

View Submitter Details

Submitter No.	S106
Submitter Name	Korokoro Environment Group
Online submitter	Yes
Raw submission lodged	Yes

Raw submission points

These are submission points that were lodged as part of an online submission. They have not been summarised.

Raw sub point number	Provision	Support/oppose	Decision sought	Reasons
S106.1	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Support	We support the inclusion of Korokoro Stream in this programme of work and believe the 'excellent' Target Attributes are appropriate.	<p>We note there is no baseline data shown for Korokoro Stream, though our group did do a bit of citizen science monitoring near the mouth of the stream a few years ago, with the help of Mountains-to-Sea Wellington, and recall that it seemed to be in moderately good health on the basis of water clarity and the diversity of insect life it supported. For this reason we think the high Target Attributes are appropriate.</p> <p>We believe that the Galbraiths Gully stream which runs down the centre of Korokoro feeds into lower Korokoro Stream via the underground network. We believe this stream to be in good health based on local Enviro School work.</p> <p>We note that both Korokoro Stream and its potential tributary in Galbraiths Gully are both potentially impacted by storm-sewer cross contamination, given the proximity of housing and the age of the housing stock.</p> <p>We support the inclusion of monitoring for this stream in the programme and would like to be involved with community participation and information sharing.</p>
S106.2	C. Freshwater Action Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Amend	<p>We support the requirement for a Freshwater Action Plan for Korokoro Stream.</p> <p>We would like fine sediment and fish to be considered for this stream in addition to the stated priorities.</p>	<p>With regard to fine sediment, there are areas of forestry in the wider catchment for this stream, and possible plans for significant road building (Petone to Grenada road) - for this reason we think it is appropriate to put a plan in place for this.</p> <p>With regard to fish, we note the significance of this habitat as stated in schedules F1, F2c and F4, as well as the fact the stream has a direct harbour connection and relatively little adjacent development. Although baseline data is absent we believe this could and should be a significant habitat for these species.</p>
S106.3	Schedule 32: Wastewater Network Catchment Improvement Strategy.	Support	We support the inclusion of work to identify and minimise cross contamination issues impacting the Korokoro Stream. (item m)	<p>As the local environmental group for Korokoro we would like to see stream health maintained and improved. There is a significant amount of informal storm water discharge in the suburb, and given the age of the housing stock (including a lot of owner builders in the 1940s and 50s) there is likely to be unknown cross contamination.</p> <p>We would be happy to be involved in supporting and communicating this work, which we think community members will be pretty supportive of.</p>

Raw submission documents

These are files that were uploaded as part of an online submission.

Document name ↓

File

Description

Upload date

No data