuses the process for
				Territorial Authorities development contributions
				which would go to the same water services entity to go
				towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure
				management.
				It is best practise that councils can use financial
				contributions under the RMA, development
				contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not
				charge for the same thing under both. However, it is
				not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate
				councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,
				creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is
				unreasonable and does not promote integrated management.
A Context	New	Oppose	Part 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete context.
		''	Schedule 1	a development. Interferes and confuses the process for
				Territorial Authorities development contributions
				which would go to the same water services entity to go
				towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure
				management.
				It is best practise that councils can use financial
				contributions under the RMA, development
				contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not
				charge for the same thing under both. However, it is
				not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate
				councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,
				creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is

		1	1		
				unreasonable and does not promote integrated	
				management.	
B Purpose	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management.	
				It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management.	
C Definition of an Equivalent Household Unit	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is	

Schedule 1 a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGAQ2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Oppose Part 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 5 Schedule 6 Schedule 6 Schedule 7 Schedule 7 Schedule 7 Schedule 8 Schedule 9 Schedule 9 Schedule 9 Schedule 9 Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 5 Schedule 6 Schedule 7 Schedule 8 Schedule 7 Sc		T	1	1		
Description of level of contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete calculation. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the LGAQ2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete Table. a development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the LGAQ2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					·	
Schedule 1 a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Dippose the double-up in contributions being made for a development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing. Therefore,					management.	
Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the LGAO2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete Table. a development greenfield development Table D2. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete Table. a development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the LGAO2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,	D Calculation of level of	New	Oppose	Part 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for	Delete calculation.
which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete Table. Calculations for residential greenfield development Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,	contribution			Schedule 1	a development. Interferes and confuses the process for	
which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Delete Table. a development and advelopment. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					Territorial Authorities development contributions	
towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					·	
It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGAO2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedule 5 Schedule 6 Schedule 7 Schedule 9 Schedule 9 Schedule 9 Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 5 Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedul					, ,	
It is best practice that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGAO2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedule 5 Schedule 6 Schedule 7 Schedule 9 Schedule 9 Schedule 9 Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 4 Schedule 5 Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 4 Schedul					management.	
contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGAD2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Schedule 1 a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGAD2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGAD2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Schedule 1 a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGAD2, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					It is best practice that councils can use financial	
contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for Calculations for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					·	
charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Oppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for 2 development and 2 development. Interferes and confuses the process for 3 development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go 4 towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure 3 management. It is best practise that councils can use financial 4 contributions under the RMA, development 5 contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not 6 charge for the same thing under both. However, it is 1 not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate 6 councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					•	
not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Table D2. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Table D3. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Table D4. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Table D5. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Table D6. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D7. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D8. Table D8. Table Table. Table D9. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D9. Table D8. Table Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions being made for Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions whether Delete Table. Table D1. Financial contributions whether D					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New Calculations for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Calculations for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 3 Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution Table D1. Financial contributions Table D1. Financial contributions Table D1. Financial contributions Table D1. Financial contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for a development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Table D1. Financial contribution New calculations for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Sc					_	
Table D1. Financial contribution calculations for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Table D1. Financial contribution for residential greenfield development Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
Table D1. Financial contribution New Coppose Part 1 Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Schedule 1 Adevelopment. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,	Table D1. Financial contribution	New	Oppose	Part 1	<u> </u>	Delete Table.
Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,	calculations for residential		' '	Schedule 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,	greenfield development				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					· ·	
It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					, ,	
It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					management.	
contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					It is best practise that councils can use financial	
contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					·	
charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,					· ·	
not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore,						
					i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
					creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is	

Me Heke Ki Pōneke	Me	He	ke	Ki	Pō	ne	ke
-------------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

				unreasonable and does not promote integrated management.	
Tale D2. Financial contribution calculations for non-residential greenfield development and new roads/state highways	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial	
				contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is unreasonable and does not promote integrated management.	
E Use	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	Oppose the double-up in contributions being made for a development. Interferes and confuses the process for Territorial Authorities development contributions which would go to the same water services entity to go towards catchment scale stormwater infrastructure management. It is best practise that councils can use financial contributions under the RMA, development contributions under the LGA02, or both, if they do not charge for the same thing under both. However, it is not clear whether it is appropriate for two separate	
				councils to charge for the same thing. Therefore, creating a conflicting bureaucratic burden that is	

Schedule 31: Stormwater Management Strategy – Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua. Schedule 32: Wastewater Network Catchment Improvement Strategy.	New	Support	Part 1 Schedule 1 Part 1 Schedule 1	unreasonable and does not promote integrated management. Support the development and use of stormwater Retain as notified management strategies to achieve better water quality outcomes in a manner that is appropriate for the catchment and existing environmental pressures. Support the development and use of Wastewater Retain as notified. Network Catchment Improvement Strategy to achieve better water quality outcomes in a manner that is appropriate for the catchment and existing environmental pressures.
Maps	New		Both	
Map 86: Unplanned greenfield areas – Porirua City Council.	New	Neutral	Part 1 Schedule 1	As set out in submission points above, WCC supports Amend boundaries to include all the intention of intensification to enable housing open space zones within the urban supply. However, WCC has reservations regarding the provision framework associated with the mapping of unplanned greenfields and whether it is the most appropriately achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020. Consider the maps provide greater certainty around the implementation of the unplanned greenfield areas but encourage GWRC to reconsider the appropriateness and legality of the proposed prohibited provisions.
Map 87: Unplanned greenfield areas – Wellington City Council.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	As set out in submission points above, WCC supports Amend boundaries to include all the intention of intensification to enable housing open space zones within the urban supply. However, WCC has reservations regarding the provision framework associated with the mapping of unplanned greenfields and whether it is the most appropriately achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020.

	T	1			
				Consider the maps provide greater certainty around the implementation of the unplanned greenfield areas but encourage GWRC to reconsider the appropriateness and legality of the proposed prohibited provisions.	
Map 88: Unplanned greenfield areas – Upper Hutt City Council.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	As set out in submission points above, WCC supports the intention of intensification to enable housing supply. However, WCC has reservations regarding the provision framework associated with the mapping of unplanned greenfields and whether it is the most appropriately achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020. Consider the maps provide greater certainty around the implementation of the unplanned greenfield areas but encourage GWRC to reconsider the appropriateness and legality of the proposed prohibited provisions.	open space zones within the urban boundary
Map 89: Unplanned greenfield areas – Hutt City Council.	New	Oppose	Part 1 Schedule 1	As set out in submission points above, WCC supports the intention of intensification to enable housing supply. However, WCC has reservations regarding the provision framework associated with the mapping of unplanned greenfields and whether it is the most appropriately achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020. Consider the maps provide greater certainty around the implementation of the unplanned greenfield areas but encourage GWRC to reconsider the appropriateness and legality of the proposed prohibited provisions.	open space zones within the urban boundary.